Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent Inactivation of Ca\textsubscript{V}1.2 Channels Prevents Gd\textsuperscript{3+} Block: Does Ca\textsuperscript{2+} Block the Pore of Inactivated Channels?
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Lanthanide gadolinium (Gd\textsuperscript{3+}) blocks Ca\textsubscript{V}1.2 channels at the selectivity filter. Here we investigated whether Gd\textsuperscript{3+} block interferes with Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent inactivation, which requires Ca\textsuperscript{2+} entry through the same site. Using brief pulses to 200 mV that relieve Gd\textsuperscript{3+} block but not inactivation, we monitored how the proportions of open and open-blocked channels change during inactivation. We found that blocked channels inactivate much less. This is expected for Gd\textsuperscript{3+} block of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx that enhances inactivation. However, we also found that the extent of Gd\textsuperscript{3+} block did not change when inactivation was reduced by abolition of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}/calmodulin interaction, showing that Gd\textsuperscript{3+} does not block the inactivated channel. Thus, Gd\textsuperscript{3+} block and inactivation are mutually exclusive, suggesting action at a common site. These observations suggest that inactivation causes a change at the selectivity filter that either hides the Gd\textsuperscript{3+} site or reduces its affinity, or that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} occupies the binding site at the selectivity filter in inactivated channels. The latter possibility is supported by previous findings that the EEQE mutation of the selectivity EEEE locus is void of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent inactivation (Zong Z.Q., J.Y. Zhou, and T. Tanabe. 1994. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 201:1117–1123), and that Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-inactivated channels conduct Na\textsuperscript{+} when Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is removed from the extracellular medium (Babich O., D. Isaev, and R. Shirokov. 2005. J. Physiol. 565:709–717). Based on these results, we propose that inactivation increases affinity of the selectivity filter for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} so that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ion blocks the pore. A minimal model, in which the inactivation “gate” is an increase in affinity of the selectivity filter for permeating ions, successfully simulates the characteristic U-shaped voltage dependence of inactivation in Ca\textsuperscript{2+}.

ARTICLE

INRODUCTION

In Ca\textsubscript{V}1.2 channels, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} selectivity and block by various polyvalent metal ions are mediated by carboxyl side chains of the four glutamates (EEE locus) that form ion-binding site(s) (for review see Sather and McCleskey, 2003). A somewhat overlooked observation that a glutamate to glutamine substitution in the S5-S6 loop of the third repeat (EEE to EEQE modification) eliminates Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent inactivation (Zong et al., 1994) strongly indicated that the selectivity locus plays an important role in Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent inactivation. Previously, we showed that Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent inactivation of Ca\textsubscript{V}1.2 channels specifically prevents permeation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, but not alkali metal ions (Babich et al., 2005). We concluded that Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent inactivation controls Ca\textsuperscript{2+} conductance by affecting the selectivity mechanism rather than by occluding the pore at a cytoplasmic inactivation gate. This leads to the idea that perhaps the selectivity filter is the gate of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent inactivation and that Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent inactivation specifically prevents Ca\textsuperscript{2+} permeation by stabilizing a high Ca\textsuperscript{2+} affinity state of the selectivity filter.

To test this hypothesis, we analyzed how blockage of the channel by lanthanide gadolinium (Gd\textsuperscript{3+}) depends on inactivation and vice versa. This approach eliminates problems that can occur when Ca\textsuperscript{2+} affinity of inactivated channels is assessed by simple manipulations of extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, as this by itself might change the affinity of the selectivity filter.

Like many other trivalent metal ions, Gd\textsuperscript{3+} is a potent blocker of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels. At concentrations of 10–100 nM, it reduces the peak and accelerates decay of ionic current during depolarization. This accelerated decay has been proposed to be due to an increase of the potency of block of open rather than closed channels (Biagi and Enyeart, 1990; Obejero-Paz et al., 2004), or because trivalent metal ions accelerate inactivation by acting at a site that is different from the blocking site (Beedle et al., 2002).

The results of the accompanying paper (Babich et al., 2007) explain the voltage-dependent enhancement of Gd\textsuperscript{3+} block by linking it to activation directly, rather than via inactivation. Similarly, inactivation also increases with voltage because it is also linked to activation. In addition, Gd\textsuperscript{3+} block is relieved at high positive voltages, generating a characteristic U-shaped dependence on voltage. The complex voltage dependence of Gd\textsuperscript{3+} block is very similar to that of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent inactivation, which parallels Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx rather than voltage.
On average, it was 2.1 ± 0.14 μA per 10 mM Ca2+; the peaks of tail currents in response to stepping from 200 to 20 mV followed the time course of inactivation. The dashed line through the peaks is the best fit by an exponential: I = I0 + ΔI (1 - e^-kt), where I0 = 979 pA, ΔI = 660 pA, and k = 0.010 ms^-1. The ratio between the numbers of inactivated and noninactivated channels after 500 ms at 20 mV can be estimated by ΔI/(I0 - ΔI). On average, it was 2.1 ± 0.17 (n = 6). (C) Currents from the same cell bathed in solution with 10 mM Ca2+ and 50 nM Gd3+. The dashed line through the peaks is the best fit with I0 = 986 pA, ΔI = 552 pA, and k = 0.011 ms^-1. The ratio ΔI/(I0 - ΔI) was 1.24 ± 0.14 (n = 6). (D) Currents from the same cell bathed in solution with 10 mM Ca2+ and 1 μM Gd3+. The dashed line through the peaks is the best fit with I0 = 948 pA, ΔI = 328 pA, and k = 0.007 ms^-1. The averaged ΔI/(I0 - ΔI) ratio was 0.51 ± 0.13 (n = 6).

This makes it tempting to suggest that Gd3+ binding stabilizes channels in an inactivated state, similar to the action of other Ca2+ channel blockers (e.g., dihydropyridines). However, we (Babich et al., 2007) showed that the U-shaped voltage dependence of Gd3+ block is not affected by tampering with regulation of inactivation by calmodulin (Lee et al., 1999; Peterson et al., 1999; Qin et al., 1999; Zuhlke et al., 1999). The results presented below demonstrate that Gd3+ block actually reduces Ca2+-dependent inactivation. Moreover, the reverse is also true: Ca2+ inactivation reduces Gd3+ block. Thus, although inactivation is not a prerequisite of the U-shaped voltage dependence of Gd3+ block, both inactivation and Gd3+ block are linked to activation and depend on electrodifusion into the pore in a similar fashion.

Since Gd3+ block is strongly influenced by permeant ions, we suggest that Ca2+-dependent inactivation reduces Gd3+ binding by increasing the occupancy of the binding site by permeant ion(s). Based on our findings, we developed a model that successfully describes the U-shaped voltage dependence of Ca2+-dependent inactivation as a result of an increase of the affinity of the selectivity filter to Ca2+. This view does not contradict the effects of Ca2+/calmodulin on inactivation of these channels, but rather places the mechanistic focus of the permeability changes at the selectivity filter.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Channel expression and patch-clamp technique were as described in the accompanying paper (Babich et al., 2007).

Online Supplemental Material

The additional material (available at http://www.jgp.org/cgi/content/full/jgp.2007079734/DC1) contains script text files that were used to run the simulations described in the text. The file cain.par contains the equations, parameters of the model, and extensive comments. The file cain2.par is a modification of cain.par to model the effect of Ca2+ accumulation. The calculation program CalC for the scripts is available at http://web.njit.edu/~mateev/. Fig. S1 illustrates simulation of the dependence of inactivation kinetics on series resistance. Fig. S2 illustrates simulation of the dependence of inactivation kinetics on single-channel current. Fig. S3 illustrates simulation of inactivation in Ba2+.

RESULTS

Gd3+ Block Prevents Ca2+-dependent Inactivation

Although both inactivation and blockage reduce ionic currents, it is possible to evaluate their specific contributions using pulse protocols that exploit the removal of Gd3+ block at large positive voltages. Thus, we used a 20-ms pulse to 200 mV to unblock Gd3+ during development of both inactivation and Gd3+ block. The pulse to 200 mV was applied at different times during a much longer voltage pulse to 20 mV that activated maximal Ca2+ currents (Fig. 1A). In the absence of Gd3+ (Fig. 1B), the peaks of tail currents after the pulses to 200 mV reflected the onset of inactivation that occurred during the step from the holding potential to 20 mV before the pulses to 200 mV.
were applied. The peaks were somewhat larger than the current just before the pulses to 200 mV were applied, reflecting a small additional increase in the degree of activation between 20 and 200 mV. At 50 nM Gd$^{3+}$ (Fig. 1 C), the tail currents increased in comparison with those in the absence of the blocker. Because the submicromolar amounts of Gd$^{3+}$ do not affect the voltage dependence of activation (Babich et al., 2007), the increase was unlikely to be due to an additional activation at 200 mV. Since the pulse to 200 mV removes Gd$^{3+}$ from open-blocked channels, the magnitude of tail currents in the presence of Gd$^{3+}$ reflects the number of channels that were opened and opened-blocked just before the unblocking pulse was applied. The current activated by stepping from $-90$ to 20 mV further decreased and decayed much more quickly when 1 μM Gd$^{3+}$ was added to the bathing solution (Fig. 1 D), but the tail currents caused by stepping from 200 to 20 mV increased even more in comparison with those in Fig. 1, B and C. Similar observations were seen in six cells. Without exception, addition of Gd$^{3+}$ reduced currents activated by the test depolarization but increased the tail currents after the pulse to 200 mV.

The increase of the number of channels that were opened and opened-blocked (O+OB) reflected a reduction of inactivation due to the presence of Gd$^{3+}$. In principle, Gd$^{3+}$ could bind to inactivated channels as well. Thus, the extent of inactivation calculated as $1 - (O+OB)$ reflects the number of inactivated and potentially inactivated-blocked channels. A minimal Scheme 1 to describe the interaction between inactivation and Gd$^{3+}$ is that of state-dependent binding of Gd$^{3+}$.
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(Scheme 1)

The observation that O+OB increases with [Gd$^{3+}$] indicates that inactivation is reduced in blocked states, i.e., the rate of the OB→IB transition is small and the reverse transition is not changed. This is consistent with the idea that block reduces Ca$^{2+}$ influx needed for the Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin regulation.

Gd$^{3+}$ Block Does Not Increase Inactivation in Ba$^{2+}$

Experiments illustrated in Fig. 2 analyze how Gd$^{3+}$ block affects inactivation of Ba$^{2+}$ currents. The test pulse from $-90$ to 0 mV activated maximal Ba$^{2+}$ current. The steps from 0 to 200 mV and back to 0 mV caused tail currents, whose peaks indicate the degree of inactivation (I+IB). To avoid current rundown due to intracellular accumulation of Ba$^{2+}$ during prolonged pulses, only two sets of pulses with 5-ms and 500-ms-long initial steps to 0 mV were taken in each cell. The magnitude of the tail currents (i.e., degree of inactivation) did not decrease in the presence of Gd$^{3+}$ even though the blocker reduced and accelerated decay of currents during the pulse from $-90$ to 0 mV. In three cells tested by the same experimental protocol as on Fig. 2, the tail currents elicited by stepping from 200 to 0 mV did not change in the presence of Gd$^{3+}$. In two cells, addition of Gd$^{3+}$ caused a small (≈10%) increase of the tails consistent with inactivation in Ba$^{2+}$ also depending to small degree on small degree on ion influx (Ferreira et al., 1997). These results show that the effects of Gd$^{3+}$ block on inactivation are more pronounced for Ca$^{2+}$, rather than Ba$^{2+}$, conductance.

Ca$^{2+}$-dependent Inactivation Prevents Gd$^{3+}$ Block

The data in Fig. 1 indicate that blocked channels do not inactivate and the distribution in the OB→IB step of Scheme 1 is shifted toward the OB state. Therefore, Gd$^{3+}$ binding to the inactivated state could significantly increase the portion of open-blocked channels (I→IB→OB) in addition to the direct block (O→OB). In other words, binding of Gd$^{3+}$ to inactivated channels would increase the apparent efficiency of Gd$^{3+}$ for block of open channels as defined by the O/OB ratio. In the experiment in Fig. 3, we assessed whether the block could occur through binding of Gd$^{3+}$ to inactivated channels by using conditions in which inactivation is greatly reduced. For this purpose, we included a mutant calmodulin CAM1234 with low affinity to Ca$^{2+}$ in our expression system. The presence of CAM1234 dramatically
activation did not change the reequilibration between normal or mutated calmodulin. Therefore, in Fig. 1, but only traces for the 500-ms first pulse to 20 mV are shown. Although in the absence of Gd³⁺ (black traces) inactivation of currents at 20 mV was much less in the cell with CAM1234, application of 0.1 μM Gd³⁺ (gray traces) reduced the peak and accelerated the decay of currents similarly in the wild-type cell and in the cell with the mutated calmodulin. Since the step to 200 mV did not relieve inactivation (Fig. 1 B), but relieved Gd³⁺ block (see accompanying paper Babich et al., 2007), the ratio between the numbers of open and open-blocked channels after 500 ms at 20 mV can be simply estimated from the current before the step to 200 mV and from the peak of the tail current on the return from 200 to 20 mV, as indicated. On average, the ratio was 0.161 ± 0.012 in the wild-type cells (n = 3) and 0.156 ± 0.014 (n = 4) in cells with CAM1234.

Figure 3. The CAM1234 mutation of calmodulin reduced inactivation of Ca²⁺ currents, but it did not alter open-channel block by Gd³⁺. Currents were elicited by the same pulse protocol as in Fig. 1 A. Only traces with 500-ms pulse from −90 to 20 mV are shown. Although in the absence of Gd³⁺ (black traces) inactivation of currents at 20 mV was much less in the cell with CAM1234, application of 0.1 μM Gd³⁺ (gray traces) reduced the peak and accelerated the decay of currents similarly in the wild-type cell and in the cell with the mutated calmodulin. Since the step to 200 mV did not relieve inactivation (Fig. 1 B), but relieved Gd³⁺ block (see accompanying paper Babich et al., 2007), the ratio between the numbers of open and open-blocked channels after 500 ms at 20 mV can be simply estimated from the current before the step to 200 mV and from the peak of the tail current on the return from 200 to 20 mV, as indicated. On average, the ratio was 0.161 ± 0.012 in the wild-type cells (n = 3) and 0.156 ± 0.014 (n = 4) in cells with CAM1234.

that there is no significant occupancy of an IB state, i.e., Gd³⁺ does not bind to inactivated channels.

DISCUSSION

Hypothesis: Ca²⁺ Ions Occlude the Selectivity Locus during Ca²⁺-dependent Inactivation

Our observations indicate that Ca²⁺-dependent inactivation and Gd³⁺ block of Caᵥ1.2 channels are mutually exclusive, suggesting direct or allosteric action at a common site. A possible mechanism is that Ca²⁺-dependent inactivation decreases Gd³⁺ binding, and that Gd³⁺ occupancy of the site blocks inactivation. The simplest form of such a mechanism is one in which inactivation increases occupancy of the Gd³⁺ site by Ca²⁺.

Several lines of data suggest that the selectivity filter itself is the site of interaction between Gd³⁺ and Ca²⁺-dependent inactivation. Gd³⁺ block is thought to occur at the selectivity filter and its potency is strongly influenced by competition with permeant ions. Inactivation has been shown to specifically reduce Ca²⁺ permeability, but not permeability of monovalent ions in the absence of Ca²⁺ (Babich et al., 2005). Importantly, the EEQE mutation at the selectivity locus eliminates Ca²⁺-dependent inactivation while allowing Ca²⁺ to permeate (Zong et al., 1994).

Thus, our studies of Gd³⁺ block suggest a determining role for the selectivity filter in Ca²⁺-dependent inactivation of these channels, in addition to the well-established role of calmodulin in enhancing or stabilizing the inactivated state. These ideas prompted us to determine whether a minimal model of this mechanism could account for key features of inactivation.

The Minimal Model of Ion-dependent Inactivation

Starting from the idea that Ca²⁺-dependent inactivation stabilizes a state of the selectivity filter with higher affinity to Ca²⁺, we built a minimal model of inactivation that successfully describes the U-shaped dependence of inactivation on voltage, the signature of the current-dependent mechanism. The model incorporates only very basic assumptions about gating, ion flux, and Ca²⁺ binding in the pore.

The model (Fig. 4) assumes that when Ca²⁺ is not in the pore, voltage-dependent inactivation obeys the four-step Charge1-Charge2 schema (Brum and Rios, 1987; Shirokov et al., 1992). The left–right transition from R (resting) to A (activated) states is the opening of the voltage-dependent gate; the down–up transition from P (primed) to I (inactivated) states is the inactivating step. Ca²⁺ binding to the pore (front-back transition) interferes with any of the four states, making it a three-particle allosteric mechanism.

The pore of noninactivated channels is assumed to have an apparent affinity for Ca²⁺ in the 10 mM range that is sufficient to provide the 10⁶ s⁻¹ throughput/flux.
rate corresponding to the observed 0.1–1 pA single-channel currents. According to our interpretation of experimental results, the affinity increases in inactivated channels. Even in inactivated states, the microscopic kinetic steps describing Ca$^{2+}$ binding are rapid in comparison with other transitions that correspond to the channel’s conformational changes. Therefore, the rapid equilibration approximation is used to describe Ca$^{2+}$ binding. This simplification allows the U-shaped voltage dependence of inactivation to be accounted for based on the fundamentals of the link between activation/inactivation and ionic flux without making specific structural assumptions about location of the interaction.

The rapid equilibration approximation of the intrapore ion binding has been used to describe H$^{+}$ blockage of Na$^{+}$ channels (Woodhull, 1973) and Ca$^{2+}$ blockage of the EEEE locus in the pore of cyclic nucleotide-gated channels (Seifert et al., 1999).

Because Ca$^{2+}$-dependent inactivation does not change the voltage dependence of the intramembrane charge movements in noninactivated and inactivated channels (Isaev et al., 2004), Ca$^{2+}$ binding is proposed to affect inactivation steps (P→I), but not transitions R→A, that correspond to movement of the voltage sensor. When Ca$^{2+}$ ion is in the pore, the inactivation onset rate (P→I) is increased by a factor $\gamma$ (20–100 in calculations). Because of microscopic reversibility, this is equivalent to increasing the affinity of inactivated channels to Ca$^{2+}$ by the same factor (i.e., effective $K_{D,eff} = K_{D}/\gamma$ in I states).

In addition to being coupled to the states of the channel, Ca$^{2+}$ binding in channels with open voltage-dependent gate (A states) is affected by voltage directly because the site is in the permeation pathway. If the on/off rates of Ca$^{2+}$ binding to the pore are independent of the direction from/to which Ca$^{2+}$ ions move to/from the channel at 0 mV, then the effect of voltage might be accounted for by using the effective dissociation constant written as:

$$K_{D,eff} = K_D f(V) = K_D e^{\delta V/25 \text{ mV}} \frac{1 + e^{-V/25 \text{ mV}}}{1 + e^{V-2\delta E_{Ca}/25 \text{ mV}}},$$

where $\delta$ is the portion of the electric field at the Ca$^{2+}$ binding site, $E_{Ca}$ is the equilibrium potential for Ca$^{2+}$, and the voltage steepness factor 25 mV approximates $RT/F$ at room temperature. The formula for $f(V)$ is an extension of the Woodhull theory of voltage-dependent block (Woodhull, 1973) for permeating ion.

Opening of the gate and low affinity of the pore correspond to the open noninactivated channel. In the AR states Ca$^{2+}$ can move through the channel. When the gate is open, but the channel is inactivated (the AI state), Ca$^{2+}$ flux through the channel is small because the pore has higher affinity to Ca$^{2+}$. The amplitude of single-channel current through the single site was calculated as:

$$i_{ch} = ([Ca_{in}^2+]k_{in}e^{(V-1/25mV)/25mV} - [Ca_{out}^2+]k_{out}e^{-V/25mV})(1 - P_{Ca}),$$

where $P_{Ca}$ is the probability that the site is occupied by Ca$^{2+}$. It is equal to

$$P_{Ca/Al} = 1/(1 + K_D f(V)/[Ca_{out}^2+]$$

in the state AP, and to

$$P_{Ca/AI} = 1/(1 + (K_D f(V) / \gamma)/[Ca_{out}^2+]$$

in the state AI.

Other constants and details of the model are described in the script file (text file cain.par in the supplemental material, available at http://www.jgp.org/cgi/content/full/jgp.200709734/DC1) that was used to run the calculation with the CaLC modeling program (Matveev et al., 2004).

Currents simulated for a set of voltage pulses applied from −100 mV are shown in Fig. 5 A. They are the sums of currents through channels in noninactivated and inactivated states. Simulated currents through inactivated states (Fig. 5 B) are very small. The rate of inactivation (determined by fitting a sum of an exponential and a constant) was maximal (Fig. 5 D) at voltages where peak current (Fig. 5 C) was maximal. Therefore, the simulation demonstrates that the U-shaped voltage dependence of inactivation can arise simply from Ca$^{2+}$ binding more potently to the pore of inactivated channels and thus preventing the influx. Inactivation increases at voltages where the channels activate because the state at which Ca$^{2+}$ blocks the pore is more likely when the voltage sensor is at the cis/active position. Inactivation decreases at more positive voltages because diffusion of Ca$^{2+}$ that stabilizes the high affinity inactivated state of the site within the pore is reduced.

In several studies, it has been shown that the maximum rate of inactivation does not seem to correspond to the peak of the ionic current (e.g., Noceti et al., 1998). Instead, the maximal rate of inactivation occurs 10–20 mV more negative than the voltage of maximal currents. Since the driving force for Ca$^{2+}$ influx increases...
steps were from the holding potential binding" coupling factor was $\gamma$ information to calculate single-channel currents. The "inactivation--permeation and inactivation of Ca$^{2+}$-- calcium permeation and inactivation of L-type (Yatani et al., 1994; Zong et al., 1999) and T-type (Talavera et al., 2003) channels. Understanding of its mechanisms had been well established (Lopez-Barneo et al., 1993; Baukrowitz and Yellen, 1995; Balser et al., 1996; Starkus et al., 1997; Kiss et al., 1999; Starkus et al., 2000; Loots and Isacoff, 2000; Kuo et al., 2004, see comment by Kass, 2004; Berneche and Roux, 2005). Although participation of the selectivity filter in inactivation gating was originally proposed for Ca$^{2+}$ channels (Brum et al., 1988; Pizarro, 1989), understanding of its mechanisms had been delayed apparently because it was thought to be a property of voltage-dependent inactivation, which is much slower and therefore of a lesser physiological significance than Ca$^{2+}$-dependent inactivation. Nevertheless, some studies that analyzed mutations in the selectivity locus of Ca$^{2+}$ channels clearly showed its involvement in rapid inactivation of L-type (Yatani et al., 1994; Zong et al., 1994) and T-type (Talavera et al., 2003) channels.

The idea that during inactivation Ca$^{2+}$ blocks the channel at the selectivity filter does not contradict the view that the calmodulin tethered to the intracellular side of the channel is an important regulator of inactivation. To explain a more rapid inactivation and a more pronounced U-shape of voltage dependence in Ca$^{2+}$ rather than in Ba$^{2+}$, the "inactivation-block coupling" factor $\gamma$ in our minimal model of ion-dependent inactivation should be greater in Ca$^{2+}$ than in Ba$^{2+}$ (Fig. S3). This is in agreement with our previous suggestion (Isaev et al., 2004) that Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin controls inactivation by stabilizing the inactivated state(s) with Ca$^{2+}$ bound to the pore. However, according to our view, the U-shaped voltage dependence of inactivation does not occur from the Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin interaction. It results from Ca$^{2+}$ blockage of the selectivity filter, or, more specifically, the effect of voltage on Ca$^{2+}$ accessibility to it.

In the last few years, the study of Ca$^{2+}$-dependent inactivation has been driven mostly by the analysis of the role of calmodulin. Our work demonstrates that other Ca$^{2+}$ site(s) are involved as well. The mechanism that
actually stops Ca\(^{2+}\) influx through inactivated channels has not been identified, but our data and modeling suggest that intrapore Ca\(^{2+}\) itself is key. Our observations strongly indicate that changes in the selectivity filter play a fundamental role in the block of Ca\(^{2+}\) influx during Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent inactivation.

Although further studies are needed to determine specifics of the molecular mechanism that alters Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to the selectivity filter during activation/inactivation gating, the theory described here provides a relatively simple framework for explaining how auxiliary subunits and calmodulin fine tune ion- and voltage-dependent inactivation by directly influencing movements of the S5-pore-S6 bundles of the α1 subunit.
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