PKC-mediated Stimulation of Amphibian CFTR Depends on a Single Phosphorylation Consensus Site. Insertion of This Site Confers PKC Sensitivity to Human CFTR
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Abstract Mutations of the CFTR, a phosphorylation-regulated Cl⁻ channel, cause cystic fibrosis. Activation of CFTR by PKA stimulation appears to be mediated by a complex interaction between several consensus phosphorylation sites in the regulatory domain (R domain). None of these sites has a critical role in this process. Here, we show that although endogenous phosphorylation by PKC is required for the effect of PKA on CFTR, stimulation of PKC by itself has only a minor effect on human CFTR. In contrast, CFTR from the amphibians Necturus maculosus and Xenopus laevis (XCFTR) can be activated to similar degrees by stimulation of either PKA or PKC. Furthermore, the activation of XCFTR by PKC is independent of the net charge of the R domain, and mutagenesis experiments indicate that a single site (Thr⁶⁶⁵) is required for the activation of XCFTR. Human CFTR lacks the PKC phosphorylation consensus site that includes Thr⁶⁶⁵, but insertion of an equivalent site results in a large activation upon PKC stimulation. These observations establish the presence of a novel mechanism of activation of CFTR by phosphorylation of the R domain, i.e., activation by PKC requires a single consensus phosphorylation site and is unrelated to the net charge of the R domain.
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Introduction

Cystic fibrosis results from mutations in the CFTR, a 1,480-amino acid protein that functions as a Cl⁻-selective ion channel in a variety of epithelial cells. CFTR has two topologically equivalent halves, each consisting of a transmembrane domain (six membrane-spanning helices) followed by a nucleotide-binding domain. The cytoplasmic regulatory domain (R domain)¹ links these two halves. The membrane-spanning helices form the anion-conductive pore, the nucleotide-binding domains bind and hydrolyze ATP and are involved in channel gating, and the R domain contains consensus phosphorylation sites for PKA and PKC (for review see Seibert et al., 1997).

CFTR channels are gated by exposure to PKA and ATP, both in excised patches and after purification and reconstitution in planar lipid bilayers (for review see Seibert et al., 1997). Although it is well established that phosphorylation of the R domain is essential for activation of CFTR, the precise molecular mechanism of this activation has proven elusive, and is thought to involve several sites and complex interactions (for reviews see Chang et al., 1993; Gadsby and Nairn, 1999; Sheppard and Welsh, 1999; Ma, 2000).

PKA-mediated activation of human CFTR (hCFTR) requires endogenous phosphorylation by PKC (Cohn et al., 1991; Jia et al., 1997; Vankeerberghen et al., 1999). However, PKC-mediated phosphorylation, per se, has only a small effect on hCFTR activity. In contrast, we discovered that Necturus CFTR can be activated by either PKA- or PKC-mediated phosphorylation (Copello et al., 1993). The same phenomenon occurs with Xenopus CFTR (XCFTR), as shown in this study. The goal of the present work was to determine the molecular bases for the differences in the activation of hCFTR and XCFTR by PKC stimulation. Our results show that, in contrast with the complexity of PKA-mediated activation, the stimulation of XCFTR by PKC depends on a single PKC consensus phosphorylation site in the R domain. Confirming the importance of the critical site in XCFTR, engineering an equivalent site in hCFTR makes this molecule responsive to PKC stimulation.

Materials and Methods

cRNA Preparation

Full-length hCFTR and XCFTR cDNAs were subcloned into the oocyte expression vector pOCYT7 (gift from Dr. Nancy Wills, University of Texas Medical Branch; Mo et al., 1999). This expression vector contains the Xenopus 5’ and 3’ β-globin untranslated regions to boost expression in oocytes (Mo et al., 1999). In brief,
human CFTR (a gift from Dr. Lap-Chee Tsui, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada), was cut with XmaI and SalI and ligated into pOCTY7 cut with the same restriction enzymes. Xenopus CFTR (a gift from Drs. Margaret Price and Michael Welsh, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA; Price et al., 1996), was cloned into the KpnI and SalI sites of pOCTY7. The resulting vectors were linearized with XhoI and used as templates for T7-directed capped cRNA synthesis (mMessage mMACHINE; Ambion).

**Oocyte Preparation and cRNA Injection**

*Xenopus laevis* oocytes were isolated and prepared using a well-documented protocol (Sharon et al., 1997). In brief, oocyte lobes were surgically removed from frogs anesthetized with tricaine methanesulfonate (1 g/liter). Stage-V and -VI oocytes were isolated by manual dissection and defolliculated using enzymatic treatment with 1 mg/ml type I collagenase in Barth’s solution ([in mM] 88 NaCl, 1.0 KCl, 1.0 CaCl$_2$, 10 HEPES/NaOH, pH 7.40) containing 10 mg/ml penicillin, 10 mg/ml streptomycin, and 100 mg/ml gentamicin sulfate, for 16 h (all incubations were performed at 16°C). The enzymatic treatment was followed by a 2-h incubation in calcium-free Barth’s solution. Treated oocytes were transferred to Barth’s solution. Oocytes were injected with 47 nl of CFTR cRNA (5–10 ng) or sterile water 24–72 h before analysis, using a Nanoject autoinjector (Drummond Scientific). The 8-Br-cAMP–activated currents in the mutant H667R-hCFTR were very high; thus, cRNA injection was reduced to 1–2.5 ng/oocyte.

**Two-electrode Voltage Clamp**

Oocytes were bathed in the HEPES-buffered solution ND96 ([in mM] 96 NaCl, 2.0 KCl, 1.8 CaCl$_2$, and 5 HEPES/NaOH, pH 7.40). All experiments were performed at 22–24°C. Borosilicate microelectrodes were pulled with a horizontal puller (P-97; Sutter Instruments), filled with 3 M KCl, and had tip resistances of 0.5–1.5 MΩ when immersed in ND96 solution. A voltage-clamp amplifier (model OC-725C; Warner Instruments) was used to measure whole-oocyte conductance. Voltages were referenced to the bath. Membrane currents were filtered at 1.0 kHz, digitized, stored, and analyzed with pCLAMP version 8.0 (Axon Instruments). I–V relationships were obtained by current measurement 400 ms after changing the potential from a holding value of −30 mV to test values ranging from −100 to +30 mV, in 10-mV steps, with 100-ms intervals between pulses. Oocyte conductance was determined in the consistently linear range between the reversal potential and 30 mV. The membrane conductances of unstimulated oocytes (i.e., no 8-Br-cAMP or PMA) after cRNA injection were not different from those of water-injected oocytes (Table I). In hCFTR- and XCFTR-expressing oocytes, membrane conductances were directly proportional to the amount of cRNA injected (not shown) and time after injection (Table I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conductances of Xenopus Oocytes Expressing CFTR</th>
<th>Unstimulated</th>
<th>cAMP-stimulated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>72 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mock</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.7 (11)</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.4 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hCFTR</td>
<td>4.2 ± 3.8 (35)</td>
<td>426 ± 60 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XCFTR</td>
<td>3.1 ± 2.1 (34)</td>
<td>214 ± 50 (14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CFTR R Domain Chimera Construction**

A chimera, in which residues 607–811 of the hCFTR were replaced by the corresponding XCFTR residues, was engineered by a combination of site-directed mutagenesis and PCR. Site-directed mutagenesis was used to introduce MluI and KasI unique sites at the 5’ and 3’ ends of the R domain hCFTR cDNA sequence with silent mutations. The QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) was used to insert the unique restriction sites. Two mutagenic oligonucleotide primers, each complementary to opposite strands of the vector, were used for each mutagenesis reaction. The wild-type hCFTR was used as a template. The primers used were as follows (only sense primer is shown, restriction sites underlined): 5’TGGTAAAGAAACGCCCATTCTGATGTCAG–3’ (MluI site) and 5’GGATATATTCCAGGCCCTATCTCAAGAAAC–3’ (KasI site). The reaction mixture was heated at 95°C for 45 s and subjected to 12 cycles for 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 10 min at 72°C. Cycling was finished by a 10-min incubation at 70°C. The PCR reaction was treated with DpnI to digest the DNA template, and then used to transform Escherichia coli. The XCFTR R domain cDNA was amplified by PCR, introducing MluI and KasI sites that were used to exchange the hCFTR and XCFTR R domain sequences. The PCR primers were as follows (MluI and KasI sites underlined): 5’GGAGCGCCGTTTTTACTGCATATATACGCTAGAAAC–3’ (forward) and 5’TGGTAAAGAAACGCCCATTCTGATGTCAG–3’ (reverse).

**PKC Consensus Site Mutagenesis**

The QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit was used, as described above, to make point mutations. To remove consensus phosphorylation sites, Ser or Thr were substituted with Ala. The primers also contained silent mutations to introduce unique restriction sites for primary screening. The following primers were used (only sense primer is shown, with mutations underlined): 5’TATATAATGTAGGGCCTGAGCATGCTGCT–3’ (Thr$^{665}$ to Ala, 217 (6)) was 1.099 ± 268 (14) for 1.099 ± 268 (14) and 2.028 ± 217 (6) for 2.028 ± 217 (6). The mean ± SEM of n experiments (numbers in parentheses).
plus addition of HaeIII site), 5'-GTCAAGATTTTTAAGGCAAGCAG-3' (Ser686 to Ala, plus addition of HindIII site), 5'-TGCGG-TGGTTCGCTGAAAAGAAAGAG-3' (Ser694 to Ala, plus addition of DdeI site), and 5'-CAAGAAAAACTGCTAGTTCG-3' (Ser790 to Ala, plus addition of PstI site). For construction of the H667R-hCFTR mutant, the primer used was 5'-AGACCTTGCGCCGTTTCTCA-3' (construct screened with HhaI, underlined). The wild-type XCFTR or hCFTR in the pOCYT7 vector (see above) was used as a template.

**Oocyte cAMP Levels**

Intracellular cAMP was determined 24–48 h after cRNA injection. Oocytes were incubated for 20 min in the presence of either 250 nM PMA to activate PKC or 25 μM forskolin to activate PKA. At the end of the incubation period, cells were lysed by sonication. All samples were heated to 95°C for 5 min and centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatants were assayed using a cAMP enzyme immunoassay kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech).

**Western Blot Analysis**

Western blot analysis was performed with the affinity-purified rabbit anti-CFTR antibody a1468 (provided by Dr. Jonathan Cohn, Duke University, Durham, NC; Cohn et al., 1991; Torres et al., 1996). The epitope consists of the COOH-terminal 13 amino acids of human CFTR. The last 12 amino acids are identical in hCFTR and XCFTR. Enriched plasma membranes were prepared by membrane biotinylation and streptavidin affinity purification (Pajor et al., 1998). In brief, membrane proteins were labeled by incubating the oocytes with 0.5 mg/ml biotin (EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-Biotin; Pierce Chemical Co.) for 30 min at room temperature. Oocytes were washed three times with 4 ml of ice-cold PBS to remove unbound biotin, resuspended in Barth's solution, and lysed at 4°C by sonication with an ultrasonic processor (model GE501; Sonics and Materials). Yolk and debris were collected by centrifugation at 1,000 g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was gently mixed for 1 h at 4°C with 4 ml of ice-cold PBS to remove unbound biotin, resuspended in Barth's solution, and lyzed at 4°C by sonication with an ultrasonic processor (model GE501; Sonics and Materials). Yolk and debris were collected by centrifugation at 1,000 g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was gently mixed for 1 h at 4°C for 1 h at 4°C with 50 μl of streptavidin attached to beads (ImunoPure immobilized streptavidin; Pierce Chemical Co.). Bound membranes were collected by centrifugation at 1,000 g for 2 min at 4°C. The pellet was washed twice with PBS, resuspended in electrophoresis sample buffer, and subjected to 10% SDS-PAGE electrophoresis (NuPage Bis-Tris; Novex). Western blots were performed as previously described (Han et al., 1996). The primary antibody concentration was 1 μg/ml, and the secondary antibody was a horseradish peroxidase goat anti–rabbit. CFTR detection was performed by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech).
Solutions and Drugs

To activate PKA, intracellular cAMP was elevated using a cAMP cocktail containing 250 μM 8-Br-cAMP and 25 μM forskolin (Sigma-Aldrich). To activate PKC, 250 nM PMA (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the bath. Stock solutions of these compounds were prepared in water (8-Br-cAMP), dimethylsulfoxide (PMA), or ethanol (forskolin), and diluted to the desired final concentration in ND96 solution immediately before use. At the concentrations used, the vehicles had no effects on the CFTR currents.

Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as the means ± SEM. Differences between means were compared by either paired or unpaired two-tailed t tests, as appropriate. Statistical significance was ascribed to P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Differential Activation of Human and Xenopus CFTR by PKC

We expressed human and Xenopus CFTR orthologues in Xenopus oocytes because these cells are capable of appropriate posttranslational processing, express CFTR at high levels, and have no significant endogenous cAMP- or PMA-activated Cl− currents (Cunningham et al., 1992). Injection of either human or Xenopus CFTR cRNA into Xenopus oocytes resulted in functional CFTR expression in the plasma membrane, as shown by the stimulation of CFTR chloride currents in response to 8-Br-cAMP and forskolin (Fig. 1). The current in water-injected oocytes was insensitive to PKA agonists (Fig. 1 A; Bear et al., 1991; Yamazaki et al., 1999). The currents are mediated by CFTR based on their halide selectivities (i.e., Br− ≈ Cl− > I− > F− for hCFTR and I− > Cl− > Br− > F− for XCFTR [Fig. 1, C and D]), and their reversal potentials (in NaCl-based bath solution) near the Cl− equilibrium potential (about −30 mV; Cunningham et al., 1992).

To determine the effect of PKC-mediated phosphorylation on the activation of the two CFTR orthologues, oocytes expressing hCFTR or XCFTR were exposed to PMA. I-V relationships, current time course, and conductance data in Fig. 2 show that, in hCFTR-expressing oocytes, PMA alone elicits only a small fraction of the conductance observed after the subsequent application of the cAMP cocktail. Exposure to PMA resulted, as previously observed by others (Berger et al., 1993; Yamazaki et al., 1999), in a transient hCFTR conductance (Fig. 2 C) that amounted to 28 ± 7%, followed by a sustained conductance of only 13 ± 3% of the total conductance observed with stimulation of both PKC and PKA (Fig. 2 A, C, and E). Higher PMA concentrations did not increase the currents further. Relative to the current elicited by 250 nM PMA, the current after 500 nM PMA was 103 ± 5% (n = 4), and after 1 μM it was 89 ± 9% (n = 2).

In contrast, in XCFTR-expressing oocytes, PMA activated 79 ± 8% of the conductance seen after stimula-

Figure 2. Activation of CFTR Cl− conductance by PKC. (A) Representative I-V plot obtained from an oocyte injected with hCFTR. (B) I-V plot obtained from an oocyte injected with XCFTR. (C) Time course of hCFTR activation by PMA. (D) Time course of XCFTR activation by PMA. (E) Summary of the maximal conductance elicited by PMA. Data were normalized to the maximal conductance obtained with PMA + cAMP. Data shown are from 10 to 12 hCFTR- and XCFTR-expressing oocytes, respectively. I-V plots in PMA-treated oocytes were obtained approximately 15 min after exposure to 250 nM PMA. Next, the cells were exposed to the cAMP cocktail in the continuous presence of PMA, and I-V plots were recorded approximately 10 min later. The results show that PMA is highly effective in activating XCFTR, but not hCFTR. See Fig. 1 for additional details.

Figure 3. Effects of PMA and forskolin on cAMP levels. Data are from unstimulated oocytes and oocytes exposed for 20 min to either PMA (250 nM) or forskolin (25 μM). Forskolin, but not PMA, increased oocytes cAMP (P < 0.01, n = 3 for each group of oocytes).
tion of both PKA and PKC (Fig. 2, B, D, and E). The PMA-activated conductance was sustained for over 30 min, in the continued presence of PMA, and was reversible after extended washout, like the XCFTR conductance elicited by PKA stimulation (not shown). In these experiments, higher 8-Br-cAMP concentrations did not increase the currents further. Relative to the current elicited by 250 μM 8-Br-cAMP, the current after 500 μM 8-Br-cAMP was 98 ± 6% (n = 3), and after 1 mM 8-Br-cAMP it was 96 ± 2% (n = 3).

The above results demonstrate that exposure to PMA activates XCFTR to a level similar to that obtained after PKA stimulation. To determine whether the effect of PMA is due to activation of PKC, we tested the inactive phorbol analogue 4β-PMA on XCFTR-expressing oocytes. Application of 4α-PMA (250 nM) did not change oocyte membrane conductance, whereas subsequent exposure to 4β-PMA caused an increase in conductance to 86 ± 11% of the value after exposure to the cAMP cocktail and 4β-PMA combined, similar to the results in Fig. 2. Further, application of 4β-PMA to water- or hCFTR-injected oocytes, as well as application of 4α-PMA after 4β-PMA in the XCFTR-injected oocytes, had no effect on the oocyte conductances (data not shown).

Another possibility is that PMA increases XCFTR conductance via an elevation of cAMP. Cross-talk between the PKA and PKC signaling systems has been demonstrated in a number of systems (Gao et al., 1999). To explain our data, the cross-talk would have to take place in XCFTR, but not in hCFTR-expressing oocytes. To test this possibility, intracellular cAMP was measured under control conditions (in ND96 medium) and after exposure to either 250 nM PMA or 25 μM forskolin. As seen in Fig. 3, a 20-min exposure to PMA did not increase intracellular cAMP in oocytes injected with water or expressing either hCFTR or XCFTR. In contrast, forskolin increased XCFTR oocytes intracellular cAMP level by more than 80-fold.

Finally, the difference between hCFTR and XCFTR sensitivity to PMA could be in principle explained by the expression system used. One or more cell-specific components in Xenopus oocytes could allow XCFTR, but not hCFTR, to be directly or indirectly sensitive to PKC-mediated phosphorylation. This question was addressed by experiments in which either XCFTR or hCFTR was expressed in COS-1 cells. In four experiments in cells transfected with XCFTR cDNA, PMA elicited a conductance of 55 ± 3% of that produced by 8-Br-cAMP or forskolin. In contrast, in COS-1 cells expressing hCFTR, the increase in conductance elicited by PMA was on average 21 ± 4%. Taken together, the experiments in Xenopus oocytes and COS-1 cells demonstrate that the difference in PKC-mediated activation of human and Xenopus orthologues is inherent to the CFTR molecules and not to the host cells.

2In one experiment, there was no response to PMA. If this experiment is included in the calculation, the PMA-stimulated conductance is still significantly increased, i.e., 47 ± 4% of the 8-Br-cAMP-stimulated current. A negative result was also observed in 1 of 16 experiments in Xenopus oocytes expressing XCFTR. A possible explanation for the occasional absence of response to PMA is that the cells had low endogenous cAMP levels (see next page).
The R Domain Accounts for the Differences in the Responses of Human and Xenopus CFTR to PKC Activation

To test for a possible role of the R domain, a chimera was constructed in which residues 607–811 of hCFTR (Figs. 4 and 5 A) were replaced with the equivalent residues of XeCFTR. This chimera (HXH-CFTR) contains the NH₂- and COOH-terminal transmembrane domains and nucleotide-binding domains from hCFTR. It should exhibit the halide-selectivity sequence of hCFTR, because previous experiments with human/Xe-chimeras revealed that the halide selectivity sequence of hCFTR and XCFTR depends on the NH₂-terminal transmembrane domain (Price et al., 1996). In addition, if the R domain from XCFTR is the region responsible for the regulation by PKC-mediated phosphorylation, then HXH-CFTR should be sensitive to PKC agonists, like XCFTR.

HXH-CFTR was fully processed and inserted in the plasma membranes of Xenopus oocytes as shown by Western blot analysis of plasma membranes (Fig. 5 B). Electrophysiological studies showed no appreciable current in the absence of kinase stimulation (Figs. 5 C and 6 A), as shown above for wild-type hCFTR and XCFTR (Figs. 1 and 2). The PKA agonist 8-Br-cAMP reversibly elicited a large, near-linear current, with a reversal potential of approximately −30 mV (Fig. 6, A and C) and halide-selectivity sequence identical to that of wild-type hCFTR (Fig. 5 D).

The response to PMA of oocytes expressing HXH-CFTR was similar to those of oocytes expressing either hCFTR or XCFTR, in a clearly bimodal distribution, 462 Regulation of CFTR by PKC-mediated Phosphorylation
without intermediate responses. A basal level of PKA-mediated phosphorylation, insufficient to activate XCFTR by itself, is required for the PMA effect (Yamazaki et al., 1999; Button et al., 2000). Since oocyte endogenous cAMP levels from oocyte to oocyte (on average 300 nmol/oocyte) are quite variable, we reasoned that the variability in activation of HXH-CFTR by PKA alone could result from differences in intracellular cAMP. In oocytes with low PKA activity, HXH-CFTR may not be phosphorylated enough to be activated by PMA alone. To "standardize" the level of PKA activity, we exposed the cells to 8-Br-cAMP at a concentration insufficient to activate the current by itself (Fig. 6). We call this “priming.” In all 15 experiments, application of PMA to primed oocytes expressing HXH-CFTR elicited full current activation (102 ± 8% of the current observed with the subsequent addition of the cAMP cocktail; Fig. 6, A and C), which is not different from the results in oocytes expressing wild-type XCFTR (Fig. 2 C). However, priming did not increase the activation of the wild-type hCFTR by PKC-mediated phosphorylation (Fig. 6, B and C). From these results, we conclude that the R domain is the target for the activation of XCFTR by PKC stimulation, and that the Xenopus R domain is sufficient to confer the sensitivity of CFTR to PKC stimulation.

Conserved Phosphorylation Sites Are Not Involved in the Response to PKC Activation

As shown in Fig. 4, the R domain of XCFTR contains at least seven consensus sites for phosphorylation by PKC. Four of the phosphorylatable residues are also present in hCFTR (Thr<sup>665</sup>, Ser<sup>686</sup>, Ser<sup>707</sup>, and Ser<sup>790</sup>), and two of them (Ser<sup>686</sup> and Ser<sup>790</sup>) are phosphorylated in vitro in hCFTR (Picciotto et al., 1992). The R domain of XCFTR contains two additional sites (Thr<sup>665</sup> and Ser<sup>694</sup>) also present in the N. maculosus CFTR homologue, but absent in hCFTR (Fig. 4). Like the Xenopus CFTR, the PKC agonist PMA activates the Necturus homologue of CFTR (Copello et al., 1993). The role of the “conserved” and “unique” phosphorylation sites in the stimulation of XCFTR by PMA was explored by substituting serine or threonine of the consensus sequences with alanine. In these experiments, priming was carried out as explained above.

Substitution of the two conserved serine residues known to be phosphorylated (Ser<sup>686</sup> and Ser<sup>790</sup>; Picciotto et al., 1992) with alanine residues (S686A/S790A-XCFTR; Fig. 7, A and C) did not affect the activation by PMA. The unstimulated oocytes displayed no significant current, and the subsequent application of 8-Br-cAMP elicited a large, linear, reversible current, with a reversal potential close to E<sub>Cl</sub> (approximately −30 mV; Fig. 7 A) and a halide-selectivity sequence identical to that of XCFTR (I<sup>−</sup> > Cl<sup>−</sup> > Br<sup>−</sup> > F<sup>−</sup>; Fig. 1 C). These results indicate that the currents are mediated by CFTR, and agree with the notion that the ion pore is formed by the transmembrane segments (Price et al., 1996). In seven experiments, PMA stimulated the current to 92 ± 11% of the response to the combination of 8-Br-cAMP and PMA (Fig. 7, A and C). This observation indicates that Ser<sup>686</sup> and Ser<sup>790</sup> are not necessary for the activation of XCFTR by PKC stimulation.

Thr<sup>665</sup> Is Essential for the Response to PKC Activation of XCFTR

To investigate the roles of the unique PKC consensus sites (Thr<sup>665</sup> and Ser<sup>694</sup>), we expressed the mutant lacking both sites (T665A/S694A-XCFTR) in Xenopus oocytes. This mutant was also well expressed, did not exhibit basal current, and was sensitive to agonists of PKA-mediated phosphorylation (Fig. 7 B). However, PMA elicited a small current (Fig. 7, B and C) that was not different from that measured in oocytes expressing wild-type hCFTR. The lack of response to PMA could not be overcome by a higher concentration (750 nM, data not shown). This result suggests that at least one of the two unique sites in the R domain of XCFTR is critical for activation by PKC-mediated phosphorylation. Although the degrees of activation of this mutant by PKA and PKC were the same as in the wild-type hCFTR, its halide-selec-
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The activity sequence (I⁻ > Cl⁻ > Br⁻ > F⁻; Fig. 1) was identical to that of the wild-type XCFTR, as expected.

To ascertain the roles of the two conserved sites, we mutated Thr665 and Ser694 separately and tested the effect of PMA in primed oocytes. When S694A-\textit{X}CFTR was stimulated with PMA, there was full current activation (Fig. 8, A and C). In contrast, in oocytes expressing T665A-\textit{X}CFTR, PMA failed to produce full current activation (Fig. 8, B and C). Currents in cRNA-injected oocytes vary from cell to cell. Thus, it is possible that the reduced activation by PMA of \textit{X}CFTR mutants containing the Thr665 to Ala mutation is not absolute, but relative to the activation of PKA stimulation (i.e., the mutation increases the activation of CFTR by cAMP).

The data in Fig. 9 indicate that this is not the case because the cAMP-activated currents are not different among oocytes expressing wild-type \textit{X}CFTR, S686A/S790A-\textit{X}CFTR, or T665A/S694A-\textit{X}CFTR. These results prove that Thr665 is the residue necessary for the activation of CFTR by PKC.

**DISCUSSION**

The phosphorylation of serines and threonines by cAMP-dependent kinases is a conserved step in the activation of CFTR Cl⁻ channels from several species (Seibert et al., 1997). It is clear that at least four of the dibasic PKA consensus sites in the R domain are important for activation (Chang et al., 1993; Rich et al., 1993). PKC stimulation alone produced only a small activation of hCFTR expressed in \textit{Xenopus} oocytes, a result consistent with those previously reported using either heterologous expression systems or native CFTR-expressing cells (Berger et al., 1993; Yamazaki et al., 1999). In contrast, PKC stimulation of \textit{X}CFTR elicited a large Cl⁻ conductance, similar in magnitude to that activated by PKA agonists. Further, experiments with expression of either hCFTR or \textit{X}CFTR in COS-1 cells yielded similar results to those in \textit{Xenopus} oocytes. Thus, activation of CFTR by PKC is a property of the amphibian CFTR isoforms.
A Single PKC Consensus Phosphorylation Site in the R Domain Is Needed for the Activation of Xenopus CFTR by PKC Stimulation

The chimera in which the R domain of XCFTR replaced the R domain of hCFTR exhibited the halide-selectivity sequence of hCFTR, as expected from previous studies indicating that the ion selectivity of CFTR is determined by the NH$_2$-terminal transmembrane spanning domain (Price et al., 1996). In addition, the HXH-CFTR chimera was sensitive to PKC stimulation, indicating that the R domain is the target for the activation of XCFTR by PKC stimulation, and that the Xenopus R domain is sufficient to confer sensitivity of CFTR to PKC stimulation. These experiments also indicate that the interaction between the R domain and other regions of CFTR is preserved in the HXH chimera. Although the human and Xenopus R domains exhibit only 65% amino acid sequence identity, the Xenopus R domain does interact with the nucleotide-binding domains and/or transmembrane domains of hCFTR, eliciting channel activation in response to PKC stimulation.

The mutagenesis experiments presented in this paper indicate that the PKC consensus phosphorylation site including Thr$^{665}$ is required for the activation of the channel by PKC stimulation. In hCFTR, Thr$^{665}$ is conserved, but the PKC consensus phosphorylation site is missing. Insertion of this site in the H667R-hCFTR mutant resulted in a large increase in current in response to PKC activation. The experiments on this mutant and the HXH chimera were different in some respects (e.g., priming concentration of 8-Br-cAMP and amount of cRNA injected), making a quantitative comparison of the responses to PKC activation difficult. In any event, the results indicate that the PKC consensus phosphorylation site that includes Thr$^{665}$ is critical for the response to PMA. If the activation of the H667R-hCFTR mutant is in fact less than that of the HXH chimera, then one would conclude also that the phosphorylation of Thr$^{665}$, or its functional effect, involves other residues of the R domain of CFTR.

PKC-mediated activation of hCFTR requires endogenous phosphorylation by PKA (Yamazaki et al., 1999). Activation of XCFTR by PKA occurred in all oocytes in the absence of priming, whereas HXH-CFTR needed priming (Figs. 2 and 4). This difference could be the result of either a lower PKA-mediated phosphorylation of HXH-CFTR at basal cAMP levels, or differences in the interaction of the R domain of XCFTR with other hCFTR domains. Oocytes expressing a chimera consisting of the R domain and COOH-terminal half of CFTR and the NH$_2$-terminal half of hCFTR did not require priming, whereas a CFTR molecule consisting of the NH$_2$-terminal half and R domain of XCFTR and the COOH-terminal half of hCFTR did (Button et al., 2000). Additional studies will be needed to establish the bases of the need for priming of the HXH-CFTR.

Our data suggest, but do not prove, that the stimulation of XCFTR by PMA is directly mediated by phosphorylation of Thr$^{665}$ by PKC. This is the simplest explanation of our results because of the following reasons. First, the inactive phorbol ester 4a-PMA is ineffective, ruling out most nonspecific effects of PMA. Second, PMA treatment does not increase cAMP levels in oocytes, ruling out most indirect effects of PMA. Third, cGMP is ineffective in Necturus gallbladder epithelial cells (Heming et al., 1994), suggesting no role of the cGMP-dependent kinase in the regulation of amphibian CFTR. Fourth, substitution of Thr$^{665}$ in a PKC consensus phosphorylation site of XCFTR abolishes the response to PMA. Finally, addition of a PKC consensus phosphorylation site in hCFTR confers sensitivity of this molecule to PMA. Based on these observations, we hypothesize that phosphorylation of Thr$^{665}$ by PKC is responsible for the activation of XCFTR by PMA. The phosphorylation level of CFTR depends on the rates of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation. Thus, it is conceivable that PMA may inhibit a phosphatase that dephosphorylates Thr$^{665}$, and this inhibition solely or in part could account for the increase in phosphorylation of Thr$^{665}$. Resolution of the precise mechanism of XCFTR activation will require additional experiments.
The Mechanism of CFTR Activation by Phosphorylation of the R Domain

It is clear that hCFTR is activated by PKA-mediated phosphorylation of residues located mainly in the R domain (Anderson et al., 1991; Tabcharani et al., 1991; Nagel et al., 1992). Phosphorylation of specific residues can modulate CFTR either positively or negatively (Wilkinson et al., 1997), but mutagenesis studies indicate that no single site is responsible for the activation (Cheng et al., 1991; Chang et al., 1993; Rich et al., 1993). Therefore, it is thought that the increase in CFTR channel open probability by PKA activation is a consequence of either a change in the net charge of the R domain (Ostedgaard et al., 2000) or a more complicated phenomenon involving multiple phosphorylation consensus sites (Gadsby and Nairn, 1999; Baldursson et al., 2000). In contrast, our data indicate that a specific residue (Thr665) is necessary for the activation of the XCFTR channel by PMA.

It has been assumed that exon 13 of hCFTR (residues 591–830) codes for the R domain (Cheng et al., 1991). However, recent experimental evidence suggests that exon 13 includes three distinct regions. The first region is the last portion of the first nucleotide-binding domain (Chan et al., 2000), based on the homology between CFTR and the ATP-binding subunit of the histidine permease (Hung et al., 1998). The second region is the NH2-terminal region of the R domain, which contains few consensus phosphorylation sites, but includes Thr665, the critical residue for activation of XCFTR by PKC stimulation. This region is somewhat structured and phosphorylation by PKA probably changes its secondary structure (Dulhanty and Riordan, 1994). The third region is the COOH-terminal region of the R domain, which contains most PKA and PKC phosphorylation sites. This region is mostly made of random coils, and PKA-mediated phosphorylation has no effect on its secondary structure, which was assessed by circular dichroism spectroscopy (Ostedgaard et al., 2000).

Our results are compatible with the notion that activation of CFTR by PKC stimulation involves the more structured NH2-terminal region of the R domain and occurs independently of the net charge. Consistent with this view, a chimera in which part of the COOH-terminal portion of the R domain of hCFTR was replaced with the linker domain of MDR1 was fully activated by PKA (Vankeerberghen et al., 1999). Although the linker region of MDR1 has three sites known to be phosphorylated by PKC, stimulation of this kinase did not increase channel activity significantly (Vankeerberghen et al., 1999). These observations support the conclusion that activation of CFTR by PKC stimulation depends on the specific location of the phosphorylated residue. The demonstration that a single residue is critical for CFTR activation by PKC stimulation provides basic and novel information that will serve for future studies aimed at understanding CFTR regulation at the structural level.
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