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ABSTRACT HERG encodes an inwardly-rectifying potassium channel that plays an important role in repolarization of the cardiac action potential. Inward rectification of HERG channels results from rapid and voltage-dependent inactivation gating, combined with very slow activation gating. We asked whether the voltage sensor is implicated in the unusual properties of HERG gating: does the voltage sensor move slowly to account for slow activation and deactivation, or could the voltage sensor move rapidly to account for the rapid kinetics and intrinsic voltage dependence of inactivation? To probe voltage sensor movement, we used a fluorescence technique to examine conformational changes near the positively charged S4 region. Fluorescent probes attached to three different residues on the NH2-terminal end of the S4 region (E518C, E519C, and L520C) reported both fast and slow voltage-dependent changes in fluorescence. The slow changes in fluorescence correlated strongly with activation gating, suggesting that the slow activation gating of HERG results from slow voltage sensor movement. The fast changes in fluorescence showed voltage dependence and kinetics similar to inactivation gating, though these fluorescence signals were not affected by external tetraethylammonium blockade or mutations that alter inactivation. A working model with two types of voltage sensor movement is proposed as a framework for understanding HERG channel gating and the fluorescence signals.
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INTRODUCTION

The human ether-à-go-go related gene (HERG)* K+ channel is one of the myriad of ion channels responsible for generating the cardiac action potential. HERG codes for a voltage-activated potassium channel that is especially important during the repolarization phase of the action potential (Curran et al., 1995; Sanguinetti et al., 1995; Trudeau et al., 1995). Current through this channel is thought to correspond to a potassium current previously studied in myocytes, named Ik (Shibasaki, 1987; Sanguinetti and Jurkiewicz, 1990; Sanguinetti et al., 1995).

The hydropathy plot for the HERG protein suggests that this channel resembles the Shaker potassium channel; both have a six transmembrane region subunit structure with a highly charged fourth transmembrane segment. Despite this similarity, HERG channels behave very differently from Shaker channels; HERG behaves like an inward rectifier rather than an outward rectifier (Sanguinetti et al., 1995; Trudeau et al., 1995). This anomalous behavior is due to the unusual kinetics of HERG gating, with slow activation gating and fast inactivation gating. During depolarization, HERG channels slowly activate then rapidly inactivate, resulting in little outward current; during subsequent hyperpolarization, channels recover rapidly from inactivation but deactivate slowly, resulting in a large inward current.

The fast inactivation mechanism of HERG channels resembles the C-type inactivation mechanism observed in Shaker potassium channels (Schönherr and Heinemann, 1996; Smith et al., 1996). C-type inactivation results from a constriction of the outer mouth of the pore that occludes the flow of potassium ions (Choi et al., 1996; Hoshi et al., 1991; Yellen et al., 1994; Liu et al., 1996). This process occurs slowly over several hundred milliseconds and is not intrinsically voltage-dependent. HERG inactivation also appears to result from a conformational change at the outer mouth of the pore; however, HERG inactivation is very fast, occurring in milliseconds, and it is intrinsically voltage-dependent. In voltage-gated sodium and potassium channels, the apparent voltage dependence of inactivation derives not from an intrinsic voltage dependence of inactivation but rather from its coupling to voltage-dependent activation. This cannot be the case for HERG because activation is much slower than inactivation.

What molecular mechanisms underlie the voltage-dependent gating of HERG channels? The voltage dependence of this family of potassium channels has long been attributed to the highly charged fourth transmembrane segment (S4). Experiments on Shaker potassium channels show that the S4 region moves rapidly in response to voltage and that channel activation follows this movement (Larsson et al., 1996; Mannuzzu et al., 1996; Cha and Bezanilla, 1997). Assuming that the S4 region is at least partly responsible for the voltage-dependent gating of HERG channels, the slow activation gating of HERG could arise when the S4 region moves slowly to its resting configuration.
Materials and Methods

Molecular Biology and Channel Expression

The cDNA encoding wild-type HERG was subcloned between the EcoRI and the HindIII restriction sites in the pGEMHE expression vector. pGEMHE, provided by Emily Liman (University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA), was constructed by subcloning the 3' and 5' untranslated regions of the Xenopus β-globin gene in the pGEM3Z expression vector (Liman et al., 1992). HERG mutants were constructed using an oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis procedure, and all mutants were sequenced to confirm mutation. The NH2-terminal deletion mutant, HERGΔ2–137, was provided by Roderick MacKinnon (Rockefeller University, New York, NY).

cRNA was transcribed using the T7 mMessage machine kit (Ambion). 50–100 nL of cRNA was injected into each Xenopus oocyte, and experiments were performed 1–7 d after injection. Oocytes were incubated in the following solution: 98 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl2, 1.0 mM MgCl2, 10 mM HEPES, 50 U/ml penicillin, and 50 μg/ml streptomycin, pH 7.6.

Labeling Oocytes

For labeling, oocytes were incubated in a depolarizing solution containing 100 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl2, 1.0 mM MgCl2, 10 mM HEPES and 5 μM tetramethylrhodamine-5-maleimide (Molecular Probes) at pH 7.6 for 30 min. The solution was cooled on ice before and during labeling.

Treatment of Oocytes before Recording

We encountered a persistent problem while recording from Xenopus oocytes expressing HERG channels, which we had never observed while recording from HEK cells expressing HERG. During depolarization to −10 mV, the outward current increases to a seemingly steady-state level, and then it suddenly increases again to a new steady-state level. This was observed at depolarizations between about −20 and +40 mV and not at greater depolarizations. When this effect was seen during the depolarizing step, the tail current decay upon hyperpolarization was greatly slowed. The severity of this problem varied widely between batches of oocytes, but it was consistent enough to prevent accurate recordings of the kinetics of both current and fluorescence traces. This problem was never observed in recordings from Xenopus oocytes expressing the HERG “inactivation removed” mutant (G629C:G653I).

We suspected that this effect was due to potassium accumulation on the external side of the membrane during the course of depolarization; the accumulation somehow interferes with channel gating and/or voltage-clamping of the membrane to produce the time-dependent changes in the measured current. Potassium accumulation can occur easily in oocytes because the membrane has numerous invaginations. If the currents are large or sustained over a long period of time, significant potassium accumulation may occur in the small spaces created by invaginations of the membrane. We found that incubating the labeled oocytes in a hypotonic solution (50% ND96) for 20–30 min before recording eliminated the effect. Presumably pre-swelling the oocytes in this solution smooths the surface of the membrane sufficiently to reduce potassium accumulation. Unless otherwise noted, we performed all physiological measurements in a solution containing 10 mM KCl, 88 mM NaCl, 1.8 mM CaCl2, 1.0 mM MgCl2, and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.6.

Data Acquisition and Analysis

Ionic currents and fluorescence measurements were acquired with a Digidata 1200A board (Axon Instruments, Inc.) interfaced to a Pentium-based PC using pClamp7 software (Axon Instruments, Inc.). Currents and fluorescence were simultaneously acquired in two different channels of the Digidata 1200A; both current and fluorescence data were filtered at 0.2–1 kHz and digitized at 0.5–10 kHz. Fluorescence data were filtered using an 8-pole Bessel filter (Frequency Devices).

All analysis was performed using pClamp7 software. Some traces have been additionally filtered for display. Exponential fits were done using Chebyshev minimization. Because the baseline fluorescence decreases during recording due to photobleaching of the fluorophore, all fluorescence traces have been corrected for bleaching. In every experiment, the fluorescence change at a constant potential (generally the holding potential) was measured and fit to a single or double exponential. This fit was subtracted from all traces collected from the oocyte.

Fluorescence Setup

The fluorescence setup is modeled after that used by Cha and Bezanilla (1997). The IM-35 Zeiss microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc.) houses most of the optics. The light source is a 150-W tungsten-halogen lamp powered by a 6542A DC power supply (Hewlett-Packard); the output of the lamp is controlled by a TTL triggered shutter (Vincent Associates) to minimize photobleaching of the fluorescent probe. Incoming light is filtered with a 525RDF45 excitation filter (Omega Optical) and transmitted
through a 560DRLP dichroic mirror. Light transmitted through the excitation filter is focused with a 20X objective, numerical aperture of 0.5 (Spindler and Hoyer), into a fiber optic cable (FSUS780809202; Polymicron Technologies) 760 μm in diameter and numerical aperture of 0.66. The 0.75-m cable extends from ~1 cm above the microscope objective to ~0.5 cm above the oocyte. Light collected from the oocyte through the fiber optic is transmitted through the dichroic mirror then filtered with a 565EFLP emission filter. Light exiting through the camera port on the microscope is focused with two lenses, focal lengths 40 mm and 6 mm, (Edmund Scientific) onto a photodiode (model PIN020A; UDT Sensors); both the lenses and the photodiode are mounted directly on the camera port of the microscope. Output from the photodiode is amplified by an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments, Inc.).

Voltage-clamp of the oocyte membrane was performed using a Dagan CA-1B cut-open oocyte clamp (Dagan Corporation, Minneapolis, MN). The bottom of the upper chamber was painted with black India ink to assure that the emitted fluorescence was coming only from the cap of the oocyte where currents are recorded. To gain electrical and chemical access to the inside of the oocyte the membrane facing the bottom chamber was permeabilized with 0.5% saponin.

**RESULTS**

**Unlabeled and Labeled Cysteine Mutants Gate Almost Normally**

To investigate the role of S4 in HERG activation and inactivation, we studied the fluorescence of three HERG cysteine mutants covalently labeled with a fluorescent dye, tetramethylrhodamine maleimide (TMRM). These three mutant sites (E518C, E519C, and L520C) are located on the NH₂-terminal end of the S4 region on the external side of the channel, as shown in Fig. 1 A. For comparison, Fig. 1 B shows the amino acid sequence of both HERG and Shaker near the S4 region, with the three HERG residues studied here underlined. The underlined residues in the Shaker sequence indicate sites that have been studied previously using this fluorescence technique (Mannuzzu et al., 1996; Cha and Bezanilla, 1997, 1998; Loots and Isacoff, 1998). Fluorescence changes measured from probes attached to these sites in the Shaker channel correlate with movement of the S4 region, as assayed by gating currents and ionic current.

Before studying the fluorescence of probes attached to these introduced cysteines, we characterized the gating of the mutant channels before and after reaction with TMRM. The general behavior of all three HERG mutants both before and after modification with TMRM is similar to the behavior of wild-type HERG, although some differences in gating can be noted. The g-V and the steady-state inactivation-voltage (h∞) relationships are shown in Table I. All three mutations decrease the slope of the g-V relationship; the largest decrease is seen in E518C (z decreases by 1.6 equivalent
The Slow Component of the Fluorescence in L520C-TMRM Is Correlated with Activation Gating

We used L520C-TMRM to focus on the properties of this slow component of the fluorescence signal. In Fig. 3, the kinetics of the activating and deactivating current are compared with the kinetics of the fluorescence changes for L520C-TMRM. Because the outward current during depolarization was very small, we measured the kinetics of activation by plotting the development of the tail current over time (Fig. 3, top left panel, envelope of tails protocol). The activation kinetics closely match the kinetics of the fluorescence during depolarization (Fig. 3, solid line, bottom panel). A similar comparison for deactivation is shown on the right side of Fig. 3. The deactivating tail current (dashed line) has two components measuring ~80 and ~330 ms. The faster component (thick gray line) is larger in magnitude, comprising 83% of the total current, and it closely follows the kinetics of the fluorescence change upon hyperpolarization.1

To provide additional evidence for the relationship between the slow fluorescence and activation gating, we used an NH2-terminally deleted mutant that speeds the rate of deactivation (Schönherr and Heinemann, 1996; Spector et al., 1996; Wang et al., 1998). The NH2-

1In many experiments, fits of the current and the fluorescence reveal that activation is slightly slower than the slow fluorescence and that deactivation is slightly faster than the fluorescence (by up to ~20%). This discrepancy may be due to a difference in the activation and deactivation kinetics of labeled and unlabeled channels. The fluorescence is reporting changes that occur exclusively in labeled channels, but the current reports the kinetics of both labeled and unlabeled channels. For all three mutants, the g-V relationship of labeled channels is shifted ~5–10 mV to the left of the g-V of unlabeled channels, and this slight shift may account for the slight difference between the fluorescence and current kinetics. Over a range of voltages, the steady-state fluorescence-voltage relationship (F-V; Fig. 4, closed circles) and the g-V relationship (Fig. 4, open circles) are nearly identical.
Figure 2. Fluorescence signals and current simultaneously recorded in response to a single voltage step from oocytes expressing E518C, E519C, or L520C and treated with TMRM. In each recording, the membrane was held at \(-90\) mV, depolarized to \(30\) mV for \(1\) s, and then stepped to \(-110\) mV.

Figure 3. L520C-TMRM fluorescence signals during channel activation and deactivation. (top left) Currents resulting from an envelope of tails protocol (voltage records shown below); oocytes were depolarized from \(-90\) to \(+30\) mV for varying durations, and then hyperpolarized to \(-110\) mV. The time course of the peak inward tails reveals the time course of activation during the depolarizing step. (top right) Deactivating tail current measured at \(-110\) mV after a 2-s depolarization to \(+30\) mV. (bottom) The kinetics of the fluorescence are compared with the kinetics of activation and deactivation. From a holding potential of \(-90\) mV, the membrane was depolarized to \(+30\) mV for 2 s, and then stepped to \(-110\) mV. The fluorescence trace is unaveraged. The solid gray line at left plots the kinetics of activation as measured by currents resulting from the envelope of tails protocol (top left); the peak tail current upon hyperpolarization to \(-110\) mV is plotted as a function of time (\(\tau = 84\) ms). The thin solid line at right shows the deactivating tail current from the top right panel, scaled to the magnitude of the fluorescence change. This tail current was best fit with two exponentials; the faster component (\(\tau = 83\) ms) comprises \(83\)% of the total magnitude, and the slower component (\(\tau = 334\) ms) makes up the remaining \(17\)%.

For comparison, \(\tau_{\text{on depolarization}} = 67\) ms and \(\tau_{\text{on hyperpolarization}} = 88\) ms.
terminal deletion combined with L520C-TMRM (giving L520C:Δ2–137-TMRM) shows both the predicted faster deactivation and correspondingly faster kinetics of fluorescence on hyperpolarization (Fig. 5). Little change occurs in the channel opening kinetics or in the fluorescence signal during depolarization (unpublished results).

**A Fast Component of Fluorescence in E519C-TMRM and E518C-TMRM Tracks Inactivation**

The fast fluorescence changes seen with E519C-TMRM and E518C-TMRM upon hyperpolarization are particularly interesting because they occur while rapid voltage-dependent recovery from inactivation is observed. We compared the kinetics of the current and fluorescence signals, both during recovery from inactivation and during reinactivation (Fig. 6), using a three-step protocol (Smith et al., 1996). After a long depolarizing step to activate and inactivate the channels, a brief hyperpolarization allows recovery from inactivation and a second depolarizing step produces reinactivation. Fig. 6 (bottom panels) shows fluorescence traces (thin solid line) and the current traces (thick solid line) scaled to match the magnitude of the fluorescence changes. For E519C-TMRM, the kinetics of the current and fluorescence traces are very similar both during recovery from inactivation and during reinactivation. The current shown for E518C-TMRM slightly leads the fluorescence signal during recovery from inactivation and slightly lags the fluorescence signal during reinactivation.

The voltage dependence of the fast component of the fluorescence is compared with the steady-state inactivation-voltage relationship for E519C-TMRM and E518C-TMRM in Fig. 7. Fig. 7 A shows the protocol used to determine the steady-state inactivation-voltage curve for each mutant. During an initial 1-s depolarization, channels activate and then rapidly inactivate. During the brief
hyperpolarizing pulse, channels recover from inactivation, and the degree of recovery depends on the voltage of the pulse. The membrane is stepped to a constant potential (0 mV) to allow outward current to flow through the open channels. The magnitude of the outward current immediately after the second depolarizing step (arrow) reflects the number of open channels at the end of the previous brief pulse or, in other words, the fraction of channels that have recovered from inactivation during the brief pulse. Fig. 7 B shows a plot of the current at the arrow as a function of voltage (Fig. 7 B, open circles). The current falls off at negative potentials because the channels also begin to deactivate during the 30-ms pulse; however, we can correct the current magnitude for the amount of deactivation at each voltage. We fit deactivating tail currents with an exponential function and then back-extrapolated the fit to the beginning of the hyperpolarizing pulse. Assuming that deactivation and recovery from inactivation occur independently, we estimated the fraction of channels that deactivate during a 30-ms pulse from the extrapolated fit and increased the outward current (at the arrow) accordingly. The corrected data (Fig. 7 B, closed circles) are well fit by a Boltzmann function, from which we estimate the voltage dependence of HERG inactivation ($z_\delta = 0.75$, $V_{\text{mid}} = -93$ mV).

To obtain the magnitude of the initial fast change in fluorescence upon hyperpolarization, the fluorescence measured during hyperpolarization voltage steps (Fig. 7, C and E) was fit with either a single or double exponential function. The magnitude of the fast component of the fluorescence signal (open triangles) as well as the
Figure 7. The voltage dependence of steady-state inactivation compared with the voltage dependence of the fast fluorescence change measured for 519C-TMRM and 518C-TMRM. (A) Protocol used to determine the voltage dependence of inactivation. Oocytes injected with wild-type HERG were depolarized to 30 mV for 1 s, briefly hyperpolarized to voltages ranging from −130 to 10 mV for 30 ms and stepped to 0 mV for 300 ms. (B) Open circles represent the current measured immediately after the second depolarizing step to 0 mV (A,
steady-state inactivation (closed circles) are plotted as a function of voltage for E519C-TMRM and E518C-TMRM (Fig. 7, D and F, respectively). We find that the voltage dependence of the fast fluorescence change on hyperpolarization is similar to the voltage dependence of inactivation for both E519C-TMRM and E518C-TMRM.

The caveat for these comparisons is that none of the curves has reached a plateau by -130 mV. Our ability to measure the steady-state inactivation-voltage curve was compromised at more negative voltages by the inability to correct reliably for deactivation; as the decaying tail currents get faster, the correction becomes more prone to error (Fig. 7, A and B). The fluorescence magnitudes shown in these curves were determined by fitting the fluorescence with an exponential function. At very negative potentials, it is difficult to separate the kinetic components of the fluorescence because the rates are faster and the difference between components is less pronounced than at positive potentials.

Manipulations that Alter HERG Inactivation Do Not Alter Fluorescence Changes

Application of external TEA slows the kinetics of HERG inactivation, as though channels cannot inactivate when TEA is bound (Smith et al., 1996). We examined the effect of 50 mM TEA on the fluorescence measured during the second depolarizing pulse of a three-step protocol. Fig. 8 A shows that although TEA has a large effect on the kinetics of inactivation, the kinetics of the fluorescence are unchanged. We also measured the fluorescence of E519C in the presence of a double mutation (G628C:G631C) that effectively removes HERG inactivation (Smith et al., 1996).

To assure that there was no fluorescence signal resulting from labeling of the cysteines located at positions 628 and 631, we recorded the fluorescence of the double mutant G628C:G631C without E519C after treatment with TMRM. No voltage-dependent fluorescence changes were observed from the double mutant (unpublished results), which is consistent with the possibility that these two cysteines may form a disulfide bonded pair. Such a disulfide is structurally reasonable, as judged from the KcsA crystal structure (Doyle et al., 1998).

Fig. 8 B shows the current and fluorescence measured from E519C:G628C:G631C-TMRM. The current trace confirms that inactivation has been removed; the outward current is large compared with the inward current, and during the second depolarization, we observe no inactivation. The fluorescence trace is unaffected by the removal of inactivation; all components of the fluorescence seen with E519C-TMRM are observed in the inactivation removed mutant. This result is similar to the results found upon application of TEA; modulating inactivation has no effect on the fluorescence signal.

At first glance, these results seem to rule out the possibility that the fluorescence change is associated with the inactivation. Indeed, they do imply that the fast fluorescence changes cannot simply be reporting inactivation gating itself (i.e., the movement that results in occlusion of the pore). But it remains possible that the fluorescence signal reports a movement of the voltage sensor that is coupled to inactivation.

Even if the coupling between the voltage sensor and inactivation gating at the pore is not very strict, manipulations of the pore should alter the behavior of S4 (and thus the fluorescence signal) under some conditions, if the two are functionally connected. In the presence of TEA, the h∞ curve is shifted to the right of the control curve by ~20 mV (unpublished results), which is consistent with the idea that TEA stabilizes the noninactivated state. If there is coupling, this shift also should be reflected as a shift in the voltage dependence of the fluorescence signal, but it would be difficult to measure this convincingly because of the potential errors introduced by noise, by separating the multiple kinetic components in the fluorescence signal, and by the need to correct for deactivation.

The Fast Component of Fluorescence Seen on Initial Depolarization Is Faster than any Observable Gating

To examine the fast component of the fluorescence signal seen upon the initial depolarization (from rest) in greater detail, we applied a short depolarizing pulse and measured the response from E519C-TMRM (Fig. 9 A). The fluorescence decreases rapidly on depolarization and increases rapidly on hyperpolarization. These
changes are extremely fast: they occur in the millisecond after the membrane potential is changed, while the capacity transient is still settling. The fact that the fluorescence changes are so fast raises the possibility that this component may simply be a direct electrochromic effect of the voltage on the dye (Loew et al., 1985). In other words, a change in voltage may cause a very fast electronic rearrangement in the dye molecule, and this rearrangement could alter the fluorescence output.

This component of the fluorescence is weakly voltage-dependent; Fig. 9 B shows the fluorescence resulting from a family of short voltage pulses. A plot of the fluorescence immediately after depolarization (arrow) as a function of voltage is shown in Fig. 9 C. The shallow voltage dependence of this fluorescence component is reminiscent of the voltage dependence of steady-state inactivation; however, the midpoint of the fluorescence-voltage relationship (~15 mV) is much smaller than the voltage dependence of steady-state inactivation (~45 mV).
more positive than the midpoint of the steady-state in-
activation-voltage curve (−90 mV).

A Different Fluorophore Reports Opposite Fluorescence Signals but with the Same Kinetics

To examine how the measured changes in fluorescence depend on the covalently attached dye, we measured fluorescence changes reported by a different fluorescent probe, Oregon green 488 maleimide (OG). TMRM and OG are both derived from rhodamine; however, the dyes differ in total charge. TMRM has a net charge of 0 (with a delocalized positive charge at the tricyclic end), and OG has a net charge of −2. Fig. 10 shows fluorescence signals from E519C-TMRM and E519C-OG resulting from a single 1-s voltage step to 30 mV. Although the TMRM and OG signals are grossly different (with fluorescence changes in opposite directions), the kinetic components have the same time constants over a range of voltages (unpublished results).

Cha and Bezanilla (1997, 1998) pointed out that one possible source of fluorescence signals is quenching of the dye fluorescence by another nearby dye molecule. Our results with Oregon green argue against the idea that the fluorescence changes seen in this work on

Figure 9. Properties of fast fluorescence change after depolarization recorded from E519C-TMRM. (A) Fluorescence and current traces recorded from E519C-TMRM during a 20 ms voltage pulse to 30 mV. The dashed line indicates approximately the end of the capacity transient observed in the current trace upon depolarization. (B) Voltage dependence of the fast fluorescence change observed upon depolarization for E519C-TMRM. Fluorescence changes recorded during a series of voltage steps 150 ms in duration ranging from −130 mV to 0 mV. (C) Plot of the fluorescence 5 ms after depolarization (B, arrow); each point is an average of 10 data points from the fluorescence trace at each voltage. The points were fit with a Boltzmann function (V_{mid} = 15.6 mV, and zE = 0.75 e0).
HERG arise from such self-quenching of dye molecules. Self-quenching should produce a decrease in fluorescence regardless of the dye, but the same conformational changes that produce a decrease in TMRM fluorescence produce an increase in OG fluorescence (and vice versa).

**DISCUSSION**

**Fluorescence Changes Reported near the S4 Region Have Both Fast and Slow Components**

HERG channels activate and deactivate slowly but inactivate very rapidly, producing inward rectification. These unique features of HERG gating led us to inquire about the nature of movements occurring near the voltage sensor of HERG channels. To study how S4 movement relates to HERG gating, we recorded voltage-dependent fluorescence changes from probes attached to three different sites located on the NH$_2$-terminal end of the S4 segment of HERG. Although the sites of attachment were adjacent to one another, the fluorescence changes recorded from the three mutants were quite different. All three reported slow fluorescence changes, and two of the three (E518C-TMRM and E519C-TMRM) also reported fast fluorescence changes.

**The Slow Fluorescence Change and Activation Gating**

In support of the idea that the slow fluorescence changes relate to HERG activation gating, we found that the kinetics of the slow fluorescence change observed in all three mutants are very similar to the unusually slow kinetics of activation and deactivation in HERG. The fluorescence changes reported by L520C-TMRM have only a slow component and, therefore, provide the most direct comparison between the slow fluorescence and activation gating. The steady-state voltage dependence for the slow fluorescence of L520C-TMRM is almost identical to the g-V relationship. Furthermore, if we modulate deactivation gating by deleting a portion of the NH$_2$ terminus of the protein (L520C:Δ2–137), the kinetics of the slow fluorescence changes are modulated in parallel with deactivation. Both deactivation and the decline of fluorescence during deactivation are speeded by deletion of the NH$_2$ terminus.

What is the precise relationship between the slow fluorescence and activation gating? There are two obvious possibilities: (1) either the slow fluorescence reflects S4 movements that underlie HERG activation gating, or (2) the probe is able to sense conformational changes occurring in or near the pore and, thus, simply reports the opening and closing of the pore. We were not able to find a clear distinction between gating of current flow and the slow fluorescence changes reported by these mutants. Both the kinetics and voltage dependence of the slow fluorescence changes are essentially identical to the kinetics and voltage dependence of activation and deactivation. This observation in itself does not eliminate the possibility that the fluorescence is reporting movements of the voltage sensor. A separation between the g-V and F-V relationships is not a necessary consequence of coupled S4 movement and channel opening. In Shaker K$^+$ channels a shift between the g-V and F-V curves results from relatively independent movements of the individual S4 segments and the requirement that all S4 segments move for the channel to open. However, if the S4 segments were to move in a cooperative or concerted way, there need not be any voltage separation between S4 movement and opening.

The main argument favoring the idea that our fluorescent probes monitor S4 movement rather than pore gating is based on their location, by analogy with Shaker channels. Fluorescence changes from residues at an analogous position in Shaker track gating charge movement and not opening, whereas fluorescence changes from residues in the outer mouth of the pore are more closely related to ionic conductance and presumably opening and closing of the pore (Cha and Bezanilla, 1997).

If indeed the slow fluorescence changes reflect movement of the S4 region, then for HERG K$^+$ channels, S4 movement is the rate limiting step in activation and deactivation. Any steps that occur after S4 moves must be
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fast in comparison. This result can be contrasted with the slow opening of the Shaker mutant, Shaker ILT (Smith-Maxwell et al., 1998a,b). In that case, most gating charge moves quickly (Ledwell and Aldrich, 1999), but the final cooperative step of opening is slow.

**Fast Changes in Fluorescence Associated with Inactivation**

In addition to the slow fluorescence signal associated with activation, E518C-TMRM and E519C-TMRM reported fast changes in fluorescence that shared some properties with inactivation gating. During both the recovery from inactivation and the reactivation after a subsequent depolarizing step, the fast component of the fluorescence signal matches the kinetics of inactivation gating. At many voltages, it was difficult to separate the fast and slow components, and neither the steady-state level of inactivation recovery nor the magnitude of the fast fluorescence signal showed clear saturation at the most negative voltages we were able to measure. But, in the range of our measurements, there was generally good agreement between the two.

Although the properties of these fast fluorescence signals are similar to the properties of inactivation, we could not show a causal link between the two. The fast fluorescence changes were not altered by application of 50 mM external TEA or by complete removal of inactivation through mutations at the outer mouth of the pore. As discussed in the results, this rules out the possibility that the fluorescence is simply reporting the closure of the pore. Rather than supposing that the correspondence between these signals and inactivation gating is simply a coincidence, we hypothesize that the fluorescence reports a fast movement of the voltage sensor that drives the inactivation process (but whose coupling is sufficiently loose that the voltage sensor movement persists when inactivation gating is disrupted).

**Fast Fluorescence on Initial Depolarization**

A fast fluorescence decrease on the initial depolarization from rest is observed both for E518C-TMRM and E519C-TMRM, although the magnitude of this component is much greater in E519C-TMRM. The most noticeable property of this component is its extremely fast kinetics; it occurs almost immediately after the voltage across the membrane changes, during the capacity transient seen in the current. Does this fast fluorescence change reflect a very fast movement of the protein, or is this fluorescence change unrelated to protein movement? This very fast fluorescence decrease could be due to an electrochromic effect, a change in the properties of the dye itself resulting from the change in voltage across the membrane. If so, it is interesting that there is no reciprocal fast fluorescence increase upon hyperpolarization, after activation of the channels occurs. This might indicate that E519C-TMRM senses the transmembrane voltage at rest, but during activation it moves to a position that is insensitive to the electric field across the membrane. In other words, the magnitude of the electrochromic effect would be state-dependent. Also, the fast effect of voltage on the fluorescence is notably nonlinear. As shown in Fig. 9, no fast fluorescence change is seen when the membrane is depolarized by the same amount (a step from −70 to −10 mV). In addition, the magnitude of the fast fluorescence changes begins to saturate at very positive membrane potentials (above 110 mV).

Because of the state dependence and nonlinearity, it seems likely that this fast fluorescence decrease on initial depolarization reports a movement of the channel protein. Could this signal (like the fast signal seen with voltage changes after channels have activated) be related to inactivation? As noted above, the fast changes in fluorescence upon initial depolarization have a weak voltage dependence similar to the voltage dependence of inactivation, but the midpoint of the fluorescence signal is shifted by ∼100 mV to the right (Fig. 9). This might be explained if the fast voltage sensor movement that drives inactivation is much more difficult to achieve (i.e., requires more depolarization) in nonactivated channels, compared with activated channels.

**Modeling Ionic Currents and Fluorescence Changes**

Although our analysis of each component of the fluorescence signal includes a fair amount of uncertainty, we can make all of the conclusions seem more plausible by considering an overall model for channel gating that incorporates the fluorescence signals. Generally, ion channel behavior is described using multi-state kinetic models. Given the number of states the channel can exist in, the connectivity of the states, the rates of transitions between states and the conductance of each state, we can simulate the ionic current through a population of channels in response to changes in voltage. These simulations are compared with real ionic current data to assess the validity of the kinetic model. Although extremely useful for modeling channel behavior, ionic current measurements are limited by the fact that they only reveal transitions to or from the open state of the channel. Ionic current measurements cannot directly reveal transitions occurring between nonconducting states. In theory, fluorescence changes can directly reflect conformational changes occurring in the channel protein regardless of the state of the pore; therefore, measurements of fluorescence changes are potentially useful for constructing and testing kinetic models for channel behavior.

In the case of HERG channels, we observe very different fluorescence changes from the three mutants. We
can begin to organize these data by supposing that the
conformational changes underlying the fluorescence
changes are the same for all three mutants. In other
words, the gating behavior of all the mutants is de-
scribed by the same set of state-occupancy functions
(which vary with voltage and time). The time course of
the fluorescence signal for each mutant is a linear com-
bination of these state-occupancy functions, weighted
by the fluorescence coefficient for each state. It is the
differences in these fluorescence coefficients for differ-
ent sites of dye attachment that produce the distinctive
fluorescence signals for each mutant.

The Oregon green data in Fig. 10 illustrates this idea.
The fluorescence measured from E519C-OG has the
same kinetic components as the fluorescence measured
from E519C-TMRM, but the fluorescence changes from
the two dyes occur in opposite directions. Both dyes
sense transitions among the various conformational
states, but each dye has a unique set of fluorescence co-
efficients (magnitudes) to describe the states.

A Plausible Model to Account for Both Gating and the
Fluorescence Signals

Based on this idea, we constructed two models for the
states of the voltage sensor (Fig. 11) in which each state
represents a conformation of the protein sensed by the
mutants. The four state models we present were the
simplest models capable of giving plausible fits to our
data. Model A and Model B resemble models of Class E
and Class D, respectively, from Zagotta et al. (1994).

Model A (Fig. 11) supposes that there are two princi-
pal types of conformational motion of the voltage sen-
sor, q ↔ Q and r ↔ R, which are distinct but coupled.
The q ↔ Q transitions are fast, and the r ↔ R transi-
tions are slow. Overall, the conformation of the voltage
sensor consists of four states, with each possible combi-
nation of q/Q and r/R. The magnitude of the fluores-
ence in each state is represented by a coefficient, and
the total fluorescence is given by the sum of the four
coefficients weighted by the occupancy of each state.
Each mutant is assigned a different set of coefficients,
but they all use the same conformational model.

According to Model A, during a depolarizing pulse,
most channels move rapidly from state qr to state
QR, and then slowly to QR. During a hyperpolarizing pulse,
they move from QR to qR, and then to qr. The data, inter-
preted using this model, would suggest that E518C-
TMRM does not sense the transition between qr and
QR as well as E519C-TMRM, since the magnitude of the
fast fluorescence change upon depolarization reported
by E518C-TMRM is much less than that reported by
E519C-TMRM. The data also would suggest that L520C-
TMRM can sense only the slow transitions. A compari-
son of measured fluorescence changes and simulated
fluorescence changes using Model A is shown in Fig. 12
A. The simulated traces capture the general features of
the measured fluorescence changes, although some
disparities between the two are evident (see Table II for
the parameters of the fit to Model A).

In the model, there are two types of transitions, one
fast and one slow. Given what we know about HERG
gating, we assume that the slow transitions (r ↔ R) are
between conformations of the voltage sensor that favor
the activated state and those that favor the deactivated
Figure 12. Simulations of fluorescence and current data using Model A and Model B. (A, top) Simulated fluorescence and current traces (black lines) from Model A are overlaid on fluorescence and current traces recorded during a three-step protocol (gray lines) from oocytes expressing E518C, E519C, or L520C and labeled with TMRM. (bottom) Simulation of the occupancy of each state in Model A as a function of time during a three-step protocol. Simulations of fluorescence changes, current and state occupancies used the parameters shown in Table II. The kinetic parameters from fitting E519C-TMRM data were used for all simulations; only the fluorescence coefficients were varied to simulate E518C-TMRM and L520C-TMRM fluorescence data (Table II). (B) Description of Model A showing a likely relationship between states of the voltage sensor and gating states of the pore (i.e., closed, open, and inactivated). (C, top) Simulated current trace (black line) from Model B is overlaid on the recorded current trace (gray line). (bottom) Simulation of the occupancy of each state in Model B as a function of time during a three-step protocol. Simulations of current and state occupancies used the parameters shown in Table III. Simulations of fluorescence data using Model B (Table III) are nearly identical to those shown in A for Model A.
state (i.e., they affect primarily the activation gate). On the other hand, the fast transitions (q ↔ Q) are between conformations that favor the inactivated and the noninactivated state, i.e., they affect the inactivation gate. Fig. 12B illustrates this possibility.

In this scenario, the fast change in fluorescence during depolarization (transition qr to Qr) biases the channel to inactivate while the voltage sensor is in state r (thus, favoring the deactivated state as the fast transition occurs). The fast change in fluorescence during hyperpolarization (transition QR to qR) biases the channel to recover from inactivation while the voltage sensor is in state R (while the activated state is favored). If the transition between r and R somehow changes the way the fast transition between q and Q occurs, then the energy required to move from state qr to Qr may be larger than the energy required to move from QR to qR. If this were the case, we would expect to see a shift in the midpoints between the two parallel fast transitions, given that in a simple two state model with voltage dependence, the midpoint of the voltage dependence reflects the energy difference between the two states. With respect to the data, the fast transition qr → Qr yields the fast F-V curve measured upon initial depolarization from rest (Fig. 9). The transition QR → qR yields the fast F-V curve upon hyperpolarization, and this corresponds to the h∞ curve (Fig. 7, D and F). Thus, it is possible that the shift measured between these curves reflects a difference in energy between the qr → Qr and the QR → qR transitions of the voltage sensor. Fits to the fluorescence data using Model A (Fig. 12 and Table II) support this idea. Optimal fits of the fluorescence data predict an energy difference of 0.57 kT between states qr and Qr and an energy difference of 3.7 kT between states qR and QR. Assuming zδ = 0.87, this would predict a 91-mV rightward shift between the fast F-V curve upon depolarization and the fast F-V curve upon hyperpolarization.

Note that in Model A, parallel transitions do not have parallel changes in fluorescence (e.g., transition qr → qR produces a decrease in fluorescence, but transition Qr → QR produces an increase in fluorescence). Although the q ↔ Q transition and the r ↔ R transition are independent from one another, the combination of the two transitions within Model A creates four unique states, each of which can have unique dye-quenching properties.

We examined the occupancy of each state in Model A during a simulated three step voltage protocol (Fig. 12A). The occupancy of state qR is similar to the occupancy of the open state of HERG during a three-step protocol. If we simply assume that the occupancy of state qR is proportional to the occupancy of the open state, we can simulate the current through HERG channels. Fig. 12A compares the current measured from HERG 519C-TMRM with the simulated current from Model A. Again, the simulated data captures the general features of the measured current.

However, state qR and the open state probably are not simply proportional as assumed in the current simulation in Fig. 12. We can differentially alter inactivation gating and the fast fluorescence signal suggesting that the state of the voltage sensor and the gating state of the pore (i.e., closed, open, inactivated) are not strictly coupled, so it would be more accurate to sup-
pose that state $q\mathbf{R}$ of the voltage sensor biases the gating of the pore in favor of the open state. Because the pore and the voltage sensor are physically distinct, such a distinction seems reasonable.

**An Alternative Linear State Model**

Model A includes four transitions: two parallel, fast transitions and two parallel, slow transitions. However, a linear, sequential model can describe the fluorescence data equally well. The second model (Model B, Fig. 11) treats the two fast changes in fluorescence as two separate conformational changes occurring near the voltage sensor. To move from state $W$ to state $Z$, the first fast transition ($W \rightarrow X$) must take place before the slow transition ($X \rightarrow Y$) can occur, and the second fast transition ($Y \rightarrow Z$) can occur only after the slow transition is complete.

As with Model A, each "state" of the voltage sensor is assigned a fluorescence, and the total measured fluorescence is a sum of the fluorescence in each state weighted by the probability of being in each. During depolarization of E519C-TMRM, we observe a fast decrease in fluorescence resulting from the transition $W \rightarrow X$, followed by a slow increase in fluorescence to state $Z$. State $Y$ is only transiently populated because the transition $Y \rightarrow Z$ is much faster than $X \rightarrow Y$. However, upon hyperpolarization, we can observe the fast $Z \rightarrow Y$ transition followed by a slow increase to state $W$. In this instance, state $X$ is only transiently populated. Model B assumes three sequential transitions. From what we know about HERG gating, we might assume that the first transition favors a second closed state, the second favors the open state, and the third favors the inactivated state.

Simulations of the fluorescence data using Model B are nearly identical to those shown in Fig. 12 A for Model A (Table III, parameters of fit to Model B). Fig. 12 C shows that the state occupancies for the four states in Model B are similar to the state occupancies of the four states in Model A. The principal difference occurs between the occupancies of state $Y$ and state $q\mathbf{R}$: initially, state $Y$ has a greater probability of being populated during a depolarizing step relative to state $q\mathbf{R}$. This difference does not compromise Model B’s ability to simulate the fluorescence recordings; however, no linear combination of the state occupancies resulting from fits to Model B neatly resembles the occupancy of the open state of HERG. The occupancy of state $Y$ bears the closest resemblance to the occupancy of the open state of HERG. If we assume the occupancy of state $Y$ is proportional to the occupancy of the open state, we can simulate the current through HERG channels during a three-step protocol (Fig. 12 C, top panel). Simulation of the current trace using Model B does not follow the recorded current trace nearly as well as the current simulation using Model A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>$k_0$</th>
<th>$z\delta$</th>
<th>$E_{519C}$-TMRM</th>
<th>$E_{518C}$-TMRM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$W \rightarrow X$</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X \rightarrow W$</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y \rightarrow X$</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z \rightarrow Y$</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parameters of Model B fit to E519C-TMRM fluorescence data. A total of 15 fluorescence traces recorded from E519C-TMRM (described in Table II) were used to constrain fits to Model B. Fits of the data were obtained using least-squares minimization in SCOP. All transitions were assumed to be voltage-dependent; rate constants were assumed to have an exponential dependence on voltage given by $k = k_0 \cdot \exp(z\delta 85V/RT)$. $k_0$ has units of s$^{-1}$, and $z\delta$ is given in e$^0$ charges. The program used 15 free parameters to find the best fit. One of the fluorescence coefficients was fixed during fitting. Fluorescence coefficients shown for E518C-TMRM and L520C-TMRM were obtained by varying only the fluorescence coefficients from the fit to E519C-TMRM data to give the best fit to fluorescence traces recorded during a three-step protocol (depolarizing steps to 30 mV and holding potential of $-90$ mV) from oocytes expressing E518C or L520C and labeled with TMRM.

Both scenarios shown in Fig. 11 can account for the general properties of the fluorescence changes observed from HERG. Due to a slight difference in the state occupancies between the two models, Model A predicts the current through open HERG channels more closely than Model B. Otherwise simulations of the fluorescence data are virtually identical. Conceptually, the difference between the two models is that in Model A, the two fast transitions occur in parallel to one another and can be viewed as basically the same conformational change (although the amount of energy required for the fast change between $q$ and $Q$ may vary depending on whether channels are in state $r$ or $R$). In Model B, each component of the fluorescence (two fast and one slow) is treated as a separate transition and hence a separate conformational change. As a result of these differences in Model A, channels can travel on one of two paths to reach state $q\mathbf{R}$ from rest at $q\mathbf{r}$: one path moves through state $q\mathbf{R}$, and the other
through state $q\mathbf{R}$. Upon depolarization, most channels move from $q\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{Qr} \rightarrow \mathbf{QR}$, and a small fraction move through state $q\mathbf{R}$; upon hyperpolarization most channels move from $\mathbf{QR} \rightarrow q\mathbf{R} \rightarrow q\mathbf{r}$, and a small fraction move through state $Qr$. In Model B, channels must traverse both intermediate states $X$ and $Y$ to move between the endpoints, $W$ and $Z$. Also, Model A requires only two types of voltage-dependent transitions, but Model B requires three.

Gating Currents in HERG

If movement of the S4 region associated with HERG activation gating is indeed slow, then the corresponding gating current should be very slow and small. Perhaps for this reason, we have not observed nonlinear capacitive currents that are convincingly associated with HERG activation gating, either in HEK293 cells or in oocytes. We have observed small, nonlinear capacitive currents resulting from short depolarizing steps (10 ms); however, calculations of the total charge moved (Q) based on these currents shows that Q does not saturate even at depolarizations of $+70$ mV. This suggests that they are not related to activation gating. They may, however, be related to the fast fluorescence change, which does not saturate until depolarizations of over $+110$ mV are applied (Fig. 9).

In general, the fluorescence approach used here is advantageous for measuring slow movements of a voltage sensor. Because the fluorescence of a probe is a state function (rather than a change-of-state function, like gating current), it can report on slower conformational changes for which gating current measurements would fail. The disadvantage, of course, is the difficulty in saying definitively that these conformational changes involve charge movement.

Nature of the Physical Changes in the Voltage Sensor

Experiments on Shaker potassium channels have suggested that charge movement (as assayed by gating currents) and conformational changes near the S4 region (as assayed by cysteine modification experiments) occur in more than one step (Baker et al., 1998; Sorensen et al., 2000). Many different physical situations could account for these steps. For instance, one movement could be a translocation of the S4 segment across the membrane, and the other could be a twist or tilt of S4. Several experiments on Shaker suggest that charged residues in the S2 segment also play a role in the voltage dependence of gating (Papazian et al., 1995; Planells-Cases et al., 1995; Seoh et al., 1996); negatively charged residues in S2 may help stabilize the positive charges in S4 within the membrane, or S2 itself could move in response to voltage. It is possible that movement of the S2 segment could account for one (or more) of the conformational changes occurring in the voltage sensor.

Conclusions

Our results show that fluorescence changes measured from residues near the S4 region of HERG have both fast and slow components. These data suggest that both fast and slow conformational changes may occur near the S4 region during HERG gating. The properties of the slow component of the fluorescence strongly correlate with activation gating and provide support for the idea that the unusually slow activation kinetics observed in HERG may be attributed to slow voltage sensor movement. Although we cannot definitely associate the various fluorescence states with gating states of the pore, we can use the data to suggest a framework to describe voltage-dependent HERG gating.

We thank Brad Rothberg for many useful discussions and for modifying his data analysis programs to fit and simulate fluorescence data. We are grateful to Francisco Bezanilla and Albert Cha for advice on acquiring and evaluating fluorescence data, and to Rod MacKinnon for sharing the HERG J.2.137 clone with us. We also thank Miguel Holmgren, Donato del Camino, Ki Soon Shin, and Sarah Webster for many useful discussions.

This work was supported by a grant to G. Yellen from the National Institutes of Health (HL57383).

Submitted: 16 November 2001
Revised: 1 February 2002
Accepted: 4 February 2002

REFERENCES


