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The original version of this article contained an error. The concentration for PIP2 used to attenuate rundown should have been written as 0.3 μM, not 3 μM.

In Materials and Methods, p. 206, under the section “Electrophysiology,” “3 μM PIP2 in the intracellular solution” should read “0.3 μM PIP2 in the intracellular solution.”
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Ion channels open and close in response to changes in transmembrane voltage or ligand concentration. Recent studies show that K\textsuperscript{+} channels possess two gates, one at the intracellular end of the pore and the other at the selectivity filter. In this study we determined the location of the activation gate in a voltage-gated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel (VGCC) by examining the open/closed state dependence of the rate of modification by intracellular methanethiosulfonate ethyltrimethylammonium (MTSET) of pore-lining cysteines engineered in the S6 segments of the \( \alpha \)1 subunit of P/Q type Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels. We found that positions above the putative membrane/cytoplasm interface, including two positions below the corresponding S6 bundle crossing in K\textsuperscript{+} channels, showed pronounced state-dependent accessibility to internal MTSET, reacting \( \sim 1,000 \)-fold faster with MTSET in the open state than in the closed state. In contrast, a position at or below the putative membrane/cytoplasm interface was modified equally rapidly in both the open and closed states. Our results suggest that the S6 helices of the \( \alpha \)1 subunit of VGCCs undergo conformation changes during gating and the activation gate is located at the intracellular end of the pore.

INTRODUCTION

Voltage-gated ion channels respond to changes in the transmembrane voltage by opening and closing an activation gate. Using site-specific cysteine modification (Yang and Horn, 1995; Larsson et al., 1996; Liu et al., 1997; del Camino et al., 2000; Liu and Siegelbaum, 2000; del Camino and Yellen, 2001; Flynn and Zagotta, 2001), fluorescence measurement (Mannuzzu et al., 1996; Mannuzzu and Isacoff, 2000), FRET spectroscopy (Cha et al., 1999; Glauer et al., 1999), and electron paramagnetic resonance (Perozzo et al., 1999; Liu et al., 2001), investigators have begun to unravel the complex conformation changes associated with gating, including the movement of the voltage sensor and the activation gate. The crystal structures of several bacterial K\textsuperscript{+} channels (KcsA, MthK, K\textsubscript{AP}, and KirBac) have provided particularly useful information on the molecular mechanism of gating (Doyle et al., 1998; Jiang et al., 2002a,b, 2003a,b; Kuo et al., 2003). These structures suggest that the M2/S6 transmembrane segments of K\textsuperscript{+} channels undergo large conformational changes during gating; they form a narrow constriction (\( \sim 5 \) Å in diameter) at the intracellular end when the channel is closed but widen to \( \sim 12 \) Å when the channel is open.

The location of the activation gate for several types of channels has recently been explored. Based on properties of block of voltage-gated K\textsuperscript{+} (K\textsubscript{v}) channels by organic molecules, Armstrong first proposed that the activation gate of these channels was located at the intracellular entrance to the pore (Armstrong, 1966, 1971, 1974). More recent work by studying gating-dependent changes in the rate of chemical modification of cysteines engineered on both sides of the S6 bundle crossing have provided strong support for the intracellular location of the activation gate in K\textsubscript{v} channels (Liu et al., 1997; del Camino and Yellen, 2001). The intracellular gate also acts as the activation gate in K\textsubscript{v} channels (Cha et al., 1999; Glauer et al., 1999), and electron paramagnetic resonance (Perozzo et al., 1999; Liu et al., 2001), investigators have begun to unravel the complex conformation changes associated with gating, including the movement of the voltage sensor and the activation gate. The crystal structures of several bacterial K\textsuperscript{+} channels (KcsA, MthK, K\textsubscript{AP}, and KirBac) have provided particularly useful information on the molecular mechanism of gating (Doyle et al., 1998; Jiang et al., 2002a,b, 2003a,b; Kuo et al., 2003). These structures suggest that the M2/S6 transmembrane segments of K\textsuperscript{+} channels undergo large conformational changes during gating; they form a narrow constriction (\( \sim 5 \) Å in diameter) at the intracellular end when the channel is closed but widen to \( \sim 12 \) Å when the channel is open.

The location of the activation gate for several types of channels has recently been explored. Based on properties of block of voltage-gated K\textsuperscript{+} (K\textsubscript{v}) channels by organic molecules, Armstrong first proposed that the activation gate of these channels was located at the intracellular entrance to the pore (Armstrong, 1966, 1971, 1974). More recent work by studying gating-dependent changes in the rate of chemical modification of cysteines engineered on both sides of the S6 bundle crossing have provided strong support for the intracellular location of the activation gate in K\textsubscript{v} channels (Liu et al., 1997; del Camino and Yellen, 2001). The intracellular gate also acts as the activation gate in hyperpolarization-activated cation channels (Shin et al., 2001; Rothberg et al., 2002). On the other hand, another gate, denoted as the “pore gate,” located at the selectivity filter, also appears to be involved in the activation gating of K\textsubscript{v} channels (Chapman et al., 1997; Zheng and Sigworth, 1997, 1998). Furthermore, the pore gate appears to be the primary activation gate in several other types of ion channels, including cyclic nucleotide–gated (CNG) channels (Liu and Siegelbaum, 2000; Flynn and Zagotta, 2001), SK-type Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent K\textsuperscript{+} channels (Bruening-Wright et al., 2002), and inward rectifier K\textsuperscript{+} channels (Xiao et al., 2003; but see Phillips et al., 2003).

Voltage-gated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels (VGCCs) are evolutionarily related to K\textsubscript{v} channels (Hille, 2001). Although the pore of VGCCs is formed by four homologous repeats of a single \( \alpha \)1 subunit rather than by four \( \alpha \) subunits as in K\textsubscript{v} channels, the general features of the S4 voltage sensor and the S6 transmembrane segment are conserved. It is thus expected that VGCCs share similar gating mechanisms as K\textsubscript{v} channels. A recent study using Y\textsuperscript{5+} block as a tool suggests that the activation gate of the \( \alpha \)1G T-type Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel is located on the intracellular side of the selectivity filter (Obejero-Paz et al., 2004). However, the exact location of this gate and the

Abbreviations used in this paper: CNG, cyclic nucleotide–gated; MTSET, methanethiosulfonate ethyltrimethylammonium; VGCC, voltage-gated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel.
molecular movement associated with activation gating has not been determined.

In this work, we examined the location of the activation gate of the P/Q-type (Ca_{2.1}) Ca^{2+} channel by studying the open/closed state dependence of modification by intracellular methanethiosulfonate ethyltrithioacetamide (MTSET) of engineered pore-lining cysteines in the S6 segments of the α subunit. These segments form the inner pore (Zhen et al., 2005). We found that in each repeat, cysteines placed above the putative membrane/cytoplasm interface were modified ~1,000-fold faster by MTSET in the open state than in the closed state. Interestingly, two of these cysteines are located below the corresponding S6 bundle crossing in K^+ channels. On the other hand, a cysteine placed at the putative membrane/cytoplasm interface was modified equally rapidly in both the open and closed states. These results suggest that the S6 helices of VGCCs undergo conformation changes upon voltage changes and the activation gate is located at or below the membrane/cytoplasm interface.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Molecular Biology and Expression of Channels in Xenopus Oocytes

Construction of the control channel and cysteine mutant channels was as described in the accompanying paper (Zhen et al., 2005). cRNA for all constructs (control α_1, mutant α_1, α_δ, and β_γ subunits) were transcribed in vitro using T7 polymerase after linearization. Oocytes preparation and cRNA injection were also as described (Zhen et al., 2005).

Electrophysiology

All patch-clamp recordings were performed using the inside-out configuration. Procedures and protocols for most of the recordings were as described (Zhen et al., 2005). In some experiments where rapid solution exchange was required, solutions were delivered to the intracellular side of the membrane through a pressurized fast perfusion system (SF-77B, Warner Instruments), which allowed rapid solution exchange in the intracellular solution. The time constant of modification was obtained by fitting the time course of modification with a single exponential function. The apparent second-order rate constant of MTSET reaction with a cysteine mutant channel was then calculated as the reciprocal of the time constant divided by the MTSET concentration. To determine the appropriate concentration of MTSET to use, we examined the relationship between MTSET concentration and its modification rate on the II6-A4C channel. At the voltage that opens 80% of the channels, the relationship was linear between 0.5 and 2 mM but reached a plateau at 3 mM (not depicted). Therefore, 1 mM MTSET was used for most of the open- and closed-state modification experiments. In experiments to determine the voltage dependence of MTSET modification, we used the fast perfusion system mentioned above to apply MTSET only in the closed state. The time course of modification was plotted against the cumulative MTSET exposure time in the closed state, which was then fitted with a single exponential to get the time constant and the closed-state rate. The open-state modification rate was calculated by subtracting the closed-state rate from the rate obtained in both the open and closed states.

RESULTS

State-dependent Modification of Pore-lining Cysteines Engineered in S6

Using the substituted cysteine accessibility method, we have identified many pore-lining positions in the S6 transmembrane segment in each repeat (Zhen et al., 2005). These positions are highlighted in the amino acid sequence alignment of the four S6 segments of Ca_{2.1} (Fig. 1), where position 0 is presumed to lie at...
the membrane/cytoplasm interface and residues in the membrane are defined as 1, 2, 3, etc., from the intracellular side to the extracellular side. Fig. 1 also aligns the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} S6 segments with the M2/S6 segment of two different types of K\textsuperscript{+}/H\textsuperscript{+} channels (adopted from Lipkind and Fozzard, 2003). According to this alignment, the S6 bundle crossing of the K\textsuperscript{+}/H\textsuperscript{+} channels is located three amino acids above the membrane/cytoplasm interface. Based on our previous work (Zhen et al., 2005), we selected several cysteine mutant channels (including IS6-L5C, IIS6-V1C, IIS6-A2C, IIS6-A4C, and IIIIS6-V9C) that were rapidly and almost completely modified by internal MTSET when it was applied continuously in both the open and closed states until steady-state inhibition was reached. The thiol group of a pore-lining cysteine above the membrane/cytoplasm interface is shown. Dark gray spheres represent MTSET molecules.

Closed state. By contrast, IIIIS6-V9C was modified irreversibly and rapidly when MTSET was applied continuously in both the open and closed states (Fig. 3). Together, these results indicate that IIIIS6-V9C can be modified only when the channel is open. The open-state modification could also be seen during the depolarizing test pulse, as reflected by the faster current decay (Fig. 3 A).

Cysteines engineered in other repeats, such as IS6-L5C and IIS6-A4C, also showed no observable or only slight modification in the closed state but significant and rapid modification in the open state. These two positions are more intracellular, but they are still located above the putative membrane/cytoplasm interface. To calculate the modification rate in the closed state, we assume that current decay during MTSET application follows a single exponential. Using the current amplitude obtained before and after MTSET application, we calculated the time constant of the current decay and then the second-order rate constant, which was less or \(~1\) M\textsuperscript{−1}s\textsuperscript{−1} for all the positions situated above the putative membrane/cytoplasm interface.

We also examined closed-state modification of IIS6-A4C and IIIIS6-V9C by applying MTSET in the closed state between brief test pulses (see voltage and MTSET application protocol in Fig. 4 A) through a pressurized fast perfusion system. The time course of modification was very slow (Fig. 4 B). By fitting the current decay
phase during MTSET application with a single exponential (Fig. 4 C), we obtained a second-order rate constant of $0.5 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, the same as that obtained with the method described above.

Since modification of positions above the putative membrane/cytoplasm interface in the closed state was very slow and could be neglected, the time course of modification in the open state could be approximated by plotting the peak current evoked by each depolarizing pulse against the cumulative channel open time, respectively, superimposed with a single-exponential fitting curve.

Figure 4. Comparison of open- and closed-state modification of a cysteine above the putative membrane/cytoplasm interface. (A) Voltage protocol for closed-state modification using fast perfusion. Current was evoked every 20 s by a 20-ms test pulse. Between the test pulses MTSET (1 mM) was applied for 10 s and subsequently washed out for 10 s. (B and D) Time course of MTSET modification of a representative cysteine (IIS6-A4C) in closed state (B) and open state (D). (C and E) The MTSET inhibition phase in B and D plotted against the cumulative time in MTSET or the cumulative channel open time, respectively.

The Gate for MTSET Is At or Below the Membrane/Cytoplasm Interface

The strong state-dependent modification of positions above the putative membrane/cytoplasm interface (Fig. 4, D and E). The current decay could be well fitted by a single exponential function (Fig. 4 E), from which the second-order rate constant of MTSET modification in the open state was calculated. Fig. 5 A summarizes the apparent second-order rate constants of MTSET modification in both the open and closed states for selected mutant channels. For most positions located above the putative membrane/cytoplasm interface, the rate constants in the open state were ~1,000-fold faster than those in the closed state, suggesting that there is a physical barrier for MTSET diffusion at or below the putative membrane/cytoplasm interface.

To confirm that this marked state dependence of modification rate was related to channel gating rather than to the intrinsic voltage dependence of movement of MTSET into the inner pore, we examined the voltage dependence of MTSET modification of $K^+$ currents mediated by a representative mutant channel, IIS6-A4C. The reason for using $K^+$ current for this experiment is that compared with $Ba^{2+}$ current the voltage dependence of activation is negatively shifted, so that we could avoid using extreme positive voltages that are harmful to the membrane. Fig. 6 shows the second-order rate constants obtained at different voltages, together with the activation curve. It is clear that the change in modification rate correlates reasonably well with channel open probability. At $-80 \text{ mV}$, when 99% of the channels were closed, modification was very slow. At $-20 \text{ mV}$, when 85% of the channels were open, modification was ~120-fold faster than at $-80 \text{ mV}$. This large increase in the modification rate is apparently a consequence of channel gating. On the other hand, the modification rate increased by only ~1.3-fold between $-20$ and $+40 \text{ mV}$, when channel open probability reached maximum. This small increase indicates that MTSET diffusion into the inner pore has little intrinsic voltage dependence.

Figure 5. MTSET modification rates in open and closed states. (A) Apparent second-order rate constants for MTSET modification of selected pore-lining residues. Filled circles represent rate constants measured in the closed state ($-80 \text{ mV}$) and open circles represent those measured in the open state (at $+20$ or $+30 \text{ mV}$ for different mutant channels). (B) Cartoon of the closed-state conformation of S5 and S6 helices, showing that cysteines below the membrane/cytoplasm interface can be accessed by MTSET (dark gray spheres) in the closed state.
these positions. Where exactly is this gate? To address this question, we examined MTSET modification of three continuous positions in IIS6, at or above the putative membrane/cytoplasm interface. The apparent second-order rate constants for these positions in both the open and closed states are shown in Fig. 5 A. IIS6-A2C and IIS6-V1C both showed strong state-dependent modification, with the rate being ~1,000-fold faster in the open state than in the closed state. In contrast, IIS6-D0C was modified rapidly in both the open and closed states, with only a threefold difference between the modification rates. More important, the closed-state modification rate of IIS6-V1C and IIS6-A2C is ~1,000-fold slower than that of IIS6-D0C, suggesting that the gate for MTSET diffusion is situated between position 0 and 1 of IIS6, right at the putative membrane/cytoplasm interface.

Trapping of MTSET Also Suggests an Intracellular Gate

In many channels, blocking molecules are often trapped in the pore when the channel is closed (e.g., Armstrong, 1966, 1971, 1974; Holmgren et al., 1997; Shin et al., 2001; for review see Hille 2001), a phenomenon indicative of an intracellular gate. Does trapping occur to MTSET in VGCCs? To address this question, we compared MTSET modification of IIS6-A4C using two different voltage protocols, in which the magnitude of the test pulse (+30 mV) and the pulse interval (6 s) were maintained the same but the duration of the test pulse was set at 500 ms in one case and 10 ms in another (Fig. 7 A). IIS6-A4C was chosen because it exhibited little modification in the closed state (Fig. 5 A). This mutant channel was modified with both voltage protocols, but the modification was much faster with the 500-ms test pulse than with the 10-ms test pulse for the same number of test pulses (Fig. 7 B). This is expected if modification occurs predominantly in the open state. However, when the time course of modification was plotted using the cumulative channel open time, it became apparent that the modification was significantly faster (approximately fourfold) with the 10-ms test pulse (Fig. 7 C). One potential factor contributing to this apparent faster modification is the time (2–3 ms) taken for the open channels to completely close, which would increase the actual cumulative channel open time for the 10-ms test pulse by 20–30% (2–3 ms adds little to the 500-ms test pulse). However, this addition would increase the modification rate by only 20–30%. Furthermore, since 2–3 ms was also needed for all the channels to open, the real open time for the 10-ms test pulse should remain more or less 10 ms. A more likely explanation is trapping: MTSET enters the inner pore when the channel is open; it then gets trapped in the inner pore when an intracellular gate is closed and reacts with the cysteine while the channel remains in the closed state. Since more trapping events occur with the 10-ms test pulse for the same cumulative channel open time, the apparent modification rate becomes faster. Trapping in another way supports the existence of an intracellular gate.

DISCUSSION

The rationale for using the open/closed state dependence of modification of engineered pore-lining cysteines by a sulphydryl-specific reagent to locate the channel activation gate is straightforward (del Camino and Yellen, 2001; Flynn and Zagotta, 2001): cysteines...
situated above the gate would be modified much more rapidly by an internally applied modifying reagent in the open state than in the closed state, but cysteines situated below the gate would be modified equally rapidly in both the open and closed states. If there is no intracellular gate, all the cysteines along the inner pore would be modified similarly in both the open and closed states. A critical assumption for using this method to locate the activation gate is that the intrinsic reactivity of the engineered cysteine does not change with gating. This assumption is difficult to test directly, but the strong state-dependent modification of cysteines above the putative membrane/cytoplasm interface in three different S6 segments and the clear-cut difference between those cysteines and IIS6-D0C (Fig. 5 A) suggest that such an assumption is a reasonable one.

The second-order rate constant for MTSET modification in the open state is two to three orders of magnitude lower than that for MTSET modification of free thiols (Stauffer and Karlin, 1994) and of cysteines in S6 of the Shaker K⁺ channel (Liu et al., 1997; del Camino and Yellen, 2001). Multiple reasons could account for this low rate, including the low intrinsic reactivity of the engineered cysteines and the low open probability of P/Q-type Ca²⁺ channels. Similar low rates have also been reported for cysteines in M2 of Kir channels (Phillips et al., 2003; Xiao et al., 2003), S6 of SK-type Ca²⁺-dependent K⁺ channels (Bruening-Wright et al., 2002), and S6 of CNG channels (Flynn and Zagotta, 2001). For the purpose of locating the activation gate, the critical measure is not the absolute rate of modification but the difference of this rate between the open and closed states. For cysteines above the membrane/cytoplasm interface, we observed an ~1,000-fold difference in the modification rate between the open and closed states. The actual difference could be significantly bigger than what we estimated. In our calculation, we assumed that the current decay in the closed state following MTSET application was totally caused by modification in closed state. However, this small decrease of current (e.g., Fig. 2 B and Fig. 4 B) could be largely due to channel rundown. Thus the closed-state modification rate we estimated is an upper limit. For positions below the putative activation gate, we suppose that MTSET access is not gated but that there is a gating-associated movement of the S6 helices, which results in a small change in the modification rate (e.g., threefold difference between the open and closed states for IIS6-D0C). This small change in cysteine reactivity with gating has been seen in the lower S6 of K₅ channels (Liu et al., 1997).

The strong state-dependent modification by MTSET of cysteines above the membrane/cytoplasm interface suggests that the S6 helices form a narrow constriction at the intracellular end of pore. Where is this constriction? In KcsA, the M2 bundle crossing forms the narrowest part of the inner pore (Doyle et al., 1998). This bundle crossing also forms the activation gate in the Shaker K⁺ channel: I477C, which lies at the bundle crossing (Fig. 1), shows strong state-dependent modification by internal MTSET but V478C, a position immediately below the bundle crossing, does not (Liu et al., 1997; del Camino and Yellen, 2001). Notably, in the P/Q-type Ca²⁺ channel, IIS6-A2C and IIS6-V1C both show strong state-dependent modification (Fig. 5 A). These two positions are located below the corresponding M2/S6 bundle crossing in K⁺ channels, according to the alignment in Fig. 1. This means that either this alignment is inappropriate or the location of the activation gate in VGCCs is different from that in the Shaker K⁺ channel. Although the details of the alignment in Fig. 1 may need revision, placing position 0 at the membrane/cytoplasm interface or even slightly below it seems appropriate, based on the hydrophobicity and the effect and pattern of MTSET modification of the amino acids below (i.e., more intracellular to) this position (see Fig. 3 of Zhen et al., 2005). Thus, we propose that the activation gate in VGCCs is located more intracellularly than that in the Shaker K⁺ channel.

Does the constriction that gates MTSET diffusion also gate Ca²⁺ conduction? An ideal and direct experiment to answer this question is to study the open/closed state dependence of block by internal Cd²⁺. Cd²⁺ binds to the EEEE locus in the selectivity filter with a high affinity (Yang et al., 1993), but since this effect is rapidly reversible, we cannot capitalize on this binding. However, Cd²⁺ interacts strongly with sulphydryl groups, preferably organized in a tetrahedral geometry, and this interaction is generally extremely slow to reverse. This property has been exploited to study gating in other types of channels (Holmgren et al., 1998; del Camino and Yellen, 2001; Enketchakul et al., 2001; Loussouarn et al., 2001; Rothberg et al., 2002, 2003; Xiao et al., 2003; Webster et al., 2004). We also attempted to create a slowly reversible Cd²⁺ binding site in the Ca²⁺ channel pore by engineering two or four pore-lining cysteines at several analogous positions of the four S6 segments or by substituting one or two glutamates in the selectivity filter with cysteines. Unfortunately, our attempts were not successful due to several difficulties. First of all, because of the low membrane expression of Ca²⁺ channels in mammalian cell lines, all of our experiments were performed in Xenopus oocytes, which contain high levels of Ca²⁺-activated Cl⁻ channels on the surface membrane. To avoid contamination from these channels, which can be activated by Ba²⁺ as well, albeit to a lesser degree, we used K⁺ as the charge carrier. But the contaminating Ca²⁺ in the intracellular solution (presumably mainly from our
deionized water) was sufficient to activate the endogenous Ca$^{2+}$-activated Cl$^-$ channels. Use of a Ca$^{2+}$ chelator would not alleviate this problem since common chelators such as EGTA, EDTA, and BAPTA bind Cd$^{2+}$ much more tightly than Ca$^{2+}$, leaving any contaminating Ca$^{2+}$ unbuffered. We thus resorted to use a “Ca$^{2+}$ sponge” (Yang et al., 1993) to remove the contaminating Ca$^{2+}$ from the intracellular solution. However, even with this maneuver, we still encountered large and variable Cl$^-$ currents because, surprisingly, Cd$^{2+}$ itself could activate the Cl$^-$ current. We were therefore left with a low-probability chance of encountering oocytes with no or a very low level of endogenous Ca$^{2+}$-activated Cl$^-$ channels. Furthermore, all quadruple cysteine mutant channels failed to produce a large enough macroscopic current. Although some single and double mutant channels expressed well, we were still unable to carry out the Cd$^{2+}$ block experiments due to the aforementioned technical difficulty.

Despite the lack of direct supporting data, we propose that the intracellular gate that hinders MTSET diffusion is also a gate for Ca$^{2+}$, because Ca$^{2+}$ ions are most likely hydrated, at least partially, when they pass through the bundle crossing, and the size of a partially hydrated Ca$^{2+}$ ion is similar to or larger than that of MTSET.

In the accompanying study, we concluded that the inner pore of VGCCs can open to $>10$ Å (Zhen et al., 2005). The closed channel is likely much narrower than this. Thus the S6 helices must undergo significant conformational changes during gating, as in K$^+$ channels. But do Ca$^{2+}$ channels open the pore like K$^+$ channels do? A highly conserved glycine residue is present in the M2/S6 helix of various K$^+$ channels and closely related CNG channels. It has been proposed (Jiang et al., 2002b) that this glycine acts as a flexible gating hinge, allowing the M2/S6 inner-pore helices to form a narrow constriction as in the KcsA structure and to splay wide open as in the MthK structure. However, it has been suggested recently (Webster et al., 2004) that unlike predictions from the MthK structure, the inner-pore helices of the Shaker K$^+$ channel maintain the KcsA-like bundle-crossing motif in both open and closed states, with a bend at the Pro-X-Pro sequence, which is located above the bundle crossing and is conserved in K$^+$ channels but not in inward rectifier K$^+$ channels and the bacterial K$^+$ channels. The implication of these studies is that the M2/S6 inner-pore helices may undergo different kinds of gating conformation changes in different types of K$^+$ channels. In VGCCs, a conserved glycine is present in IS6 and IIIS6 but not in IIIS6 and IVS6, at a position close or analogous to the conserved glycine in K$^+$ channels. There is no Pro-X-Pro sequence in any of the S6 helices. It is thus expected that the gating motions of the S6 helices of VGCCs would be different from those of K$^+$ channels.
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