Long Polyamines Act as Cofactors in PIP<sub>2</sub> Activation of Inward Rectifier Potassium (Kir2.1) Channels
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Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP<sub>2</sub>) acts as an essential factor regulating the activity of all Kir channels. In most Kir members, the dependence on PIP<sub>2</sub> is modulated by other factors, such as protein kinases (in Kir1), G<sub>Pr</sub> (in Kir3), and the sulfonylurea receptor (in Kir6). So far, however, no regulator has been identified in Kir2 channels. Here we show that polyamines, which cause inward rectification by selectively blocking outward current, also regulate the interaction of PIP<sub>2</sub> with Kir2.1 channels to maintain channel availability. Using spermine and diamines as polyamine analogs, we demonstrate that both spontaneous and PIP<sub>2</sub> antibody–induced rundown of Kir2.1 channels in excised inside-out patches was markedly slowed by long polyamines; in contrast, polyamines with shorter chain length were ineffective. In K188Q mutant channels, which have a low PIP<sub>2</sub> affinity, application PIP<sub>2</sub> (10 μM) was unable to activate channel activity in the absence of polyamines, but markedly activated channels in the presence of long diamines. Using neomycin as a measure of PIP<sub>2</sub> affinity, we found that long polyamines were capable of strengthening either the wild type or K188Q channels’ interaction with PIP<sub>2</sub>. The negatively charged D172 residue inside the transmembrane pore region was critical for the shift of channel–PIP<sub>2</sub> binding affinity by long polyamines. Sustained pore block by polyamines was neither sufficient nor necessary for this effect. We conclude that long polyamines serve a dual role as both blockers and coactivators (with PIP<sub>2</sub>) of Kir2.1 channels.

INTRODUCTION

Inward rectifier potassium (Kir) channels readily conduct inward currents at membrane potentials negative to the K<sup>+</sup> reversal potential (E<sub>K</sub>), but pass progressively less outward current as membrane potential becomes more positive than E<sub>K</sub>. This inward rectifying property plays an essential role in stabilizing resting membrane potential and regulating excitability (Doupnik et al., 1995; Hille, 2001). The mechanism of inward rectification involves voltage-dependent block of outward currents by polyamines (Ficker et al., 1994; Lopatin et al., 1994; Fakler et al., 1995) and Mg<sup>2+</sup> (Matsuda et al., 1987; Vandenberg, 1987). All seven subfamilies (Kir1-7) share a common structure consisting of intracellular NH<sub>2</sub> and COOH termini and two membrane spanning segments (M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub>) flanking a pore-forming P-loop with a signature K conductance sequence. Recently published crystal structures (Doyle et al., 1998; Nishida and MacKinnon, 2002; Kuo et al., 2003) show that the Kir pore consists not only of the classic “transmembrane pore” (~35 Å long, spanning the plasma membrane), which is formed by the P-loop (containing the selectivity filter ~12 Å and the M1 and M2 helices [~20 Å inner part]), but also of a “cytoplasmic pore” formed by regions of the cytoplasmic NH<sub>2</sub> and COOH termini. The cytoplasmic pore extends the total pore length intracellularly by ~30 Å, with a width varying from 7 to 15 Å. In Kir2.1 channels, negatively charged residues in both the transmembrane pore at D172 (Lu and MacKinnon, 1994; Stanfield et al., 1994; Wible et al., 1994; Yang et al., 1995) and in the cytoplasmic pore at E224 and E299 (Yang et al., 1995; Kubo and Murata, 2001) confer strong inward rectification by interacting with polyamines.

In addition to polyamines, Kir2.1 channels, as well as other Kir channels, are regulated by membrane phosphoinositides such as PIP<sub>2</sub>. The direct interaction between the negative phosphate head group of PIP<sub>2</sub> and several positively charged residues in NH<sub>2</sub> and COOH termini (e.g., R67, K188, R189, R218, and R312 in Kir2.1) are essential for activation of channels (Fan and Makielski, 1997; Shyng et al., 2000; Lopes et al., 2002; Schulze et al., 2003; Zeng et al., 2003). Moreover, in other Kir family members, different signaling partners appear to influence Kir channel activity by modulating their interaction with PIP<sub>2</sub>. For example, PKA phosphorylation enhance Kir1.1 (ROMK1)–PIP<sub>2</sub> interaction (Liou et al., 1999). G protein βγ subunits (G<sub>Prγ</sub>) stabilize the Kir3.1/4 (GIRK1/4)–PIP<sub>2</sub> interaction (Huang et al., 1998; Ho and Murrell-Lagnado, 1999; Zhang et al., 1999). The PIP<sub>2</sub> interaction with the K<sub>ATP</sub> (Kir6.x) channels is regulated by SUR and relates to ATP sensitivity (Baukrowitz et al., 1998; Shyng and Nichols, 1998; Song
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and Ashcroft, 2001). So far, however, no regulator of PIP$_2$’s interaction with the strong inward rectifier Kir2.1 channel has been identified (Rohacs et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 1999; Hilgemann et al., 2001; Soom et al., 2001). In this study, we present evidence that polyamines act as cofactors in PIP$_2$ regulation of Kir2.1 channel activity. Long polyamines, such as spermine and 1,10-decanediame (DA10) or 1,12-dodecanediame (DA12), are capable of stabilizing the channel in an open configuration, enabling an apparent increase of channel–PIP$_2$ binding affinity. The mechanism involves polyamines interacting with negative charges at D172 in the transmembrane pore, without requiring sustained pore block. Thus, polyamines serve dual roles in Kir2.1 channels, by both inducing inward rectification and regulating channel availability.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Molecular Biology
Kir channel cDNAs were subcloned into pBluescript KS (Stratagene). “Quikchange” mutagenesis (Stratagene) was used to construct individual mutants. cRNAs were synthesized using T7 polymerase (Ambion) and injected into stage IV–V Xenopus oocytes.

Oocyte Preparation and Channel Expression
Xenopus oocytes were isolated by partial ovariectomy in mature female Xenopus and then defolliculated by treatment for 1 h with 1 mg/ml collagenase in the Barth’s solution. The day after collagenase treatment, selected oocytes were pressure-injected with 1 mg/ml collagenase in the Barth’s solution. The day after female K as cofactors in PIP$_2$ polyamines play this role. We show that polyamines act

Electrophysiology and Data Analyses
Membrane currents were recorded from excised inside-out giant patches from injected oocytes with an Axopatch 200A or B amplifier (Axon Instruments) at room temperature. Patch electrodes were pulled from thin-wall borosilicate glass (Garner Glass) and had a tip diameter of 20–30 µm after fire polishing. The patch electrode solution contained (in mM) 85 KCl, 1.8 CaCl$_2$, 5 K$_2$HPO$_4$, 5 KH$_2$PO$_4$ (pH 7.4 adjusted with KOH). The standard bath solution contained (in mM) 75 KCl, 5 K$_2$EDTA, 5 K$_2$HPO$_4$, 5 KH$_2$PO$_4$ (pH 7.2 adjusted with KOH). The MgATP solution used to reactivate channels contained (in mM) 85 KCl, 2 MgATP, 5 K$_2$PO$_4$, 5 KH$_2$PO$_4$ (pH 7.2 adjusted with KOH). PIP$_2$ (dipalmitoyl- or pentaammonium salt) was purchased from Calbiochem and was prepared in bath solution (10 µM) and sonicated for ~20 min in ice water before using. PIP$_2$ antibody was purchased from Assay Designs, Inc. and prepared by 20-fold dilution into the bath solution. TEA, spermine, and neomycin was added to the bath solution with pH readjusted. Data were filtered with an 8-pole Bessel filter (Frequency Devices) at 2 kHz and digitized at 5 kHz via a Digidata 1200 interface (Axon Instruments). Data acquisition and analysis were performed using an Axopatch 200A amplifier (Axon Instruments) and pCLAMP 7 or 9 software (Axon Instruments). Continuous I-V relations were recorded using ramp pulses generated from a holding potential of 0 mV and ramped between −100 and +100 mV at a rate of 400 mV/s or 100 mV/s. Current amplitudes measured at −100 mV were used to evaluate channel activity. The outward current at +100 mV in the presence of 100 µM DA10, DA12, and 30 mM TEA or after complete rundown was considered as leak current, and the same amount of inward current was subtracted from the current at −100 mV. In some experiments, continuous inward currents were recorded by holding patches constantly at −80 mV.

All experiments were conducted at room temperature (20–24°C). Data were presented as mean ± SEM. The unpaired Student’s t test was used to assess statistical significance.

Figure 1. Stabilization of Kir2.1 channel activity by PIP$_2$. Representative current traces elicited by the ramp pulses between −100 mV and +100 mV from a holding potential of 0 mV are shown. (A) After patch excision into Mg- and polyamine-free bath solution, inward rectification disappeared and current transiently increased before running down. Application of phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP$_2$, 10 µM) after rundown recovered channel activity. (B) Current–voltage (IV) relationships obtained at times a–d in A. (C) Application of PIP$_2$ (10 µM) immediately after patch excision prevented rundown. (D) MgATP (2 mM), but not K$_2$ATP (2 mM), reactivated current after rundown. (E) After rundown, the PIP$_2$ synthesis inhibitor wortmannin (WMN, 100 µM) prevented reactivation by MgATP (2 mM). The triangle to the right of each trace indicates zero current level. The upward arrow under each trace indicates the patch excision from cell-attached to inside-out configuration. Applications of reagents are indicated by the horizontal bars. The same markings are applicable to subsequent figures.
RESULTS

Rundown and Recovery of the Kir2.1 Channel Activity Depend on Membrane PIP$_2$ Level

Kir2.1 channels were expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes and macroscopic currents recorded in giant inside-out membrane patches. Upon excising and perfusing the patch in a Mg$^{2+}$- and polyamine-free solution, the outward current increased gradually, as endogenous Mg$^{2+}$ and polyamines were washed out (Fig. 1, A, D, and E). Since outward current through Kir2.1 channels is very sensitive to contamination by residual polyamines and other cations, we used the inward current at −100 mV to index channel activity. In most patches, channel activity started to rundown after the outward current reached a maximal level, until eventually all channels closed. The average half-time for spontaneous rundown was 4.8 ± 0.4 min (n = 27). Channel activity could be recovered by applying exogenous PIP$_2$ (10 μM), without further rundown thereafter (Fig. 1, A and B). Early application of PIP$_2$ prevented rundown completely (Fig. 1 C). (The inhibition of the outward current during PIP$_2$ perfusion might be caused by ammonium ions present in the PIP$_2$ formulation.) Fig. 1 D shows that after spontaneous rundown, channels could also be reactivated by 2 mM MgATP, but not with K$_2$ATP or AMP-PNP (not depicted). This reactivating effect was blocked by wortmannin (100 μM), an inhibitor of phosphatidylinositol kinases (Fig. 1 E), which prevents resynthesis of PIP$_2$ by MgATP-sensitive PI kinases. These results are reminiscent of Kir6.2 channels (Xie et al., 1999a,b) and indicate that membrane PIP$_2$ levels are also the main determinant of the Kir2.1 channel activity.

Long Polyamines Slow the Rundown Process of Kir2.1 Channels

When long polyamines such as spermine, DA12, or DA10 were included in the bath solution, rundown was markedly slowed (Fig. 2, A–C). In the presence of 100 μM spermine, DA12, or DA10, the residual current at 5 min after excising the patch remained at 90 ± 7%, 113 ± 5%, or 93 ± 4%, respectively, of the initial level, compared with 35 ± 7% in the absence of polyamines (ctl), as summarized in Fig. 2 E. Fig. 2 C shows that channel activity remained stable for ~4 min in the presence of 100 μM DA10, but ran down rapidly to ~8% over ~2 min once DA10 was removed. Reapplication of DA10 did not recover the channel activity, indicating that DA10 could not directly reactivate channels once they had closed due to PIP$_2$ depletion. However, subsequent application of MgATP (2 mM) to resynthesize PIP$_2$ reactivated channel activity. Short diamines such as DA4 (putrescine) did not prevent rundown (Fig. 2, D and F).

These results indicate that two factors contribute to spontaneous rundown of Kir2.1 channels after patch excision into MgATP- and polyamine-free solution: (1) gradual depletion of membrane PIP$_2$ (due to dephosphorylation by inositol-polyphosphate phosphatase or hydrolysis by PLC [Huang et al., 1998]); (2) washout of long polyamines from the patch.

Long Polyamines Stabilize Channel Activity by Strengthening the PIP$_2$–Channel Interaction

Channel activity showed minimal rundown after reactivation by MgATP (Fig. 3 A). The subsequent experiments were performed after spontaneous rundown was halted by treating patches with 1 mM MgATP for ~1 min. Under these conditions, channel activity could
be inhibited by exposure to PIP₂ antibodies (PIP₂-Ab) (Fig. 3, B and D). The half-time for PIP₂-Ab–induced rundown was ~4 min. Fig. 3 (C and D) shows that DA12 (100 μM) inhibited the ability of PIP₂-Ab to cause channel rundown, prolonging the half-time to ~9 min, suggesting that polyamines stabilize channel activity by increasing channel–PIP₂ binding affinity.

To quantitatively evaluate whether long polyamines might stabilize channel activities by strengthening the PIP₂-channel interaction, we used neomycin sensitivity to estimate PIP₂ binding affinity as previously reported (Huang et al., 1998; Schulze et al., 2003; Ribalet et al., 2005). Neomycin is a polycation that binds PIP₂ (Arbuzova et al., 2000), preventing it from interacting with the channel. Channel activity was inhibited by applying neomycin in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3 F), and the concentration causing 50% inhibition (IC₅₀) was used to indicate the strength of channel–PIP₂ interaction (or PIP₂-binding affinity), i.e., low neomycin sensitivity indicates a strong channel–PIP₂ interaction, and high neomycin sensitivity a weak channel–PIP₂ interaction.

As shown in Fig. 3 E, 100 μM neomycin caused ~60% inhibition in the absence of polyanines. However, in the presence of DA10 (100 μM), the same concentration of neomycin caused only ~5% inhibition unless DA10 was removed. Under control conditions (i.e., in the absence of polyamines), neomycin inhibited inward current with an IC₅₀ of ~33 ± 5 μM. In the presence of 100 μM DA10, however, neomycin sensitivity decreased 14-fold (IC₅₀ = 487 ± 134 μM) (Fig. 3 H). Spermine (100 μM) had similar effects, producing a 25-fold decrease in neomycin sensitivity (IC₅₀ = 834 ± 142 μM) (Fig. 3, E and H). Unlike polyamines with alkyl chain lengths ≥10 (DA10, DA12, and spermine), however, 100 μM putrescine (DA4) did not shift neomycin sensitivity, with an IC₅₀ of 38 ± 11 μM (Fig. 3, G and H). Other shorter diamines, such as 1,6-hexanediamine (DA6) and 1,8-octanediamine (DA8), did not significantly decrease neomycin sensitivity either (unpublished data), although they blocked outward currents, indicating that block of the pore per se was not sufficient to increase PIP₂ affinity. To determine whether block of the pore by long polyamines was necessary for their effects on neomycin sensitivity, we also measured neomycin sensitivity in the absence and presence of spermine when the patch was held continuously at −80 mV. Under these conditions, the channels passed inward current continuously, and so were unblocked by spermine most, if not all, of the time. Neomycin sensitivity was still reduced by spermine under these conditions (IC₅₀ of 32 ± 11 μM vs. 503 ± 130 μM in the absence and presence of spermine, respectively, n = 3 patches).

Fig. 4 provides further evidence that long polyamines stabilize channel activity by strengthening the PIP₂–
channel interaction. The PIP$_2$ binding site(s) in Kir2.1 involve positively charged residues in the cytoplasmic NH$_2$ and COOH termini, putatively located just underneath the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane (e.g., K188, R216, also see Fig. 7). Mutation of these residues reduces channel–PIP$_2$ binding affinity and channel activity. Fig. 4 (A–C) shows that K188Q mutant channels exhibited low channel activity under control conditions. The channel activity ran down with a faster time course (half-time 1.3 ± 0.1 min) compared with WT channels (half-time 4.8 ± 0.4 min) and could not be activated by exogenous application of 10 mM PIP$_2$, consistent with its known low PIP$_2$ affinity. However, application of DA12 (100 μM) in the presence of PIP$_2$ activated channels dramatically, although with a slow time course, suggesting DA12 enhanced PIP$_2$ affinity. DA12 maintained its activating effect even after withdrawal of exogenous PIP$_2$ from the perfusate, presumably because the membrane level of PIP$_2$ remained high (Fig. 4 A). In contrast, DA12 alone did not stimulate channel activity before application of PIP$_2$ (Fig. 4 B). Thus, channel activation required the simultaneous presence of DA12 and abundant membrane PIP$_2$. Similar results were obtained in patches treated with MgATP (2 mM) to elevate membrane PIP$_2$ levels (Fig. 4, C and D). After MgATP, both DA10 and DA12 dramatically increased the channel activity, whereas shorter diamines such as DA8 had no effect (Fig. 4, C and D). Neomycin inhibited K188Q channels with a much faster time constant (Fig. 4 E) and lower IC$_{50}$ (~3 ± 1 μM), reconfirming that neomycin is a reliable indicator of channel–PIP$_2$ binding affinity (Fig. 4, F and H). In the presence of 100 μM DA12, neomycin sensitivity decreased 23-fold (IC$_{50}$ of 69 ± 29 μM; Fig. 4, E–H). These results indicate that long polyamines enhance PIP$_2$’s ability to interact with the channel and stabilize the open state.

Negative Charges Inside the Transmembrane Pore Contribute to the Polyamine-induced Stabilization of Channel Open State

To identify the site(s) at which long polyamines interact to strengthen the PIP$_2$–channel interaction, we mutated negatively charged residues known to affect polyamine binding, including D172 in the transmembrane pore (Lu and MacKinnon, 1994; Stanfield et al., 1994; Yang et al., 1995), and E224 and E299 in the cytoplasmic pore (Yang et al., 1995; Kubo and Murata, 2001). Fig. 5 shows that in E224G/E299S channels, the...
presence of DA10 caused the IC50 for neomycin inhibition to increase approximately sixfold from 21 ± 7 μM to 184 ± 13 μM (Fig. 5, A and B). In contrast, in D172N channels, the effect of DA10 on neomycin sensitivity was abolished (Fig. 5, C and D); the IC50 for neomycin inhibition showed no significant difference between control (11 ± 4 μM) and in the presence of 100 μM DA10 (15 ± 4 μM). These results indicate that D172 plays a major role in strengthening the channel–PIP2 interaction by long polyamines.

We also evaluated spontaneous rundown of D172N channels in the absence and presence of 100 μM DA10, respectively. There was no significant difference in the half-times for spontaneous rundown between control (2.1 ± 0.6 min, n = 10) and DA10 group (2.2 ± 0.5, n = 8), although both half-times were shorter than in wild-type channels. These results support the idea that D172 mediates the effects of polyamines on strengthening PIP2 affinity.

Polyamine Effect on PIP2 Affinity in other Kir Channels
Kir1.1 channels are weak inward rectifiers, which lack equivalent rectification sites. As shown in Fig. 6 A, wild-type Kir1.1 channels conducted substantial outward currents either in the cell-attached patches or inside-out patches in the presence of 100 μM DA10. DA10 did not shift neomycin sensitivity in the wild-type Kir1.1 channels. Introducing a negative charge at the equivalent site in the transmembrane pore (N171D) enhanced inward rectification in Kir1.1 channels. However, neomycin sensitivity was not shifted by DA10 (Fig. 6 B). Similar results were obtained with Kir6.2 channels, using either Kir6.2Δ36 or Kir6.2 Δ36/N160D channels. Thus, the presence of a negative charge at the site equivalent to 172 in Kir2.1 channels was not sufficient to confer polyamine-induced enhancement of the channel interaction with PIP2 in Kir1.1 or Kir6.2 channels, indicating that other residues are also important.

Figure 5. Negative charges at the D172 position, but not E224/E299, are required for the polyamine-induced stabilization of channel open state. (A) Current traces recorded from E224G/E299S mutant channels showing neomycin (Neo) sensitivities in the presence or absence of 100 μM DA10. (B) Normalized current (I/Io)–concentration relationships for neomycin in the E224G/E299S, in the absence (ctl) and presence of 100 μM DA10. Numbers of patches and mean IC50 are indicated in the legends. (C and D) Same as A and B, except for D172N mutant channels.

Figure 6. Long polyamines do not strengthen the PIP2–channel interaction in Kir1.1 channels. (A) Ramp pulse-elicited current traces were recorded from wild-type Kir1.1 channels. DA10 (100 μM) did not cause any shift in neomycin (Neo) sensitivity. (B) Same as A, except for Kir1.1/N171D mutant channels. The extent of inward rectification was enhanced in this mutation. However, DA10 (100 μM) had no effect on neomycin sensitivity. TEA (30 mM) had less effect on the inward currents.
DISCUSSION

In the present study, we demonstrate that long polyamines, through their interaction with D172 in the transmembrane pore and other as yet unidentified residues, prevent rundown of Kir2.1 channels by enhancing the channel’s affinity for membrane-bound PIP2. This conclusion is supported both qualitatively in experiments using direct application of PIP2, PIP2-generating systems, and/or PIP2 antibodies, as well as quantitatively using neomycin sensitivity to index the strength of the channel’s interaction with membrane-bound PIP2. Although we cannot exclude the possibility that neomycin may have nonspecific effects in addition to screening PIP2, as reported in the case of polylysine (Lopes et al., 2002), our findings with neomycin are fully consistent with the effects observed by direct application of PIP2, PIP2-generating systems, and/or PIP2 antibodies.

Mechanistic Model

Fig. 7 shows a hypothetical schema, which integrates these new findings with existing information about the structure–function and regulation of Kir2.1 channels. We propose that in the absence of long polyamines, the channel opens (O state) when the conserved positively charged residues (e.g., K188, R218) in the cytoplasmic region bind to negatively charged heads of PIP2 molecules, and closes (C) when this interaction is lost. The Kir2.1 has a high open probability of >0.9, suggesting a normally high PIP2 binding affinity. When PIP2 is hydrolyzed by PLC or screened by neomycin (Neo), the channel closes (CN state). The absence of new PIP2 to interact causes channel rundown (R state). Long polyamines (PA) interact with D172 in the M2 region to allosterically strengthen the interaction of the cytoplasmic domain with PIP2, thereby locking the channel in the open configuration (OA state) and preventing rundown or inhibition by neomycin. The channel is blocked at positive membrane potential by polyamine plugging the pore near the selectivity filter (BA state).

Figure 7. Schematic model of modulation of Kir channels by PIP2 and long polyamines. Only two M2 helices and two cytoplasmic regions of the tetrameric structure are shown for clarity. The channel opens (O state) when the conserved positively charged residues (e.g., K188, R218) in the cytoplasmic region bind to negatively charged heads of PIP2 molecules, and closes (C) when this interaction is lost. The Kir2.1 has a high open probability of >0.9, suggesting a normally high PIP2 binding affinity. When PIP2 is hydrolyzed by PLC or screened by neomycin (Neo), the channel closes (CN state). The absence of new PIP2 to interact causes channel rundown (R state). Long polyamines (PA) interact with D172 in the M2 region to allosterically strengthen the interaction of the cytoplasmic domain with PIP2, thereby locking the channel in the open configuration (OA state) and preventing rundown or inhibition by neomycin. The channel is blocked at positive membrane potential by polyamine plugging the pore near the selectivity filter (BA state).
at −80 mV so that voltage-dependent pore block by polyamines was minimal, long polyamines still prevented rundown fairly effectively. (b) Short polyamines, which could block outward current, had no effect on channel PIP$_2$ affinity as assessed by neomycin sensitivity. (c) In the D172N mutation, 100 μM DA10 did not shift neomycin sensitivity, but still completely blocked the outward current. Thus, block of the pore by polyamines was neither necessary nor sufficient to increase channel PIP$_2$ affinity. We speculate that the effect of long polyamines on PIP$_2$ affinity is mediated by direct electrostatic interaction of their positive headgroups with D172, but cannot exclude the possibility that they interact with other regions of the channel, and that this interaction is allosterically affected by neutralization of D172.

The Specificity of Polyamine and Neomycin Effects

It is likely that polyamines affected PIP$_2$'s interaction with single channels, rather than by promoting PIP$_2$ degradation at a global level, since a point mutation inside channel membrane pore (D172N) diminished DA10's effect on neomycin sensitivity (Fig. 5, C and D). We suggest that when polyamines enhance the affinity of the channels for PIP$_2$, the bound PIP$_2$ molecule is less available for degradation by phosphatases and/or PLCs. The shift of neomycin sensitivity to higher IC$_{50}$ in the presence of polyamines is consistent with this hypothesis. These results suggest that the channel and PIP$_2$ form a functional microdomain regulating local PIP$_2$ metabolism; however, if it exists, this microdomain still interacts with the surrounding environment, since newly synthesized PIP$_2$ after MgATP treatment can still reach and activate channels.

It should be noted that an ionic interaction between polyamines and phospholipids vesicles has been reported (Yung and Green, 1986). Indeed, high concentrations (mM) of spermine have been used to screen PIP$_2$ and inhibit K$_{ATP}$ channels (Fan and Makielski, 1997). However, this direct interaction between polyamines and PIP$_2$, which would be expected to reduce channel activity, cannot explain the presently reported effects of lower (100 μM) polyamine concentrations, which stabilized (WT) or increased (K188Q) channel activity.

Neomycin is a polycationic aminoglycoside composed of four alicyclic rings. Unlike polyamines, neomycin inhibited channel activity in a voltage-independent manner similar to PIP$_2$ antibodies (unpublished data), consistent with the idea that neomycin cannot enter into the Kir2.1 channel pore and/or bind to N172 residue in an electrical field. The slow time course of neomycin inhibition also excludes the possibility of the direct occlusion of the intracellular mouth of the channel similar to polyamines. Neomycin has also been used as a PLC blocker to maintain PIP$_2$ levels by attenuating its hydrolysis during PLC (or G$_q$ protein)-linked receptor stimulation (Haruna et al., 2002). However, this effect is not relevant to the present study, which did not involve PLC activation. In addition, it was suggested that neomycin block of PLC is due to the binding to the enzyme's substrate, i.e., PIP$_2$ (Lipsky and Lietman, 1982; Slivka and Insel, 1988), which is consistent with our mechanistic explanation.

Other Kir Channels

The experiments in Kir1.1 and Kir6.2 suggest that the ability of long polyamines to enhance PIP$_2$'s interaction with the channel is mainly a regulatory feature of strong inward rectifier Kir2.1 channels. Although the negative charges at D172 in the transmembrane pore are critical in Kir2.1 channels, negative charge at the equivalent site in other Kir channels is not sufficient to confer to long polyamines the ability to strengthen channel–PIP$_2$ interaction. It has been reported that multiple sites besides D172 contribute to the polyamine-induce rectification (e.g., E224, E299, D255, D259, M183, and F254) (Yang et al., 1995; Kubo and Murata, 2001; Pegan et al., 2005; Shin et al., 2005), and these sites probably also play a role in mediating the polyamine effect on the channel–PIP$_2$ interaction. This conjecture is supported by observation that the length of polyamine is critical for its effects on PIP$_2$ affinity, suggesting that interaction between the polyamine backbone and channel is also important. Further studies are needed to identify whether other charged residues or hydrophobic interactions are involved. Whether other strong inward rectifiers, such as other members of the Kir2.x subfamily or Kir3 family, share this property also remains to be determined.

We thank Dr. L.Y. Jan (University of California San Francisco, CA) for providing the Kir2.1 clone.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health/ NHLBI R37 HL60025 (to J.N. Weiss), by American Heart Association, National Research Center, Scientist Development Grant (0535143N to L.H. Xie), and the Kawata and Laubisch Endowments.

Olaf S. Andersen served as editor.

Submitted: 9 August 2005
Accepted: 25 October 2005

REFERENCES


