Regulation of CRAC Channel Activity by Recruitment of Silent Channels to a High Open-probability Gating Mode
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CRAC (calcium release-activated Ca\(^{2+}\)) channels attain an extremely high selectivity for Ca\(^{2+}\) from the blockade of monovalent cation permeation by Ca\(^{2+}\) within the pore. In this study we have exploited the blockade by Ca\(^{2+}\) to examine the size of the CRAC channel pore, its unitary conductance for monovalent cations, and channel gating properties. The permeation of a series of methyammonium compounds under divalent cation-free conditions indicates a minimum pore diameter of 3.9 Å. Extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) blocks monovalent flux in a manner consistent with a single intrapore site having an effective K\(_{d}\) of 20 μM at −110 mV. Block increases with hyperpolarization, but declines below −100 mV, most likely due to permeation of Ca\(^{2+}\)−. Analysis of monovalent current noise induced by increasing levels of block by extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) indicates an open probability (P\(_{o}\)) of ~0.8. By extrapolating the variance/mean current ratio to the condition of full blockade (P\(_{o}\) = 0), we estimate a unitary conductance of ~0.7 pS for Na\(^{+}\), or three to fourfold higher than previous estimates. Removal of extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) causes the monovalent current to decline over tens of seconds, a process termed depotentiation. The declining current appears to result from a reduction in the number of active channels without a change in their high open probability. Similarly, low concentrations of 2-APB that enhance I\(_{CRAC}\) increase the number of active channels while open probability remains constant. We conclude that the slow regulation of whole-cell CRAC current by store depletion, extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), and 2-APB involves the stepwise recruitment of silent channels to a high-open-probability gating mode.

INTRODUCTION

In hematopoietic cells such as T cells, B cells, and mast cells, stimulation of surface receptors coupled to the generation of inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP\(_{3}\)) promotes depletion of Ca\(^{2+}\) from the ER and the consequent activation of calcium release-activated Ca\(^{2+}\) (CRAC) channels (Lewis, 1999; Parekh and Putney, 2005). The resulting influx of Ca\(^{2+}\) through these store-operated channels mediates essential functions including the expression and repression of numerous genes during T cell activation (Feske et al., 2001), the secretion of histamine and serotonin from mast cells during allergic reactions, and the exocytosis of lytic granules from cytotoxic T cells during target cell killing (for reviews see Lewis, 2001; Parekh and Putney, 2005).

Much work has been done to define the biophysical and pharmacological properties of the CRAC channel, both to understand its function and to aid in testing candidates for the CRAC channel gene (Prakriya and Lewis, 2003). However, a number of uncertainties persist about the permeation and gating properties of the CRAC channel, due in large part to the difficulties of studying a channel with a conductance more than 100 times smaller than most other Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable channels. These uncertainties include the dimensions of the pore, the characteristics of Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent block, and the channel’s unitary conductance and gating properties.

The CRAC channel is among the most Ca\(^{2+}\)-selective channels known, and like voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) (Ca\(_{V}\)) channels discriminates by >1,000:1 for Ca\(^{2+}\) over monovalent cations (Hoth and Penner, 1993; Hoth, 1995). Like Ca\(_{V}\) channels (Sather and McCleskey, 2003), it appears to achieve this selectivity by electrostatic repulsion of monovalent ions by Ca\(^{2+}\) bound within the pore. When all divalent cations are removed from the extracellular side (divalent-free [DVF] conditions), CRAC channels freely conduct a variety of small monovalent cations (Hoth and Penner, 1993; Lepple-Wienhues and Cahalan, 1996; Bakowski and Parekh, 2002; Prakriya and Lewis, 2002), and the potency of extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) to block this monovalent flux increases with hyperpolarization (Bakowski and Parekh, 2002). Estimates of the dimensions of the narrowest region of the pore by measurements of the permeabilities of various monovalent...
cations have yielded varied results, ranging from 3.2–5.5 Å in RBL cells (Bakowski and Parekh, 2002) to 6 Å in Jurkat cells (Kerschbaum and Cahalan, 1998). By comparison, the pore diameter of CaV channels is 6 Å (McCleskey and Almers, 1985). Given the wide range of these results, the possibility that the CRAC channel pore size may be cell type specific and the extent of its similarity to CaV channels remain open questions.

Another key characteristic of the CRAC channel is its extremely small conductance of <1 pS. Because ionic throughput is too low to detect single-channel currents, past studies have relied on noise analysis to estimate the unitary current amplitude. Nonstationary fluctuation analysis typically involves measuring an ensemble of responses to a repeated activating stimulus. Assuming a homogeneous population of a fixed number of independently gated channels, theory predicts a parabolic relation between the current variance and mean that can be used to estimate the number of channels, N, their unitary current amplitude, Po, and changes in their open probability, P0 (Neher and Stevens, 1977; Sigworth, 1980). However, for CRAC channels, noise analysis shows a linear variance/mean current relationship (Zweifach and Lewis, 1993; Prakriya and Lewis, 2002). A linear relationship can arise from changes in P0 if P0 is very low (<1), but can also reflect changes in N independently of any change in P0 (Jackson and Strange, 1995). This fundamental uncertainty severely limits the accuracy of estimates of the unitary conductance, the number of active channels in the cell, their open probability, and their mode of gating, all of which are important not only for understanding the biophysics of CRAC channels but their biological roles in the cell as well.

CRAC channel activity is regulated in multiple ways on a slow time scale of seconds to tens of seconds. The best-studied types of regulation include (a) activation in response to Ca2+ store depletion, and deactivation after store refilling; (b) Ca2+-dependent potentiation (CDP) of CRAC channel activity in store-depleted cells after the readdition of extracellular Ca2+ (Christian et al., 1996; Zweifach and Lewis, 1996) and the reverse process of depotentiation after removal of divalent cations (Lepple-Wienhues and Cahalan, 1996; Prakriya and Lewis, 2002); and (c) enhancement of channel activity by low doses of 2-APB (<5 μM), and inhibition by higher doses (>10 μM; Prakriya and Lewis, 2001). Little is known about the underlying molecular mechanisms of these processes, and the inability to estimate channel P0 has severely confounded any clarification of the associated changes in gating. However, their similar slow kinetics raise the possibility that they may involve a common rate-limiting step that could offer insights into how CRAC channels respond to the depletion of intracellular Ca2+ stores.

In this study, we examined monovalent CRAC currents in Jurkat T cells to determine several critical properties of the CRAC channel such as pore diameter, block by extracellular Ca2+, unitary current, and gating. We describe the time- and voltage-dependent block of monovalent current by extracellular Ca2+ and use this to assess the P0 of Na+-conducting CRAC channels. The P0 of CRAC channels is surprisingly high (~0.8), leading to a significant upward revision of the unitary conductance for Na+ to ~0.7 pS. A new variance analysis of CRAC current during depotentiation and during enhancement by 2-APB shows that P0 remains constant while N, the number of active channels, changes. These new results suggest that these slow changes in CRAC channel activity are affected through abrupt transitions of channels between a silent state and a highly active state.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells

Jurkat E6.1 T cells were grown in a medium consisting of RPMI 1640, supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM glutamine, 50 U/ml penicillin, and 50 μg/ml streptomycin. The cells were maintained in log-phase growth at 37°C in 6% CO2.

Solutions and Chemicals

The standard extracellular Ringer’s solution contained (in mM) 130 NaCl, 4.5 KCl, 20 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 10 d-glucose, and 5 Na-HEPES (pH 7.4). Ca2+-free Ringer’s was prepared by substituting 1 mM EGTA + 2 mM MgCl2 + 20 mM NaCl for CaCl2. The standard DVF Ringer’s solutions contained (in mM) 155 Na methane-sulfonate, 10 HEDTA, 1 EDTA, and 10 HEPES (pH 7.4). For experiments examining block of Na+ by Ca2+, CaCl2 was added to the standard DVF solution at the appropriate amount calculated from the MaxChelator software program (WEBMAXC 2.10, available at http://www.stanford.edu/~cpatton/webmaxc2.htm). In some experiments, the following organic compounds were substituted for Na methanesulfonate in the external solution: hydroxyamine HCl (NH2OH-HCl), hydrazine HCl (NH2N-HCl), methylamine HCl (CH3NH-HCl), dimethylamine HCl ((CH3)2NH-HCl), trimethylamine HCl ((CH3)3N-HCl), and tetramethylammonium chloride ((CH3)4NCl). These chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. pH was adjusted to 7.4 with N-methyl d-glucamine (NMDG) except in the case of hydrazine HCl (pH 6.4) and hydroxyamine HCl (pH 6.2), which were studied at acidic pH to increase the ionized concentration of the test ion. The standard internal solution contained (in mM) 150 Cs aspartate, 8 mM MgCl2, 10 Ba ATP, and 10 Cs-HEPES (pH 7.2). Where indicated, Na+ was substituted for Cs+ in the internal solution.

Stock solutions of thapsigargin (Sigma-Aldrich) were prepared in DMSO at a concentration of 1 mM. All solutions were applied using a multi-barrel local perfusion pipette with a common delivery port. The time for 90% solution exchange was measured to be <1 s.

Patch-clamp Measurements

Patch-clamp experiments were conducted in the standard whole-cell recording configuration at 22–25°C using an Axopatch 200 amplifier (Axon Instruments) interfaced to an ITC-16 input/output board (Instrutech) and a Macintosh G3 computer. Recording electrodes were pulled from 100-μl pipettes coated with Sylgard and fire polished to a final resistance of 2–5 MΩ. Stimulation and data acquisition and analysis were performed using in-house routines developed on the Igor Pro platform (Wavemetrics). The holding potential was +20 mV unless otherwise indicated.
Two types of stimuli were most commonly used: (1) a 100-ms step to −110 mV followed by a 100-ms ramp from −110 to +90 mV applied every 1.5 s, and (2) 200-ms sweeps collected every 0.5 s at a constant potential of −110 mV. Currents were filtered at 2 kHz with a 4-pole Bessel filter and sampled at 5 kHz. All voltages were corrected for the liquid junction potentials of the pipette solution relative to Ringer’s in the bath (−10 mV) and of the bath DVF solution relative to Ringer’s in the bath-ground agar bridge (+5 mV).

Noise Analysis

Noise measurements were performed on currents recorded by two methods. For variance/mean analysis, 200-ms sweeps were acquired at the rate of 3–4 Hz at a holding potential of −110 mV, digitized at 5 kHz, low-pass filtered using a 2-kHz Bessel filter, and recorded directly to hard disk. The mean current and variance were calculated from each sweep. For spectral analysis, current at a holding potential was recorded continuously at 52 kHz bandwidth to digital audio tape (Sony DTC-700 modified for DC coupling). The tape data were then low-pass filtered at 2 kHz (8-pole filter; Frequency Devices) and digitized at 5 kHz for analysis. Power spectra were computed from 2048-point sweeps using a Hanning window and averaged from 10–20 sweeps. Removal of the DC component and slowly varying drift in the data was achieved by subtracting from each trace a fourth-order polynomial fit. Application of sine wave inputs at 0.1–500 Hz confirmed that this method did not affect power at frequencies >1 Hz.

Data Analysis

Unless noted otherwise, all data were corrected for leak currents collected in either Ca2+-free Ringer’s solution or in 2 mM Ca2+ + 5 μM La3+, and averaged results are presented as the mean value ± SEM. All curve fitting was done by least-squares methods using built-in functions in Igor Pro 5.0.

Relative permeabilities of the various cations were calculated from changes in the reversal potential using the Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz (GHK) voltage equation:

\[
P_{i}/P_{Na} = \frac{[Na]_o/[X]_i}{e^{ΔF_{rev}/RT}},
\]

where \( R, T, \) and \( F \) have their usual meanings, and \( P_{i} \) and \( P_{Na} \) are the permeabilities of the test ion and Na+ respectively, \([X] \) and \([Na] \) are the ionic concentrations, and \( ΔF_{rev} \) is the shift in reversal potential when the test cation is exchanged for Na+.

To analyze the voltage dependence of block of Na+-ICRAC by Ca2+ or two models were considered. The first was the traditional Woodhull model (Woodhull, 1973), which assumes that the voltage dependence of block arises entirely from the movement of the charged blocker to its binding site in the pore. In this model, the voltage dependences of the association and dissociation rates are assumed to be equal and opposite and are determined solely by the valence of the blocker and the location of the binding site within the electric field. In an alternative model described by Guo and Lu (2000), these assumptions are relaxed, and association and dissociation rates are allowed to have different voltage dependences to account for movement of permeant ions that are associated with the blocker binding to its site. This model does not specify the location of the binding site within the field, but instead expresses voltage dependence in terms of an apparent valence, an empirical factor that encompasses the effects of blocker valence, binding site location, and movements of permeant ions within the field.

In both models, Ca2+ binds to a site within the pore according to the reaction:

\[
Ca_{0} + Ch \xrightarrow{k_{1}, z_{1}} Ch-Ca \xrightarrow{k_{2}, z_{2}} Ch + Ca_{1}
\]

where \( Ch \) is the channel concentration, \( Ca^{2+} \), and \( Ca^{2+} \) are the extracellular and intracellular \( Ca^{2+} \) concentrations, \( k_{1} \) and \( k_{-1} \) are the binding and unbinding rates from the extracellular side, \( k_{2} \) is the binding unbinding rate from the intracellular side, and \( z_{1} \) represents the apparent valence for the corresponding transition. We assumed that block from the intracellular compartment is negligible due to nM intracellular \( Ca^{2+} \) concentrations.

In the Woodhull model, \( z_{1} = z_{-1} = 2k \) and \( z_{2} = z - (1 - \delta) \), where \( z \) is the blocker valence and \( \delta \) is the fractional electrical distance through the membrane field. With these assumptions, the fraction of unblocked channels, \( I/I_{b} \), is given by the relationship (Woodhull, 1973):

\[
\frac{I}{I_{b}} = \frac{1 + e^{[Ca]zF / k_{1}RT}}{1 + e^{[Ca]zF / k_{-1}RT}}
\]

In the second model, the fraction of unblocked current is given by (Guo and Lu, 2000):

\[
\frac{I}{I_{b}} = \frac{1 + e^{[Ca]zF / k_{1}RT}}{1 + e^{[Ca]zF / k_{2}RT}}
\]

where \( K_{i} = k_{-1}/k_{1} \) is the equilibrium dissociation constant at 0 applied voltage, and \( z_{1} \) and \( z_{2} \) are the apparent valences. \( z_{1} = (z_{i} + z_{-1}) \) provides a measure of the overall voltage dependence of \( Ca^{2+} \) block and arises from the movement of the charged blocker (\( Ca^{2+} \)) within the field as well as the possible displacement of permeant ions (\( Na^{+} \)) within the pore. \( k_{2}/k_{-1} \) is the ratio of the rates of \( Ca^{2+} \) escaping into the cytoplasm vs. returning to the extracellular solution from the pore, and thus provides a measure of \( Ca^{2+} \) permeation. The quantities \( k_{2}/k_{-1} \) and \( z_{1} \) were treated as single adjustable parameters for fitting the data.

Online Supplemental Material

The online supplemental material (Figs. S1–S3, available at http://www.jgp.org/cgi/content/full/jgp.200600588/DC1) contains additional information on the kinetics and extent of \( Ca^{2+} \) block of \( Na^{+}-ICRAC \), and on variance changes induced by partial blockade of \( Na^{+}-ICRAC \) by extracellular \( Ca^{2+} \) and Mg2+.

RESULTS

Sizing the Pore of the CRAC Channel with Monovalent Cations

To estimate the narrowest region of the CRAC channel pore, we examined its permeability to ammonium ion derivatives of increasing size. CRAC channels readily conduct monovalent cations in the absence of extracellular divalent cations (Lepple-Wienhues and Cahalan, 1996; Bakowski and Parekh, 2002; Hermosura et al., 2002; Kozak et al., 2002; Prakriya and Lewis, 2002).
In the example shown in Fig. 1 A, a Jurkat T cell was treated with TG (1 μM) in Ca\(^{2+}\)-free solution 5–10 min before seal formation to deplete stores and activate CRAC channels. Subsequent exposure to 20 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) causes I\(_{\text{CRAC}}\) to appear over ~10 s due to Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent potentiation, or CDP (Christian et al., 1996; Zweifach and Lewis, 1996; Su et al., 2004). Perfusion with DVF solution elicits a large, transient Na\(^{+}\) current that then declines by 80–90% over tens of seconds due to the reversal of CDP, or depotentiation (Lepple-Wienhues and Cahalan, 1996; Hermosura et al., 2002; Kozak et al., 2002; Prakriya and Lewis, 2002).

The conductances and permeabilities of various ammonium ions were determined after substitution for Na\(^{+}\) in the DVF solution. NH\(_{4}\)\(^{+}\) is highly permeant; both the NH\(_{4}\)\(^{+}\) current amplitude and reversal potential are similar to those measured with extracellular Na\(^{+}\) (Fig. 1, A and E). In contrast, of the methylated ammonium derivatives, only methylammonium conducted current, which at steady state was ~11% of the steady-state Na\(^{+}\) current (Fig. 1, B and E), while dimethylammonium, trimethylammonium, and tetramethylammonium failed to carry any measurable current. For the permeant cations, shifts in the reversal potential relative to Na\(^{+}\) current were used to measure relative permeability ratios (Fig. 1 E). Hydroxylammonium had the highest relative permeability (P\(_{x}/P_{\text{Na}} = 1.93 \pm 0.14\)), while hydrazine, which is only slightly larger than methylammonium, was only weakly permeant (P\(_{x}/P_{\text{Na}} \sim 0.28\); Fig. 1 C). Table I summarizes the relative conductances, permeabilities, and diameters of all the cations tested.

Voltage-dependent Block of Monovalent Permeation by Ca\(^{2+}\)

To characterize the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent blockade of monovalent currents through CRAC channels, cells with
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This effect appeared to result from rundown of the current that occurred during the data collection in DVF solution and 20 μM Ca2+. In other experiments where cells were quickly changed between the two solutions, no difference in peak currents was seen (Fig. S1 A, available at http://www.jgp.org/cgi/content/full/jgp.200609588/DC1).

The data suggest that the time-dependent decline in Na+-ICRAC in Fig. 2 C reflects the development of steady-state block by Ca2+ entering the CRAC channel pore. The magnitude of block was measured by (1-Iss/I pk), where Is and I pk are the steady-state and extrapolated peak currents during each voltage step. The results, plotted for four cells in Fig. 2 D, show voltage-dependent blockade that increases with hyperpolarization, reaching a peak at ~−100 mV and declining slightly at more negative potentials. The relief of block at the most negative potentials is consistent with the exit of Ca2+ into the cytoplasm due to electrostatic pull on the ion (“punchthrough”). Importantly, the inhibition caused by 20 μM Ca2+ during the −110 mV step (48 ± 1.6%, n = 4; Fig. 2 D) agrees closely with the block measured from peak currents at a constant potential of −110 mV without depotentiation (55 ± 4%, n = 4; Fig. 2 B).

Our attempts to describe the voltage dependence of block of Na+-ICRAC by Ca2+, using the commonly employed Woodhull model (Woodhull, 1973) (see Materials and methods) were unsuccessful; specifically, the model failed to satisfactorily account for the relief of block occurring at negative voltages (Fig. 2 D, dashed line). These shortcomings of the Woodhull model suggest that key assumptions of the model, such as assigning all of the voltage dependence to the movement of Ca2+ to its binding site and assuming equal and opposite voltage dependences for the association and dissociation rates, are not valid for the CRAC channel. However, a model that incorporates a permeant blocker without specifying the location of the Ca2+ binding site within the pore (Guo and Lu, 2000) (see Materials and methods) accounted well for both the blockade of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>Diameter (Å)</th>
<th>P x/P Na</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Chord conductance (pS)</th>
<th>Conductance ratio (γx/γ Na)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium (NH3+)</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>477 ± 85</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylammonium (CH3NH3+)</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.03</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>177 ± 35</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethylammonium ((CH3)2NH+)</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.001</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethylammonium ((CH3)3NH+)</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetramethylammonium ((CH3)4N+)</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.003</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxylammonium (NH3OH+)</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>717 ± 147</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrazine (NH2NH2)</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.02</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>392 ± 64</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P x/P Na was calculated from the GHK equation (Eq. 1) by measuring changes in reversal potential of currents after substitution of the test cation for extracellular Na+. Ion diameters were estimated from space-filling Corey-Pauling-Koltun (CPK) models (Liu and Adams, 2001). Chord conductance was determined from the measurement of the leak-subtracted peak current after substitution of Ca2+, with the test cation and the leak-subtracted reversal potential. Leak-subtracted currents generated by dimethylammonium, trimethylammonium, and tetramethylammonium were indistinguishable from application of a Ca2+-free medium (0 Ca + 1 mM EGTA) and were therefore not included for the chord conductance measurements. Note that the chord conductance for hydroxylammonium and hydrazine is likely underestimated as these currents were measured at acidic pH (to increase ion dissociation, see Materials and methods), which is known to inhibit CRAC current (Malayev and Nelson, 1995).
Na\textsuperscript{+} current by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} as well its relief at negative voltages (Fig. 2 D). The key parameters extracted from the model, the apparent valence \( Z_1 \) and the dissociation constant at 0 applied voltage, \( K_1 \), were 0.71 and 146 \( \mu \)M, respectively.

\( Z_1 \) provides an empirical measure of the voltage dependence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} block and represents not only the movement of the charged blocker (Ca\textsuperscript{2+}) within the field but also the possible displacement of permeant ions (Na\textsuperscript{+}) within the pore (Nimigean and Miller, 2002).

The kinetics of block revealed in Fig. 2 (C and E) can give information about the entry and exit rates of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from the blocking site. With a half-blocking concentration of 20 \( \mu \)M, the observed time constant of block is \( \sim 4 \) ms at \(-110 \) mV (Fig. 2 E). Assuming that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} accesses the site from the extracellular side at a rate \( k_{on} \) and exits in both directions at a combined rate \( k_{off} \), then it follows that

\[
\tau = \frac{1}{(k_{on}[\text{Ca}^{2+}]+k_{off})}. \tag{5}
\]

The fraction of channels that are blocked is given by:

\[
\text{fractional block} = \frac{k_{on}[\text{Ca}^{2+}]}{k_{on}[\text{Ca}^{2+}]+k_{off}}. \tag{6}
\]

Substituting the measured values of 4 ms for \( \tau \) and 0.5 for fractional block (20 \( \mu \)M Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, \(-110 \) mV) in Eqs. 5 and 6, we get \( k_{off} = 125 \) s\(^{-1} \) and \( k_{on} = 6.3 \times 10^6 \) M\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1} \).

**Noise Analysis of Na\textsuperscript{+}-CRAC Current During Depotentiation**

A key property of the CRAC channel pore is its extremely small unitary conductance, which even under
divalent-free conditions is too small to produce detectable single-channel currents. In previous studies, the unitary current amplitude was estimated from the changes in current noise that accompany changes in the whole-cell CRAC current (Zweifach and Lewis, 1993; Lepple-Wienhues and Cahalan, 1996; Prakriya and Lewis, 2002). Typically, nonstationary noise analysis is done by activating a constant number of independent channels (N) repeatedly by the same stimulus, and for each time point in the response calculating the mean of the ensemble of macroscopic currents (I) and the variance around the mean (σ²). Assuming stochastic transitions between a closed level and a single open level (current amplitude i), the following relations apply (Sigworth, 1980):

\[ I = iNP_0 \]  
\[ \sigma^2 = Ni^2P_o(1 - P_o). \]

N and i are generally assumed to be constant, so that the macroscopic current changes due to changes in the channels’ open probability, P₀ (Eq. 7). Variance and P₀ are related by a parabolic relationship, with variance reaching a maximum when P₀ is 0.5 (Eq. 8). Substitution for P₀ in Eq. 8 yields

\[ \sigma^2 = II - I^2/N, \]

from which the unitary current i can be derived simply by fitting a parabola to a plot of σ² vs. I. Finally, combining Eqs. 7 and 9 yields

\[ \frac{\sigma^2}{I} = i(1 - P_o), \]

which shows that the slope of the σ²/I plot approaches i when P₀ approaches 0.

Nonstationary noise analysis was originally applied to Na⁺ channels that could be activated on a milliseconds time scale by repetitive voltage-clamp depolarizations (Sigworth, 1980). Unfortunately, CRAC channel activity is controlled on a time scale of tens of seconds, precluding the collection of a large ensemble of current records from repetitive stimuli. An example is shown in Fig. 3 A, in which the Na⁺ CRAC current declines over 60 s due to depotentiation after removal of extracellular Ca²⁺. To extract microscopic channel properties in this case, the current is typically divided into a sequence of short segments during which the mean current is relatively constant (<2% change in 200 ms; Fig. 3 A, right). The current variance calculated from each sweep declines during depotentiation (Fig. 3 A, bottom), producing a linear relation between variance and mean current with a slope \((\sigma^2/I)\) of −24 fA (Fig. 3 B; average −23 ± 2 fA, n = 10 cells). This general approach has been applied to a number of different conditions, including CRAC channel activation and deactivation, depotentiation, and enhancement and inhibition by 2-APB (Zweifach and Lewis, 1993; Lepple-Wienhues and Cahalan, 1996; Prakriya and Lewis, 2002; Spassova et al., 2006). In each case, current variance varied linearly with mean current and not by the parabolic relationship predicted by Eq. 9.

There are two possible interpretations of the linear σ²/I relation (Fig. 3 C). Assuming that macroscopic current changes arise from changes in P₀, linearity implies that the P₀ of CRAC channels is always <<1 and therefore the slope (σ²/I) can be used to estimate the unitary current, i (Eq. 10). Alternatively, a change in channel number, N, at any constant value of P₀ would also produce a linear variance/mean relation (Eq. 8 and Fig. 3 C). If this were the case, a range of variance/mean current slopes would be possible depending on the P₀. As illustrated analytically in Eq. 10 and graphically in Fig. 3 C, this would lead to an underestimate of i by a factor of 1/P₀. To properly interpret the linear σ²/I plot and distinguish between the two possibilities outlined above, it is critical to determine P₀. In previous studies, P₀ was not known, and for simplicity was assumed to be low, while recognizing that this may underestimate the true value of i.

Active CRAC Channels Have a High Open Probability

The use of Ca²⁺, as a fast CRAC channel blocker provided us with a tool to estimate P₀ (Fig. 4). If P₀ is low, increasing amounts of block should cause a linear decline in variance along with the current. By contrast, if P₀ > 0.5, increased block should trace out a parabolic σ²/I plot. In support of the second prediction, we found that as [Ca²⁺]₀ was increased up to 20 μM, the variance increased even as the current declined (Fig. 4 B; Fig. S2). On average, although the peak Na⁺ current amplitude was reduced by 52 ± 5% by 20 μM Ca²⁺, the current variance increased by 18 ± 8% (n = 5 cells), while further increases in [Ca²⁺]₀ caused a parallel decline in current and variance (Fig. 4 B; Fig. S2). Current variance increased in response to moderate levels of blockade for both the peak currents recorded before depotentiation (as in Fig. 4 B) and the steady-state Na⁺ current recorded after full depotentiation (Fig. S3 A). This behavior was not specific to Ca²⁺; partial blockade by 100 μM Mg²⁺ also increased the variance, whereas 400 μM Mg²⁺ reduced it (Fig. S3, C and D).

An increase in current variance with declining current indicates that the initial P₀ of unblocked CRAC channels must be >0.5. In fact, the σ²/I plot for the cell shown in Fig. 4 could be reasonably well fit with a parabola, giving a maximal P₀ of 0.7, N = 3515, and i = −0.09 pA (Fig. 4 B). These results demonstrate that previous assumptions of a very low P₀ were incorrect.
and that the linear decrease in the $\sigma^2/I$ plot therefore cannot be explained by a simple decline in $P_o$.

Depotentiation Reflects a Decline in the Number of Active Channels at a Constant Open Probability

The linear decline of variance with mean current observed during depotentiation could indicate a decline in the number of active channels, $N$, at a constant $P_o$. As diagrammed in Fig. 4 C, this hypothesis predicts that small amounts of Ca$^{2+}$ should increase the slope of the $\sigma^2/I$ relation during depotentiation in proportion to the extent of Ca$^{2+}$-dependent block. The results shown in Fig. 4 D support this prediction; on average, the $\sigma^2/I$ slope increased from $-23 \pm 2$ fA ($n = 15$ cells) in DVF to $-107 \pm 5$ fA at $80 \mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ ($n = 5$ cells). Power spectral analysis of the currents in DVF and in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, as well as of the background current in 2 mM Ca$^{2+} + 5 \mu$M La$^{3+}$ indicated that the Na$^+$ current power spectrum converges with the background spectrum at frequencies $>1$ kHz (unpublished data), confirming that no significant high frequency noise component was being missed under our experimental conditions. We conclude from these results that at every partially blocking concentration of Ca$^{2+}$, depotentiation of the Na$^+$ current occurs similarly through a gradual decline in channel number $N$ at a constant value of $P_o$.

2-APB Enhances $I_{\text{CRAC}}$ by Increasing the Number of Active Channels at Constant $P_o$

At low concentrations (2–5 $\mu$M), 2-APB strongly potentiates CRAC channels, increasing $I_{\text{CRAC}}$ amplitude by up to fivefold (Prakriya and Lewis, 2001). Under these conditions, current variance increases linearly with the mean current, raising the possibility that 2-APB exerts its effect by increasing $N$ rather than $P_o$. We tested this possibility by examining 2-APB effects in the presence of a partially blocking concentration of Ca$^{2+}$. As shown in Fig. 5 A, 2-APB (5 $\mu$M) strongly enhanced steady-state Na$^+$-$I_{\text{CRAC}}$, increasing it from $-29 \pm 2$ pA to $-66 \pm 3$ pA ($n = 5$ cells). The current variance in DVF solution increased in proportion to the Na$^+$ current, with an average $\sigma^2/I$ slope of $-22 \pm 3$ fA ($n = 4$ cells) (Fig. 6), similar to the slope of the depotentiating Na$^+$ current ($-23 \pm 2$ fA). Application of 40 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ caused the Na$^+$ current variance to increase (Fig. 5 A), again indicating that the initial CRAC channel $P_o$ was $>0.5$. Importantly, 40 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ also increased the $\sigma^2/I$ slope during 2-APB–induced enhancement of Na$^+$-$I_{\text{CRAC}}$ to $-70 \pm 10$ fA ($n = 4$ cells) (Fig. 5 B). This result suggests
The Unitary Conductance of CRAC Channels with Na$^+$ as Current Carrier

As shown in Fig. 4 D, the $\sigma^2/I$ slope during depotentiation increased with the level of block by Ca$^{2+}$. In the limit of complete block, $P_o = 0$ and the unitary current $i$ is given by $\sigma^2/I$ (Eq. 10). Fig. 6 plots the $\sigma^2/I$ slopes of the Na$^+$ current during depotentiation against fractional block by 0–100 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ measured in individual cells. The data are well fit by a straight line, confirming the linear relation between the slope and extent of block. The linear regression indicates that the single-channel current at $P_o = 0$ is $-110$ fA. With a reversal potential of $+50$ mV for the Na$^+$ current (Fig. 1 E), this value corresponds to a single-channel chord conductance of 0.7 pS. The single-channel current can be used to infer the open probability of the channels in the absence of the blocker. With $i = -110$ fA, and an average $\sigma^2/I$ slope of $-21.1$ fA (from the linear regression) for the Na$^+$ current in the absence of block, the average $P_o$ of Na$^+$-conducting CRAC channels was computed from Eq. 10 to be $0.79 \pm 0.02$ ($n = 10$).

DISCUSSION

This study describes several key characteristics of the CRAC channel and its gating that significantly revise prior estimates of pore size, unitary conductance, and open probability. A surprising finding is that the open probability of active CRAC channels is high and appears to stay constant even when $I_{\text{CRAC}}$ is changing in response to different modulators. These results lead us to conclude that slow regulation of $I_{\text{CRAC}}$ under a variety of conditions reflect abrupt transitions between a silent and a highly active state rather than graded changes in $P_o$. Together, these results further distinguish the CRAC channel from other types of Ca$^{2+}$ channels and raise the possibility of a common rate-limiting step in its regulation by store depletion and several modulators.

Size of the CRAC Channel Pore

Previous studies of monovalent cation permeation through the CRAC channel have not yielded a consistent size for the pore. Based on the ability of TMA to carry significant current in the presence of low intracellular Mg$^{2+}$, Kerschbaum and Cahalan (1998) concluded that the pore of the CRAC channel in Jurkat cells is physically large enough to accommodate ions up to $\sim$6 Å in diameter, similar to the pore of Ca$\text{v}_{\text{1.3}}$ channels.

that 2-APB also modulates $I_{\text{CRAC}}$ by altering the number of activatable channels at a constant high $P_o$ in this case by increasing $N$.

Figure 4. Depotentiation of CRAC channels reflects a decrease in $N$ at a constant high $P_o$. (A) A TG-pretreated cell was held at a constant potential of $-110$ mV, and various $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ indicated by the bars were applied to block the Na$^+$ current. Each point in the plot shows the mean current during 200-ms sweeps. 20 mM Ca$^{2+}$ was applied between the bars. (B) The $P_o$ of CRAC channels is $>0.5$. The variance is plotted against mean current for traces collected 1 s after each solution exchange indicated by the bars in A. The point at 0 mean current shows the background variance of the leak current recorded with 2 mM Ca$^{2+}$ and 5 $\mu$M La$^{3+}$ in the bath. The solid line is a fit of Eq. 8 with $i = 89$ fA and $N = 3515$ channels. Given these values, the current in the absence of Ca$^{2+}_o$ ($\text{DVF}$) specifies a maximal $P_o$ of 0.7 (Eq. 7). (C) If depotentiation reflects a change in $N$ at a constant $P_o$, increasing block by Ca$^{2+}$ will increase the slope of the $\sigma^2/I$ relationship. At the highest levels of block, the slope will approach the limiting slope of the parabola, providing an estimate of the unitary current. (D) Depotentiation occurs due to a change in $N$. Variance–mean current plots of the depotentiating Na$^+$ current from the experiment in A. The plots for each $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ were well fit by straight lines with slopes that progressively increased with block by Ca$^{2+}_o$. The slopes are $-21$ fA ($\text{DVF}$), $-44$ fA (10 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$), $-70$ fA (20 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$), and $-88$ fA (60 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$). Mean currents in D are not leak subtracted.
However, subsequent studies showed that these measurements were most likely contaminated by currents through Mg$^{2+}$-inhibited cation (MIC) channels that were disinhibited by the removal of intracellular Mg$^{2+}$ (Hermosura et al., 2002; Kozak et al., 2002; Prakriya and Lewis, 2002). Bakowski and Parekh (2002) examined monovalent permeation in RBL cells and found that the CRAC channel was large enough to conduct Cs$^+$ but too small to conduct TMA, placing the CRAC channel pore size between 3.2 and 5.5 Å. They suggested that their smaller estimate of the pore size in RBL cells compared with Jurkat cells might reflect contamination of the Jurkat recordings by MIC currents, but did not rule out the possibility that CRAC channels in RBL and Jurkat T cells may also differ at the molecular level.

To size the CRAC channel pore, we measured the permeability of a sequence of cationic ammonium derivatives under conditions of high intracellular [Mg$^{2+}$] that inhibit MIC channels. Ions larger than methylammonium such as TMA, trimethylammonium, and even dimethylammonium do not conduct at detectable levels, placing the pore size around 3.9 Å. Thus, the narrowest part of the CRAC channel is considerably smaller than either CaV or MIC channels. This finding argues against recent suggestions that CRAC currents in human T cells arise from L-type CaV channels operating in a voltage-independent mode (Stokes et al., 2004; Kotturi and Jefferies, 2005), as discussed below.

Ca$^{2+}$ Block of Monovalent Current

We found that the Na$^+$ current through CRAC channels is blocked by external Ca$^{2+}$ in a voltage-dependent manner with a $K_e$ of $\sim$20 μM at $-110$ mV. This apparent affinity is somewhat lower than described in previous studies. In RBL cells, $K_e$ of 10 and 14 μM at $-80$ mV were reported (Bakowski and Parekh, 2002; Su et al., 2004) and in Jurkat cells the $K_e$ was 4 μM at $-80$ mV.
The reasons for these discrepancies are not entirely clear, but in the Jurkat study (Lepple-Wienhues and Cahalan, 1996), only the “inactivating” component of current was measured, i.e., the difference between the peak and the fully depotentiated Na⁺ currents. Because Ca²⁺ reduces the extent of depotentiation (Su et al., 2004), the Ca²⁺ sensitivity of block was likely overestimated.

To avoid complications from depotentiation, we measured block by Ca²⁺ under two conditions. At a single voltage (−110 mV) we measured the peak Na⁺ current within 1 s of switching to DVF solution, before channels had a chance to depotentiate. We also measured the time-dependent decline of current during 200-ms voltage steps applied after depotentiation had reached completion; because depotentiation occurs over tens of seconds, it is not expected to change during each brief pulse. Importantly, both methods yielded a consistent value for the affinity of Ca²⁺ as a blocker.

Our results show that the steady-state block by Ca²⁺ is steeply voltage dependent in the range of 0 to −100 mV, with a slight relief of block occurring with further hyperpolarization (Fig. 2 D) that is likely to arise from the exit of bound Ca²⁺ into the cytosol. A previous study examined the voltage dependence of Ca²⁺ block of Na⁺-CRAC currents in RBL cells by analyzing brief (50-ms) ramp currents from −130 to +100 mV (Bakowski and Parekh, 2002). However, the time dependence of Ca²⁺ block during hyperpolarizations (Fig. 2 C) prevents a quantitative interpretation of ramp currents. To circumvent time-dependent effects, we measured the decline of currents from peak to steady state during voltage steps as shown in Fig. 2 (C and D). Bakowski and Parekh (2002) also reported time- and voltage-dependent decline of Na⁺-CRAC currents during hyperpolarization in the presence of 20 μM Ca²⁺ but did not interpret the results in terms of Ca²⁺ block. Here we conclude that the time-dependent decay arises from the entry of Ca²⁺ into the CRAC channel pore to block permeation by Na⁺ based on four lines of evidence. First, the degree of Na⁺ current decay caused by 20 μM Ca²⁺ during steps to −110 mV (~0.48; Fig. 2 D) closely corresponds to the degree of block measured at a constant holding potential of −110 mV (~0.5; Fig. 2 B). Second, the amplitude and kinetics of decay are voltage dependent (Fig. 2, D and E), consistent with a blocking site within the membrane field. Third, increasing concentrations of Ca²⁺ progressively reduce the time constant of current decay (Fig. S1 B), as expected if the Ca²⁺ binding rate contributes to the kinetics of reaching steady-state block (Eq. 5). Finally, a similar time-dependent decay is also elicited by Mg²⁺ at concentrations that are expected to block Na⁺ current by occluding the pore (unpublished data). Collectively, the most likely explanation is that the time-dependent decay of current reflects the time for Ca²⁺ to enter the pore and bind to a site that blocks permeation by Na⁺.

Pore Properties of the CRAC Channel Argue Against a Role for CaV₁ Subunits
Several recent studies have suggested that L-type CaV₁ channels operating in a voltage-independent gating mode may underlie store-operated Ca²⁺ influx in T cells (Stokes et al., 2004; Kotturi and Jeffries, 2005). Though CaV₁ and CRAC channels both achieve an extremely high selectivity for Ca²⁺ by binding Ca²⁺ within the pore, our results reveal several significant differences in the properties of the two pores. First, the estimated CRAC pore size of 3.9 Å is much smaller than that of CaV channels (~6 Å for CaV₁; McCleskey and Almers, 1985). The smaller pore size may help to explain the increased selectivity of CRAC channels for Na⁺ over Cs⁺ (PNa/PCs = 0.12 for CRAC, and 0.6 for L-type CaV channels; Hess et al., 1986). In CaV channels, hyperpolarization greatly increases the rate of Ca²⁺ exit from the blocking site into the cytoplasm (Lansman et al., 1986), reducing the efficacy of block at voltages negative to −30 mV. Although a qualitatively similar phenomenon occurs with CRAC channels (Fig. 2 D), it is much less pronounced; block increases with hyperpolarization and only begins to decline below −100 mV. The smaller relief of block at hyperpolarized potentials suggests that blocking Ca²⁺ ions in CRAC channels may encounter a larger energy barrier along the permeation pathway that retards their escape into the cytoplasm, a factor that together with the smaller pore size may help explain the much smaller unitary conductance of CRAC channels.

Interestingly, the association rate of Ca²⁺ for its blocking site in the CRAC channel, 6.3 × 10⁶ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, is two orders of magnitude lower than the corresponding rate determined for L-type CaV channels from single-channel recordings (~4.5 × 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹; Lansman et al., 1986). The latter rate is close to the diffusion-limited on rate. The much lower on rate of the CRAC channel suggests that access to the CRAC selectivity filter may be limited by a nonselective kinetic barrier to ion permeation between the filter and the extracellular end of the pore. The slow rate of entry of Ca²⁺ into the channel corresponds well with observations that the unitary conductance of CRAC channels is also two orders of magnitude lower than that of L-type channels (Hess et al., 1986). Together with the pore diameter, these findings highlight important differences between the pores of CRAC and CaV channels that are difficult to reconcile with the notion that CaV subunits line the pore of the CRAC channel.

Ca²⁺-dependent Noise Reveals a High-Po State and a Larger Unitary Conductance
Fluctuation analysis of CRAC currents is difficult because the slow time course of the current precludes collection of a large ensemble of responses. For this reason,
variance and mean current are typically measured during a single response by analysis of short time intervals over which the current is quasi-stationary. In previous noise analysis studies, it was assumed that the number of CRAC channels \(N\) was constant and that changes in \(P_o\) underlay changes in current amplitude (Zweifach and Lewis, 1993; Lepple-Wienhues and Cahalan, 1996; Prakriya and Lewis, 2002). To explain the linear relation between variance and mean current that was observed, \(P_o\) was assumed to be low (\(<0.5\)). In a previous study we attempted to test whether CRAC channels had a low \(P_o\) by partially blocking the current with 1 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\) (Prakriya and Lewis, 2002). Under these conditions, although current declined by \(>50\%\), the \(\sigma^2/I\) ratio did not increase, which led us to conclude that \(P_o << 0.5\). In examining the discrepancy between those results and our current findings, we discovered that in the previous study, the pH had not been properly adjusted after addition of Ca\(^{2+}\) to DVF solution. As a result, the cells were exposed to acidic conditions, which are known to inhibit CRAC channel activity (Malayev and Nelson, 1995) and in principle could reduce the \(\sigma^2/I\) ratio by alternate mechanisms, for example by removing the unitary current \(i\) through protonation of the channel.

In this study, reversible blockade of monovalent CRAC current by Ca\(^{2+}\) has allowed us to make the first measurements of \(P_o\) for the CRAC channel. Contrary to earlier assumptions, we find that \(P_o\) is quite high (\(~0.8\)). Because variance varies with the square of \(P_o\) (Eq. 8), any significant change in \(P_o\) during changes in \(I_{CRAC}\) would introduce curvature into the \(\sigma^2/I\) relation. Therefore, the linear decline of variance with mean current indicates that \(P_o\) is constant as the current undergoes depotentiation, and that the current falls instead as a consequence of a decline in \(N\). Two critical predictions of such a mechanism are that the \(\sigma^2/I\) relation during depotentiation should be linear at all levels of Ca\(^{2+}\) block with a slope that varies linearly with the degree of block by Ca\(^{2+}\) (Eq. 10). Both of these predictions were satisfied (Fig. 6). We conclude that depotentiation occurs by a change in \(N\) without a change in \(P_o\).

The new information about \(P_o\) and \(N\) leads to a more accurate estimate of the CRAC channel unitary conductance, which has fluctuated significantly in recent years. Direct single-channel measurements from T cells under Mg\(^{2+}\)-free intracellular conditions led to a proposal of 40 pS for the monovalent CRAC current (Kerschbaum and Cahalan, 1999; Fomina et al., 2000), but these events were later shown to arise from contaminating MIC channels that were activated by the depletion of intracellular Mg\(^{2+}\) (Hermosura et al., 2002; Kozak et al., 2002; Prakriya and Lewis, 2002). A subsequent noise analysis study of isolated monovalent CRAC current during depotentiation yielded a unitary conductance of 0.2 pS given assumptions that \(N\) was constant and \(P_o\) was <<1 (Prakriya and Lewis, 2002). We now know these assumptions were incorrect, and \(P_o\) is \(~0.8\). The improved method described in this study, using progressive block by Ca\(^{2+}\) to extrapolate the \(\sigma^2/I\) ratio toward the limit as \(P_o\) approaches 0, indicates a single-channel conductance of 0.7 pS, three to fourfold larger than previously thought. The unitary current of \(-110\) fA at \(-110\) mV corresponds to a flux rate of \(6.6 \times 10^4\) Na\(^+\)/s, much faster than most ion carriers but compatible with a channel-type transport mechanism. This conductance may be sufficiently large to allow direct measurements of single-channel currents in patch recordings, potentially allowing studies of channel regulation and gating by more direct approaches. We estimate that there are at least 2,200 active CRAC channels per Jurkat cell, based on a peak Na\(^+\) current of \(-190 \pm 25\) pA (\(n = 11\)), a unitary current of \(-110\) fA, and a \(P_o\) of 0.8. Given that 2-APB increases the current amplitude two to fivefold by increasing \(N\), the number of functional CRAC channels in Jurkat cells is most likely in the range of 5,000–10,000.

Is the Ca\(^{2+}\) current through single CRAC channels also three to fourfold larger than earlier noise-based estimates? Because the Ca\(^{2+}\) current is inactivated by Ca\(^{2+}\) (Hoth and Penner, 1993; Zweifach and Lewis, 1995), it is likely that \(P_o\) is reduced when Ca\(^{2+}\) rather than Na\(^+\) is the current carrier. Given that the \(\sigma^2/I\) slope underestimates the unitary current by a factor of \(~1 - P_o\) (Eq. 10), the error may therefore not be as severe as it is for the Na\(^+\) current. A rough estimate of the effect of inactivation on \(P_o\) can be made from the effect of the holding potential on the Na\(^+/Ca^{2+}\) current ratio after a switch from 20 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) to DVF solution. At \(+30\) mV, inactivation is minimal and the ratio of the Na\(^+\)/Ca\(^{2+}\) currents is \(~8\) (Prakriya and Lewis, 2002). The current ratio increases to \(22 \pm 3\) (\(n = 6\)) at a holding potential of \(-110\) mV, at which Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent inactivation is manifest (Zweifach and Lewis, 1995). These results suggest that \(P_o\) declines by \(~2/3\) when Na\(^+\) is replaced by Ca\(^{2+}\) as the current carrier at \(-110\) mV, in agreement with the steady-state inactivation of 0.6 measured during pulses to this voltage in 22 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) (Zweifach and Lewis, 1995). Thus, previous estimates of the unitary Ca\(^{2+}\) conductance of the CRAC channel may be underestimated by a factor of only \(1/(1 - 0.8/3)\), or 1.4.

**Implications for the Gating Mechanism of the CRAC Channel**

What does a change in \(N\) mean in biological terms? \(N\) and \(P_o\) are operationally defined as the number of channels contributing current during each sweep and their average open probability during the sweep. In general our data are consistent with abrupt transitions of channels between an inactive state and a highly active state with \(P_o \sim 0.8\), both of which are much longer than the sampling period (200 ms).
It is interesting that all of the regulators of CRAC channel activity that have been studied by nonstationary fluctuation analysis produce a linear relation between variance and mean current. This phenomenon was first described for I_{CRAC} activation by store depletion and inactivation by high levels of Ca^{2+} influx (Zweifach and Lewis, 1993). It is also seen during depotentiation in response to removal of extracellular Ca^{2+} (Prakriya and Lewis, 2002) and during enhancement by low doses of 2-APB (Prakriya and Lewis, 2002) and inactivation by high doses of 2-APB (Spassova et al., 2006). These similarities suggest that all of these processes may involve a common activation step in which channels transit abruptly between long-lived silent and highly active states. The rate at which channels transition between the two states would then determine the overall slow kinetics of I_{CRAC} activation and modulation that are observed.

What could this common slow step be? In terms of current models of CRAC channel activation, it could represent the reversible insertion of active channels into the plasma membrane, the binding of the channel to proteins in the closely apposed ER membrane, or the action of a diffusible messenger with a slow reversal rate. Regardless of the precise mechanism, we propose that activation by store depletion, 2-APB enhancement, and Ca^{2+}-dependent potentiation all operate by recruiting silent channels to a high-P_e state. Of course, our results do not rule out other mechanisms of CRAC channel modulation, and the methods we have described may be useful for distinguishing which modulators affect the slow gating step as opposed to more frequent transitions that would be detectable as changes in P_e during brief sweeps. Recently identified proteins critical for CRAC channel activation such as STIM1 (Liou et al., 2005; Roos et al., 2005) and Orai1/CRACM1 (Feske et al., 2006; Vig et al., 2006) may provide useful tools for identifying the physical basis for the silent and highly active states described here.
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