Despite advances in elucidating the structural basis of ion permeation and pore block, the structural and mechanistic basis for regulating ion channel gating has remained a more elusive problem. For the voltage-dependent K⁺ channel superfamily, two major categories of signal, voltage and ligand, are known to regulate gating. Progress on the structural elements involved in gating regulation have been aided immensely by the fact that the key gating regulators, voltage sensors and ligand-binding domains, are largely modular components that are “simply” appended to the basic minimal two transmembrane (2TM) K⁺ channel pore motif. Nevertheless, one impediment to the structural understanding of channel gating mechanisms is that the bacterial channels most amenable to full structural determination have not proven as favorable for the required functional studies of the allosteric regulation of channel gating. An article from the laboratory of Youxing Jiang in the current issue of the Journal (see Li et al. on p. 109) suggests that, at least for the Ca²⁺-activated MthK channel, this situation is about to change.

The structure of MthK, a K⁺ channel from *Methanothermobacterium thermoautotrophicum*, was solved by Jiang and coworkers while in Rod MacKinnon’s laboratory (Jiang et al., 2002a). The structure contains a C-terminal cytosolic ligand-binding domain homologous to eukaryotic NAD binding domains, but named RCK for their role in regulation of conductance for K⁺ (Jiang et al., 2001). This generated considerable excitement, as it was also suggested that eukaryotic channels of the Slo (or BK) channel family may contain a somewhat homologous cytosolic domain (Jiang et al., 2001, 2002a; Pico, 2003). This is important because, although biophysical studies of BK channels have considerably advanced our understanding of allosteric regulatory mechanisms (Horrigan and Aldrich, 2002), this occurred in the absence of real structural information. In contrast, MthK has provided a number of structural insights while being limited in regards to functional studies. Now with a set of three papers published over the past year, the Jiang laboratory has substantially extended our understanding not only of ligand-dependent changes in structure of RCK-containing modules (Dong et al., 2005; Ye et al., 2006), but now establishes, along with work from another lab (Zadek and Nimigean, 2006), that the MthK channel may be suitable for a robust analysis of allosteric regulation of gating (Li et al., 2007). For the RCK-regulated channels, this should allow the necessary correlation of functional studies of channel behavior with companion structural and biochemical studies.

**RCK Domains: Regulator Domains of K⁺ Channels and Transporters**

Why are RCK domains interesting? The underlying structural motif of the RCK domain is essentially identical to that of the classical nicotinamide dinucleotide binding motif observed in a large number of different prokaryotic and eukaryotic proteins, all containing an alternating βαβαβ Rossmann-fold structural arrangement (Bellamacina, 1996). Within bacteria, RCK-containing proteins involved in ion transport are predominantly of two types. In a number of adenine nucleotide-regulated transporter proteins, an RCK-containing domain is expressed as a separate cytosolic protein that regulates the transporter protein function. For proteins involved in regulation of coupled K⁺ transport, such cytosolic domains have also been termed KTN domains (K⁺ transport and NAD binding) (Bateman et al., 2000). However, RCK and KTN domains are essentially similar structures. An important example of an RCK-regulated K⁺ cotransport protein is the KtRAB cotransporter (Nakamura et al., 1998), in which the soluble KtRA protein is a separately expressible protein regulating transport function. Although KtRAB functions as a cotransporter, the KtRB transporter module exhibits a tetrameric linking of the classic 2TM K⁺ channel pore modules, and mutation of a glycine in a degenerate selectivity filter position results in inhibition of transport (Tholema et al., 2005). Thus, RCK-regulated transport proteins share features with their channel kin. In addition to their role in cotransport systems, RCK domains also contribute regulatory modules to a large fraction of all bacterial K⁺ channels (Kuo et al., 2005). For K⁺ channels, RCK domains have apparently been exploited to allow regulation by cytosolic ligands other than nucleotides and it is in this area that their relevance to channels may be most important.
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Initial insights into the structural motifs of RCK domains in K⁺ channels occurred only about six years ago. The MacKinnon group focused on the large number of bacterial K⁺ channels that contained cytosolic domains with homology to the nucleotide binding domains (Jiang et al., 2001; Pico, 2003). The first success was obtained with the RCK domain from an Escherichia coli 6TM channel revealing that RCK domains assembled in homodimers with a characteristic clahshell-like coupling with the hinge between the shells resting on interleaved αF and αG helices (Jiang et al., 2001). This was complemented by work from another group on a truncated form of the KtrA cytosolic protein of the KtrAB transporter (Roissid et al., 2002), which revealed a very similar βα pattern with two KtrA subunits coupled in a dimeric hinge very similar to the homodimeric RCK domains. In the KtrA study, it was proposed that nucleotide binding resulted in a switch from a dimer to a tetramer, with the conformational change underlying transporter regulation. Subsequently, Jiang et al. (2002a) presented the open channel structure of the Ca²⁺-liganded MthK channel, also showing electrophysiologically that channel openings are activated by millimolar Ca²⁺. This showed directly that RCK domains can mediate regulation by ligands other than nucleotides and, specifically, by cytosolic cations. This work presented the landmark conclusion that the architecture of the RCK domain assembly was octameric, with the four domains (inner RCK domains) closest to the channel being directly linked to the inner helices of the 2TM pore modules, while another four domains (outer RCK domains) were acquired from the cytosol. This arises because of a secondary translation initiation site on the MthK gene downstream from the pore domain sequence that results in synthesis of RCK domains lacking the pore module. Addressing this issue, in one of the recent papers (Dong et al., 2005) Jiang and co-workers point out that, in addition to MthK, a number of other RCK-containing K⁺ channels contain secondary initiation sites. Their results also show that the physiological ionic conditions that promote octamerization also strongly favor channel activation.

Another important contribution of the earlier MthK work was a proposal regarding the conformational changes that may occur in the RCK octamer during MthK gating (Jiang et al., 2002a,b). To generate a model of a closed channel RCK octamer, the RCK homodimer from the E. coli 6TM K⁺ channel (Jiang et al., 2001) was used and then compared with the open MthK octameric structure. The basic MthK octamer exhibits an alternating assembly of inner and outer RCK domains arranged in a so-called gating ring with essentially two types of interfaces between RCK domains. Each RCK domain type (inner or outer) will interface with a pair of RCK domains of the other type. Based on differences in the closed channel model and the open MthK structure, the interfaces were observed to be of two types. One type was termed a flexible interface reflecting the homodimeric pair containing symmetric Ca²⁺ binding sites. The second type was initially termed a fixed interface (although now termed assembly interface, see below). Although this model has been provocative, clarification of the conformational changes occurring in the MthK octamer during gating has required structural information about MthK in closed conformations. This has been the direction taken by the Jiang laboratory, which has used a combination of x-ray structural studies, biochemical studies, and electrophysiological studies of channel activity in order to understand RCK domain-regulated channel gating.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Open octamer</th>
<th>R32 dimer</th>
<th>P2₁ dimer</th>
<th>P2₁ dimer</th>
<th>Closed octamer</th>
<th>Partially open octamer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construct</td>
<td>Intact pore</td>
<td>No pore domain</td>
<td>No pore domain</td>
<td>No pore domain</td>
<td>D184N no pore</td>
<td>D184N no pore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>3.5 Å</td>
<td>2.8 Å</td>
<td>1.7 Å</td>
<td>2.1 Å</td>
<td>2.8 Å</td>
<td>2.8 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>200 mM</td>
<td>0 Ca²⁺</td>
<td>20 mM</td>
<td>0 Ca²⁺</td>
<td>0 Ca²⁺</td>
<td>0 Ca²⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimer hinge inner angle</td>
<td>88°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>102°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁₁,R₁₁₀</td>
<td>74 Å</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66 Å</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presumed conformation</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Partially open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDB ID and reference</td>
<td>1LQQ</td>
<td>2AEM</td>
<td>2AEF</td>
<td>2AEF</td>
<td>2FY8</td>
<td>2FY8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Jiang et al., 2002) (Dong et al., 2005) (Dong et al., 2005) (Ye et al., 2006) (Ye et al., 2006)
In contrast, the R32 crystal revealed a more closed clamshell, consistent with a closed channel conformation. These structures provide a higher resolution view of key details of the MthK homodimer, revealing more explicitly the structural details of the Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites and the points of interaction at the flexible interface. The differences in the clamshell inside angle between different structures confirm that the homodimer interface is flexible. The structural results were also complemented with biochemical studies that established conditions under which a dimer to octamer transition could be demonstrated using size exclusion chromatography or circular dichroism. At pH 4.5, RCK domains assembled into homodimers, while at pH 8.0, RCK domains form octamers, suggesting that assembly into octamers may occur in the physiological pH range. In addition, Ca\(^{2+}\) in the range of 0.1 to 20 mM Ca\(^{2+}\), but not Mg\(^{2+}\) or Ba\(^{2+}\), also promotes a similar assembly into octamers. As shown in this issue (Li et al., 2007), this range of pH and [Ca\(^{2+}\)] produces marked effects on MthK channel activation.

The next advance was a crystal structure of a Ca\(^{2+}\)-free octameric MthK gating ring (Ye et al., 2006). Intriguingly, two distinct conformations were detected in the crystals, one termed a closed gating ring and the second, a partially open gating ring. In the latter, a single dimer adopts an unusual structure in which the clamshell is even more wide open than in the open channel structure. These structures were obtained in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\), but at pH 8.0, and also required a mutation of one of the Ca\(^{2+}\) binding site residues, D184N (Table I). The structures reveal several important new aspects of gating ring assembly. First, the results show that conformational changes do occur at the interdimer fixed interfaces. As a consequence, Ye et al. (2006) rename the fixed interfaces as “assembly” interfaces, consistent with the idea that they are involved in the assembly of homodimers into octamers. Second, in the closed structure, a new interface is observed between adjacent pairs of RCK domains around either the inner set of RCK domains or outer set. These interactions involve largely salt bridges and hydrogen bonds and must apparently be disrupted during opening of the gating ring. Finally, the overall consequence of the conformational changes between the closed and open conformation is that the distance between diagonal arginines that define the beginning of each inner RCK domain increases from 66 to 74 Å. This expansion fits with the gating ring model of MthK activation (Jiang et al., 2002a) in which conformational changes in the gating ring tug on linkers between the inner RCK domains and the pore-lining inner helices, leading to channel opening.

How certain can we be regarding the functional equivalent of the conformations revealed by the new crystal structures? Comparison of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-free octamer and the previously published Ca\(^{2+}\)-liganded octamer support the idea that conformational changes at the flexible dimer and elsewhere lead to a gating ring expansion. However, the presence or absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) is not the only determinant of MthK’s conformational status; pH appears to have a marked effect, not only on octamer formation, but also on channel activity. The new Ca\(^{2+}\)-free structure was obtained at pH 8.0, a condition that results in fairly robust MthK gating; and one wonders whether there may be a protonated, Ca\(^{2+}\)-free octameric structure that differs from those so far obtained. Also what is the significance of the wide-open dimer, which is proposed to represent some gating intermediate between closed and open conformations? Perhaps the dimer can open to this extent because it is not tethered to the pore, as would be the case in a native channel. Despite these questions, the new structures only fuel excitement regarding the possibilities that the MthK channel offers for teasing apart structural correlates of gating intermediates.

Li et al. (2007) now examine a number of functional properties of single MthK channels, including rectification and gating. My focus here is on the gating behavior, because that reflects on the RCK domains. Previous work suggested that Ca\(^{2+}\) only weakly activated the MthK channel (Jiang et al., 2002a). The new work now provides direct measurements of the effect of pH and Ca\(^{2+}\) on MthK open probability. At pH 7.0, Ca\(^{2+}\) up to 10 mM activates the channel only weakly. At pH 8.0, Ca\(^{2+}\) is able to drive Po to near 1. Above 9.0, pH alone appears able to drive the channel to near maximum Po. Thus, conditions have been defined over which MthK gating can be examined over a wide range of open probability by two distinct signals, Ca\(^{2+}\) and pH. Importantly, Li et al. (2007) also isolated and expressed an MthK pore module in the absence of any RCK regulatory domain. In this case, infrequent channel openings of the appropriate conductance and rectification behavior are observed, and the opening frequency is not modulated by either Ca\(^{2+}\) or changes in pH. Thus, regulation by either pH or Ca\(^{2+}\) arises from the RCK domains. By appropriate manipulation of Ca\(^{2+}\) and pH, it should now be possible to more directly examine allosteric regulation of this channel.

One of the intriguing observations in this set of articles from Jiang’s laboratory is that increases in channel activation are strongly correlated with conditions that influence biochemical conversion of the RCK domains from a largely dimeric organization to an octameric organization. One wonders whether the dimer–octamer transition might contribute to normal gating behavior. The dimer–octamer transition assays are done with isolated RCK domains, and it is possible that the dimer–octamer equilibrium may be strongly influenced by whether or not the inner RCK domains are tethered to a pore module. In terms of single channel gating behavior, both Ca\(^{2+}\) and increases in pH exert rather similar effects,
but there are differences. In the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\), elevations in pH appear able to drive channel open probability to near maximal levels. In contrast, the maximal Po driven by increases in Ca\(^{2+}\) varies in a pH-dependent fashion. Li et al. are cautious in their interpretation of the separate effects of pH and Ca\(^{2+}\), but propose three general types of conformational changes. First, pH is proposed to regulate the assembly of the homodimers into a closed octameric structure. Second, both pH and Ca\(^{2+}\) are proposed to influence transitions from the closed form of the gating ring to the more wide open gating ring necessary for gating. Third, rapid gating events observed in single channel recordings, that are largely independent of either pH or Ca\(^{2+}\), are proposed to involve gating at the MthK selectivity filter.

The ability of two distinct signals to influence MthK gating is reminiscent of the regulation observed for BK channels by voltage and Ca\(^{2+}\) (Horrigan and Aldrich, 2002) and for Slo3 channels by voltage and pH (Zhang et al., 2006). In both cases, two allosteric regulators independently regulate channel activation. Scheme 1 illustrates such an allosteric model recast to consider independent pH (K\(_{d}(H^+))\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) (K\(_{d}(Ca^{2+}))\) binding equilibria regulating a channel closed–open equilibrium (L), with allosteric coupling constants (F\(_{HL}\), F\(_{C}\), and E) linking each equilibrium. Eq. 1 provides the equilibrium predictions for channel Po for such a model, assuming eight sensing elements for each ligand.

\[
P_o = \frac{L(1 + K_{Ca}F_C + \frac{F_{HL}}{K_H} + \frac{K_{Ca}F_{HL}F_{C}E}{K_C K_H})^8}{L(1 + K_{Ca}F_C + \frac{F_{HL}}{K_H} + \frac{K_{Ca}F_{HL}F_{C}E}{K_C K_H})^8 + (1 + \frac{1}{K_H} + K_{Ca} + E \frac{K_{Ca}E}{K_H})^8},
\]

where K\(_{Ca}\) = [Ca\(^{2+}\)]/K\(_{d}(Ca^{2+})\) and K\(_{H}\) = [H\(^+\)]/K\(_{d}(H^+)\).

With Ca\(^{2+}\) and H\(^+\) dissociation constants appropriate for MthK (K\(_{d}(Ca^{2+}) = 3\) mM; K\(_{d}(H^+) = 0.01\) μM), this simple scheme yields estimates of the Ca\(^{2+}\)- and pH dependence (Fig. 1, A and B) of Po that can reproduce some key aspects of the MthK behavior. Specifically, the variation in the saturating Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent Po as a function of pH is reproduced, as well as the near maximal Po values by high pH in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\). This supports the idea that each sensor independently regulates the channel closed–open equilibrium. However, there are important aspects of the MthK behavior that imply a more complex relationship between effects of pH and Ca\(^{2+}\). Specifically, at low pH, the Hill coefficient for activation by Ca\(^{2+}\) is very high, decreasing as pH is increased (Li et al., 2007). A steep Hill coefficient at low pH has also been noted in recent work from the Nimigean laboratory (Zadek and Nimigean, 2006). It will be important to resolve the structural and allosteric basis for these unique aspects of the regulation of the MthK channel by pH and Ca\(^{2+}\).

Lessons from the KtrAB RCK-containing K\(^{+}\) Transport Protein

The implications of this new information about MthK take on added significance in light of recent work on the KtrA RCK domain, where new structures of the KtrA protein have been determined, again revealing an octameric arrangement of a set of four symmetrical homodimers (Albright et al., 2006). The basic octameric arrangement shares marked similarities with MthK, involving alternating interfaces, similar to the flexible

Figure 1. A simple allosteric model involving independent regulation by pH and Ca\(^{2+}\) approximates some, but not all, features of MthK steady-state Po. In A, the predicted Po as a function of Ca\(^{2+}\) is shown for four different pHs (7.0, 7.5, 7.8, and 8.0). Coupling constants were E = 1, F\(_{HL}\) = 4.5, F\(_C\) = 2, K\(_{Ca}\) = 3 mM, K\(_{H}\) = 0.01 (pH 8.0); L = 5 * 10\(^{-5}\). The model successfully predicts different Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent limiting Po for different pH. However, the Hill coefficients for Po vs. Ca\(^{2+}\) curves become smaller at higher pH, in contrast to the MthK data (Li et al., 2007). In B, the predicted Po as a function of pH, in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\), is displayed, closely approximating the observed data.
and assembly interfaces. Furthermore, like for MthK, assembly of the KtrA dimers into an octamer is driven by increases in pH. For KtrA, three forms of octameric ring structures, a square form (ATP bound), a diamond form (NADH bound), and a rectangular form (also with NADH), were obtained. Superposition of individual monomers within the rings shows almost no differences, but, like MthK, the change in octamer structure is associated with changes at the flexible dimer hinge. Given that each crystal form contains bound nucleotide, it is more difficult to assign functional significance to particular conformations for KtrA. However, the differences in the KtrA flexible dimer interfaces that occur between the rectangular form and the square form (the diamond form is intermediate) are consistent with the differences in the KtrA flexible dimer interfaces that occur between the rectangular form and the square form (the diamond form is intermediate) are consistent with the 
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Bao et al., 2004), had previously been described at a more distal position on the C terminus. The position of the second RCK domain within the BK C terminus and its potential relationship to the $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ bowl has been described more recently, although there are some important discrepancies in details of the exact alignments (Pico, 2003; Roosild et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2006). Within the putative BK RCK2 domain, the various alignments generally agree up through the $\alpha$D helix. However, in one alignment (Pico, 2003), additional insertions in the putative RCK2 domain result in the $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ bowl segment following the $\beta$E sheet, whereas in another alignment (Kim et al., 2006) the $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ bowl is positioned following the $\alpha$G helix. This results in distinctly different predictions as to where the presumed $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ bowl-sensing residues would be positioned within the structure of any flexible dimer. Irrespective of this discrepancy, the idea that each BK $\alpha$ subunit contains two RCK-like domains, resulting in an octameric arrangement of domains, similar to those observed for MthK and KtrA, provides a useful guide for thinking about BK channel ligand dependence. Yet, a more cautious view is that homology between proposed BK channel RCK domains and other RCK proteins is insufficient to justify assertion of an RCK-type structure in BK channels (Fodor and Aldrich, 2006).

If we accept the gating ring model for BK channels, what might the insights from the MthK and KtrA gating rings suggest about the organization of RCK domains in BK channels? The BK RCK1 domains would correspond to the inner RCK domains of the bacterial octameric gating rings, whereas the putative RCK2 domains would correspond to outer domains. Each RCK1 domain presumably is coupled to one RCK2 domain to form a dimer corresponding to the flexible interface and then another RCK2 domain to form an assembly interface. An important question articulated in a recent paper from the Magleby lab (Qian et al., 2006) concerns whether the dimer pairs corresponding to the flexible interface arise from an RCK1 and RCK2 domain on a single $\alpha$ subunit, or RCK1 and RCK2 domains on adjacent subunits. To address this question, Qian et al. (2006) examined the $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ dependence of activation of single BK channels with two active RCK1 $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ sensors and two active $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ bowl sensors (associated with the putative RCK2 domains). Such channels were engineered to be of two types: ones in which both the RCK1 and RCK2 sensors were on the same $\alpha$ subunit and ones where the active sensors were on different subunits. When both $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ sensors are on the same subunit, a modest cooperativity in the $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ dependence of activation is observed. Assuming that $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ binds on both sides of the flexible interface, as in MthK, an analysis of the possible arrangements of the functional and mutated high affinity Ca binding sites on the potential RCK1 and RCK2 interfaces together with the physiological response was consistent with the flexible interface forming between RCK domains on the same subunit. The length and flexibility of the linker between the two modules of the C terminus (Wei et al., 1994) would presumably permit the RCK1 and RCK2 domains within a single subunit to form the appropriate ligand-regulated flexible interface. However, for an RCK1/RCK2 flexible hinge to occur in BK channels, whether from the same or different subunits, would require two novel features: first, the dimer would exhibit substantial asymmetry and, second, the two presumed $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-sensing sites would not be positioned symmetrically above the flexible hinge. The lack of symmetry would be true irrespective of the position of the $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ bowl within the alignment of the RCK2 domain (Pico, 2003; Kim et al., 2006).

To test the applicability of the gating ring hypothesis to BK channels, Kim et al. (2006) (also see Pico, 2003) employed a mutant cycle analysis to test potential interactions between helices in the C terminus. Residues proposed to mediate the fixed (now assembly) interfaces in RCK1 and RCK2 domains were shown to interact in an energetically nonadditive fashion, supporting the idea that these two parts of the BK cytosolic domain do interact. Although such results suggest that the architecture of the BK cytosolic domains may mirror the general principles of the bacterial octameric RCK gating rings, the harsh reality remains that this postulated relationship is just a conjecture. Perhaps the new information from bacterial RCK domains may provide insight into strategies of defining reduced components of the BK cytosolic domains that may be suitable for structural determinations.

The structural underpinnings for the allosteric gating behavior of any ion channel are only just beginning to emerge and how conformational changes in different parts of ion channel are coupled remains speculative. As noted by Jiang and colleagues, crystal structures represent only snapshots of possible conformational states a protein may traverse during normal function. Yet, an exciting aspect of this most recent study is that it may now be possible to correlate the gating behavior of the MthK channel with specific conformational states observed through crystallization. This work points to a fruitful coupling of structure, biochemistry, and biophysics to illuminate how regulation of a channel by an RCK-containing cytosolic structure occurs. Single structures are not enough and care must be taken regarding conclusions about what particular snapshots of structure actually reflect.

For regulatory modules, there are two fundamental questions that must be addressed. First, what structures does the module adopt during normal gating behavior? Second, how do those structural changes produce the opening or closing of the channel? Addressing those questions requires intimate knowledge not only of structure, but also the biophysical properties of allosteric
regulation of the channel. The new MthK structures clearly define a number of points of interaction in the dimers and octamers that will certainly be points of attack in future attempts to simultaneously manipulate structure, biochemistry, and function. Overall, the studies from Jiang’s lab represent an impressive and systematic approach toward unlocking the nuances of RCK-mediated allosteric regulation of K+ channels.
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