Cholesterol Promotes Hemifusion and Pore Widening in Membrane Fusion Induced by Influenza Hemagglutinin
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Cholesterol-specific interactions that affect membrane fusion were tested for using insect cells; cells that have naturally low cholesterol levels (<4 mol%). Sf9 cells were engineered (HAS cells) to express the hemagglutinin (HA) of the influenza virus X-31 strain. Enrichment of HAS cells with cholesterol reduced the delay between triggering and lipid dye transfer between HAS cells and human red blood cells (RBC), indicating that cholesterol facilitates membrane lipid mixing prior to fusion pore opening. Increased cholesterol also increased aqueous content transfer between HAS cells and RBC over a broad range of HA expression levels, suggesting that cholesterol also favors fusion pore expansion. This interpretation was tested using both trans-cell dye diffusion and fusion pore conductivity measurements in cholesterol-enriched cells. The results of this study support the hypothesis that host cell cholesterol acts at two stages in membrane fusion: (1) early, prior to fusion pore opening, and (2) late, during fusion pore expansion.

INTRODUCTION

Successful infection by influenza virus requires that the envelope spike protein, hemagglutinin (HA), catalyzes fusion between the viral envelope and the intracellular endosomal membrane of the target cell and creates a pore large enough to release the viral genome. There is a growing appreciation that membrane lipids play a role in this critical event, coming mostly from experiments and theory on lipid composition in relationship to membrane monolayer curvature stress (Markin et al., 1984; Kozlov et al., 1989; Chizmadzhev et al., 1995; Chernomordik, 1996; Siegel, 1999; Kuzmin et al., 2001; Kozlovsky and Kozlov, 2003; Chernomordik et al., 2006). Recently there has been consideration given to the role of membrane phase behavior and membrane microdomains on the lateral distribution, sorting, and interactions of lipids with membrane proteins in general, and viral envelope glycoproteins in particular (Brown and London, 1998; Wang et al., 2001; Suomalainen, 2002; Chazal and Gerlier, 2003; Edidin, 2003; Schmitt and Lamb, 2004; Hess et al., 2005). Cholesterol is a major and vital constituent of eukaryotic cell membranes. Its unique structure, a small hydrophilic head group and rigid, hydrophobic, fused rings, favors preferential association with saturated acyl-chain lipids and sphingolipids to form liquid-ordered microdomains (termed lipid “rafts”) in phospholipid bilayer membranes of the right composition (Fridriksson et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2000; Feigenson and Buboltz, 2001). Lipid rafts are hypothesized to exist in the cell plasma membrane (Simons and Ikonen, 1997) at specialized sites where proteins, having favorable associations with the ordered, cholesterol-rich environment, are concentrated (Scheiffele et al., 1997; Brown and London, 1998; Kenworthy et al., 2000).

In support of an important role for membrane microdomains are experiments validating one prediction of the lipid raft theory: biological function mediated by the putative raft protein should be changed when rafts are altered by a reduction in membrane cholesterol (McGee et al., 1996; Scheiffele et al., 1997; Simons and Ikonen, 1997; Harder et al., 1998; Keller and Simons, 1998; Fridriksson et al., 1999; Churchward et al., 2005). For viruses, lipid rafts have been proposed to act at the stages of binding or fusion. The cell surface receptors of a few enveloped and nonenveloped viruses colocalize, by microscopy, with markers for raft components (cholera toxin binds to gangliosides) and partition into floating fractions of detergent extracts of cells (called detergent-insoluble or -resistant membranes, DRM) (Kozak et al., 2002; Popik et al., 2002; Stuart et al., 2002; Ashbourne Excoffon et al., 2003). If rafts exist with finite, “small” dimensions, then receptors could concentrate in “small” patches of membrane, increase their local density, and enhance viral interactions with the host cell, promoting productive viral entry (Danieli...
et al., 1996; Viard et al., 2002). Additionally, fusogenic proteins associate with lipid rafts on the viral surface. Influenza virus, some retroviruses, and filoviruses use cholesterol-rich membrane microdomain sites for assembly and cell entry (Ono and Freed, 2001; Bavari et al., 2002; Del Real et al., 2002; Guyader et al., 2002; Sun and Whittaker, 2003; Takeda et al., 2003). The influenza virus transmembrane domain (TMD) associates with DRM; when expressed in cells, mutations in the TMD and in the HA cytoplasmic tail show reduced association with detergent-insoluble glycolipid complexes (Scheiffele et al., 1997; Lin et al., 1998; Scheiffele et al., 1999). Cholesterol-depleting agents like methyl-β-cyclo dextrin (MβCD) disrupt DRM and thus hinder fusion (Sun and Whittaker, 2003; Takeda et al., 2003). Influenza and Semliki Forest virus each requires cholesterol and sphingolipids in the target membrane for fusion pore expansion (White and Helenius, 1980; Kielian and Helenius, 1984; Phalen and Kielian, 1991; Nieva et al., 1994; Raznikov and Cohen, 2000).

While the raft theory predicts a cholesterol dependence of certain biological processes, there may be other explanations that remain untested. In particular, a recent report on influenza virus shows that depletion of cholesterol alters the phase behavior of the viral envelope in the direction of an increased fraction of gel phase lipids, which may hinder HA mobility and thereby explain inhibition of fusion upon cholesterol extraction (Polozov et al., 2008). Alternatively, membrane lipid components may interact directly with fusion intermediates. Although cholesterol has a negative spontaneous monolayer curvature, it is hard to estimate this effect on membrane curvature because the amount of curvature stress introduced by cholesterol is a function of the other phospholipids surrounding it (Huang and Feigenson, 1999). However, cholesterol is expected to promote and stabilize the local bilayer bending that is hypothesized to take place during membrane fusion, since the curvature stress is in the direction of negative curvature (Markin et al., 1984; Kozlov et al., 1989; Chizmadzhev et al., 1995; Chernomordik, 1996; Siegel, 1999; Raznikov and Cohen, 2000; Haque et al., 2001; Kuzmin et al., 2001; Kozlovsky and Kozlov, 2003; Zimmerberg and Kozlov, 2006). Indeed, experiments on the exocytosis of sea urchin eggs indicate a role for cholesterol, in part, due to its negative spontaneous curvature (Churchward et al., 2008). Alternatively, cholesterol may bind directly to membrane proteins and change their conformation, or direct protein folding during conformational changes along a specific pathway.

Despite the demonstrated association of HA with cholesterol-rich domains and a reduction in virus infectivity with cholesterol depletion, it is not clear at what stage of fusion cholesterol acts, nor how cholesterol affects the fusion pore. In this study, the role of cholesterol at different stages of fusion was determined. Insect cells, which have no measurable sphingolipids and little cholesterol (Marheineke et al., 1998), are suitable for hemagglutinin expression, can be enriched with cholesterol (Gimpl et al., 1995), and fuse to labeled red blood cells (RBC) that have their normal complement of cholesterol (Kretzschmar et al., 1995; Latham and Galarza, 2001; Plonsky et al., 2008). Cholesterol enrichment of insect cells facilitated both lipid mixing and fusion pore expansion up to levels similar to those observed between mammalian cells expressing HA and RBC. This suggests that cholesterol (a) promotes the formation and growth of the contact sites between membranes that allow lipid mixing and (b) helps to expand the fusion pore and thereby increases the efficiency of fusion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of HA Expression Vector

The full-length DNA sequence encoding X31 HA (ecto, transmembrane, and cytoplasmic domains) flanked by NotI (5’ end) and XbaI (3’ end) restriction sites was produced by PCR. The additional stop code (TAA) to the3’ end of the cytoplasmic domain was followed by the original stop codon TGA in order to prevent V5 and 6 His tags in the expression vector from fusing into HA. The fragment was inserted into the corresponding restriction site of pLZ/V5-His expression vector (Invitrogen). The plasmid was called pH1A. X31 HA DNA sequence was also inserted into another vector (pITZ/V5-His, Invitrogen) containing the GFp sequence fused to antibiotic Zeocin binding protein “ble” called pHA1. For glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-HA, fragment nucleotide from the template pTM1-HAx31-GPI plasmid (gift from J. White, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA) was amplified in PCR using a forward primer containing BamHI site 5’-GGG GAT CCA TGA AGA CCA TCA TTG CTT TGA GC-3’ and a backward primer containing NotI site 5’-ATG CCG CGG CCG TGT GCT AAG AAT GTG ATT CGC-3’ and the fragment was inserted into the corresponding restriction site of a pLZ expression vector (Invitrogen) called pGPI-HA. All the inserts were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Cell Culture and Stable Cell Line Development

S9 cells (Invitrogen) were maintained according to the Invitrogen manual, and transfected using the calcium phosphate transfection kit (Invitrogen) using 0.1–10 μg of DNA. Cells were used 48 h after transfection. After transient transfection of S9 cells, surface expression of HA was detected using FITC-conjugated anti-HA mAb (Fig. 1 a). In addition to epitope exposure, transfection also made the cells competent to fuse to RBC at 30°C and pH 4.9 (Plonsky et al., 2008). To minimize HA expression variability, cells were selected for a cell line named “HAS” cells. For stable expression, transfected cells were selected with 800 μg/ml of Zeocin (Invitrogen) and the expression of the HA was successfully maintained in the presence 200 μg/ml Zeocin concentration for 2 mo. HAS cells stably express HA on their surface, as demonstrated by cell surface biotinylation and immunoblot assay (Fig. 1 b). Flow cytometry of immunolabeled HAS cells showed HA expression to be 4.3 ± 1.3 × 10^5 (n = 5) copies of HA per cell. Using beads of calibrated antibody binding capacity we estimate that 18 ± 1% of HAS cells have ~1,630 ± 50 (n = 5) copies of HA molecules per μm^2 of cell surface; this is comparable to the HA densities of transfected S9 cells (Fig. 1). Thus, HAS cells express biologically active, fusion-competent HA. HAS cells were then frozen, and thawed cells from passage 3 to 30 were used.
oleic acid PBS for 10 min at 30 °C before binding to RBC. To de-
taining 20 then lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES, 1% NP-40, pH 7.5) con-
Link NHS-LC-biotin (Pierce Biotechnology, Inc.). The cells were
HAS cells and Sf9 cells (control cells) were biotinylated with EZ-
Immunoprecipitation and Western Blots

**Cholesterol Enrichment of HAS Cells**
Total cell free cholesterol concentrations were estimated using
Amplex Red reagent (Molecular Probes) according to the manu-
facturer’s protocol, except that the enzyme choleseryl esterase was
not used; the total concentration of free cholesterol, in the ab-
ence of cholesterol esters, was measured. Complexes of choles-	erol (Avanti Polar) and oleic acid (trans-9-octadecenoic acid; Sigma-Aldrich) with MβCD (Sigma-Aldrich) were prepared according to
Christian et al. (1997). For enrichment, trypsinized cells were incu-
bated with 1 ml of MβCD-cholesterol or MβCD-
CD-cholesterol or MβCD-cholesterol or MβCD-

Flow Cytometry
HAS (without GFP), Sf9 cells, and quantum Simply Cellular micro-
bead standards with known antibody binding capacity beads (Bangs Laboratories) were labeled to saturation with FITC-conjugated
anti-HA mAb HC3 (gift from J. Skehel). The instrument (BD LSR II)
was calibrated using FITC microbead standards (Bangs Labora-
tories) with known molecules of equivalent soluble fluorochrome
(MESF), and expression level was determined using manufac-
turer’s protocol. Surface expression of GPI-HA was then measured us-
ing flow cytometry and compared with the known HA surface
expression of HAS cells.

Confocal Microscopy
FITC-conjugated anti-HA mAb stained cells (without GFP) were lay-
ered on black ΔT dishes (Bioptecs Inc.) and observed with a Leica
DMIRB confocal microscope with an FITC filter set, oil immersion

**Figure 1.** Influenza HA expression in Sf9 insect cells. Bar (with pattern) represents the estimated number of cell surface HA mol-
ecules in HAS cells. The HA expression level of HAS cells was de-
termined by the number of molecules of fluorescent conjugated antibody HC3 (anti-HA mAb) bound per cell, by flow cytometry.
The mean fluorescence intensities (MFI) of the FITC-conjugated_HC3 bound to HAS cells, were linearly related to the mean
number of mAb binding sites/cell (details in Materials and methods).

**Figure 2.** Loading HAS cells with cholesterol. HAS cell cholesterol was enriched by incubating cells with 1 ml cholesterol-
MβCD complex (1:10, cholesterol: MβCD molar ratio) for 10 min
at 30°C. Total cell lipids were extracted by 1:1 methanol:chloro-
form. Total cell free cholesterol (without cholesterol ester) of
control cells and of cholesterol-MβCD–treated cells was deter-
mined by Amplex Red method and expressed in μg/mg of total
left over cell protein after lipid extraction. Cholesterol oxida-
tion treatment of cells, which lowered membrane-free cholesterol by
oxidation, gave a reasonable estimate of free cholesterol available
at the membrane. Membrane cholesterol oxidation was achieved
by incubating cells with the enzyme cholesterol oxidase (COD)
and 700 U of catalase, for 10 min at 30°C. Another way used to
lower membrane cholesterol was to extract it from membranes
using MβCD. For extraction of membrane cholesterol, cells were incu-
bated with 10 mM MβCD in Sf9 culture medium (without FBS)
for 10 min at 30°C. Thus HAS cells can be enriched with choles-
terol and, alteration of the cholesterol level after enrichment with
COD and MβCD treatments indicates, loaded cholesterol was in
HAS membrane. (values: mean ± SEM, n ≥ 5).
according to the formula 
\[ \text{F} = \frac{F_i}{F_0} \]
Five randomly selected microscope fields of the same dish.

Additional images of dye mixing were recorded at pH were acquired (SIT video camera [Dage MTI] and NIH Image software). Fluorescence intensity (F) of Alexa 10-kD dextran or CF were measured in regions of interest (ROI) using a software (Scanalytic Inc.) for all analyses. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM with the number of experiments, n = number, listed. Sample means were compared using the unpaired Student’s t test and the level of significance, P, indicated.

Fusion Assay
Fusion was assayed by transfer of fluorescent dye to HAS cells from double-labeled RBCs (DiI C-18 and carboxy fluorescein; Sigma-Aldrich) with some modification of previously reported protocols (Ellens et al., 1989; Chernomordik et al., 1998). For DiI the labeling protocol was modified. 4 μl of DiI (1 mg/ml in methanol) was rapidly injected into a washed RBC suspension (~150 μl packed cell volume in 500 μl of PBS w/o Ca2+) under slow vortex and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The labeled cells were diluted with 5 ml of PBS and 2.5 ml of chilled FBS and incubated on ice for 10 min. Labeled cells were washed three times in PBS and transferred to another tube and again incubated on ice for 10 min while suspended in 5 ml PBS and 2.5 ml FBS and washed five times with PBS. The labeled cells were used for binding to host cells. Trypsinized, cholesterol-MβCD complex treated or untreated host cells were allowed to bind 0.1% labeled RBC suspension for 10 min at 30 °C. Fluorescence and Nomarski images of bound cells showing lipid dye transfer and cytoplasmic dye transfer were counted in a fluorescence microscope. Cholesterol and oleic acid enrichments were achieved as described in Materials and methods. Cholesterol mimics oleic acid in modulating fusion of HAS cells at the pH optima but not at pH 5.3. Cholesterol did not modulate fusion of GPI-HAS.

Image Acquisition and Data Analysis
Fluorescence images (movies) of low pH–triggered dye transfer from labeled RBC to HAS cells were recorded with a Cascade 512B camera (Roper Scientific, exposure time 10 ms, binning 4; 30,000 frames). Image frames were captured and analyzed using IPLab 3.6 (Scanalytic Inc.) software. Fluorescence intensity (F) of Alexa 10-kD dextran or CF were measured in regions of interest (ROI) in the center of HAS cells with a fixed area (35,904 pixels). For DiI, fluorescence intensities were measured in a smaller ROI (896 pixels). The mean plateau intensity (F P ) and mean base intensity (F 0 ) were measured in the given ROI and normalized according to the formula \[ \frac{(F_i - F_0)}{(F_P - F_0)} \] 

Electrophysiological Measurements
HAS-RBC cells pairs in solution of (in mM) 150 N-methylglucamine succinate, 5 MgCl₂, 2 Cs-HEPES (pH 7.2) were patched with ~2–3 MΩ pipettes filled with (in mM) 155 Cs-glutamate, 5 MgCl₂, 5 1,2-bis(2-aminophenoxoy)ethane-N,N,N’,N’-tetraacetic acid, and 10 Cs-HEPES (pH 7.4). In the whole cell configuration, admittance recording were made with an EPC 7 patch-clamp amplifier (List-Medical), and the data were recorded with a software-based lock-in amplifier, Browse (Ratinov et al., 1998) using a sine wave (f = 1000 Hz, ± 15 mV peak to peak) superimposed on a +10 to −10 mV holding potential. Fusion pore conductance, G Pore was calculated offline from changes in G N C, G N C, and C RBC using the software Browse (Ratinov et al., 1998).

Analysis of Experimental Data
Sigma Plot (SPSS Inc.) was used for all analyses. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM with the number of experiments, n = number, listed. Sample means were compared using the unpaired Student’s t test and the level of significance, P, indicated.

RESULTS
HAS Cell Cholesterol Level and Fusion Activity
HAS cells are Sf9 insect cells that stably express HA in their plasma membrane, as demonstrated by flow cytometry, cell surface biotinylation, and immunoblot assays (see Materials and methods). When fusion is triggered by lowering the pH in the extracellular solution, lipidic and aqueous dyes can transfer from bound, doubly labeled RBC to HAS cells; lipid dye transfer is consistent with membrane outer leaflet merger, and aqueous dye transfer is consistent with pore opening and fusion. At pH 4.9 and 30 °C, 74 ± 4% (n = 21) of bound cells show lipid dye transfer within 10 min after lowering pH, while only 35 ± 3% (n = 21) show aqueous dye transfer (Fig. 3). When HAS cells were enriched with cholesterol using cholesterol-treated cell was converted to 100% and percent relative fluorescence intensities (%RFI) were measured compared to this 100% value. Fluorescent dye waiting time was the measured duration between the pH trigger pulse (marked with a visible light pulse) and initiation of dye distribution (mean F above F0). To control for cholesterol-induced changes in HAS cell lipid dye diffusion per se, we estimated the difference in dye propagation across the HAS cell after fusion, with and without cholesterol enrichment, by putting two spot regions of interest (ROI) close to and distal from the fusion junction. The estimated time difference in dye propagation between the two points within the HAS cell membranes do not differ with cholesterol treatment (not depicted). Thus, cholesterol facilitated early dye transfer from the RBC without affecting dye diffusion within the HAS cell membrane.
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Figure 3. HAS cell cholesterol level modulates fusion activity. Double-labeled RBC (DiI C-18 and carboxy fluorescein, CF) were bound to HAS and GPI-HAS cells, and fusion triggered by application of a pH 4.9 solution for 2 min at 30 °C. Cells were then incubated with pH 6.4 impermeant buffer, and the percentage of bound cells showing lipid dye transfer and cytoplasmic dye transfer were counted in a fluorescence microscope. Cholesterol and oleic acid enrichments were achieved as described in Materials and methods. Cholesterol mimics oleic acid in modulating fusion of HAS cells at the pH optima but not at pH 5.3. Cholesterol did not modulate fusion of GPI-HAS.

The mean plateau intensity (F P ) and mean base intensity (F 0 ) were measured in the given ROI and normalized according to the formula \[ \frac{(F_i - F_0)}{(F_P - F_0)} \] .

The highest observed value of F P of a cholesterol-treated cell was converted to 100% and percent relative fluorescence intensities (%RFI) were measured compared to this 100% value. Fluorescent dye waiting time was the measured duration between the pH trigger pulse (marked with a visible light pulse) and initiation of dye distribution (mean F above F0). To control for cholesterol-induced changes in HAS cell lipid dye diffusion per se, we estimated the difference in dye propagation across the HAS cell after fusion, with and without cholesterol enrichment, by putting two spot regions of interest (ROI) close to and distal from the fusion junction. The estimated time difference in dye propagation between the two points within the HAS cell membranes do not differ with cholesterol treatment (not depicted). Thus, cholesterol facilitated early dye transfer from the RBC without affecting dye diffusion within the HAS cell membrane.

Electrophysiological Measurements
HAS-RBC cells pairs in solution of (in mM) 150 N-methylglucamine succinate, 5 MgCl₂, 2 Cs-HEPES (pH 7.2) were patched with ~2–3 MΩ pipettes filled with (in mM) 155 Cs-glutamate, 5 MgCl₂, 5 1,2-bis(2-aminophenoxoy)ethane-N,N,N’,N’-tetraacetic acid, and 10 Cs-HEPES (pH 7.4). In the whole cell configuration, admittance recording were made with an EPC 7 patch-clamp amplifier (List-Medical), and the data were recorded with a software-based lock-in amplifier, Browse (Ratinov et al., 1998) using a sine wave (f = 1000 Hz, ± 15 mV peak to peak) superimposed on a +10 to −10 mV holding potential. Fusion pore conductance, G Pore was calculated offline from changes in G N C, G N C, and C RBC using the software Browse (Ratinov et al., 1998).

Online Supplemental Materials
The online supplemental material (available at http://www.jgp.org/cgi/content/full/jgp.200709932/DC1) contain two movies showing a difference in the extent of fusion between untreated and cholesterol-treated pairs. Video 1 shows that in untreated pairs the RBC did not completely fuse with the HAS cell membrane with full content (CF) mixing. Video 2 shows that with increased cholesterol, not only did the bound RBC completely release their luminal contents to HAS cells, but the membrane of the target RBC flattened and completely collapsed into the curve of the cholesterol-enriched HAS cell membrane.

Analysis of Experimental Data
Sigma Plot (SPSS Inc.) was used for all analyses. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM with the number of experiments, n = number, listed. Sample means were compared using the unpaired Student’s t test and the level of significance, P, indicated.
MβCD (see Materials and methods), there was a significant increase in aqueous dye transfer compared to untreated control (73 ± 3%, P < 0.005, n = 15, Fig. 3) with comparable lipid dye transfer (84 ± 3%, P < 0.08, n = 15). Thus, increasing cholesterol in the host cell significantly increased fusion (aqueous dye transfer) but did not affect membrane merger (lipid dye transfer).

Sub-optimal Fusion Conditions Reveal Differential Effects with Cholesterol and Oleic Acid

To determine if the cholesterol sensitivity for fusion had the same locus as another lipid-sensitive stage, the stalk, we compared cholesterol treatment with the stalk-promoting agent oleic acid (Chernomordik et al., 1997, 1998). Indeed, when oleic acid was added to HAS-RBC cell pairs (10 min preincubation with soluble oleic acid and MβCD) and then cell pairs were triggered with pH 4.9, there was a significant increase in aqueous dye transfer compared to untreated control (71 ± 5%, n = 5, P < 0.005, Fig. 3) with comparable lipid dye transfer (89 ± 3%, P < 0.09, Fig. 3). To better probe the effect of oleic acid on the stalk, suboptimal conditions were chosen to make lipid mixing the rate limiting step: at pH 5.3 and 30°C, 45 ± 11% (n = 4) of bound cells show lipid dye transfer (Fig. 3). Under these suboptimal conditions, cholesterol-enriched HAS cells triggered at pH 5.3 show no significant increase in aqueous dye transfer compared to untreated control (17 ± 3%, n = 6, P < 0.1, Fig. 3) while comparable lipid dye transfer is observed (61 ± 4%, n = 6, P < 0.3, Fig. 3). However, oleic acid significantly increased both aqueous and lipid dye transfer compared to untreated control (38 ± 3%, n = 5, P < 0.004 and 85 ± 3%, n = 5, P < 0.007, Fig. 3). Differential effects of cholesterol and oleic acid at suboptimal fusion conditions were observed.

Figure 4. Cholesterol affects lipid mixing. Lowering pH initiated diffusion of lipid dye from the RBC to the HAS cell membrane. (A) Changes in fluorescence intensity (F) were measured in a small ROI at the periphery of HAS cells opposite to site of the bound of RBC using a fixed area (896 pixel). Cholesterol enrichment led to faster lipid dye transfer (i) compared with untreated cells (ii). In i and ii the onset of lipid mixing was taken as the onset of dye diffusion and iii is the F measurement of a non-fused cell pair. (B) Time required to reach half-maximum fluorescence (n > 5).

Cholesterol Interacts with the HA Transmembrane Domain

GPI-anchored membrane proteins are integral cell surface membrane glycoproteins that are not attached to the plasma membrane by a TMD, but by a glycosylated inositolphospholipid linked to the protein’s C terminus. Since cholesterol increased aqueous dye transfer at pH 4.9, we tested if cholesterol could reverse the block of aqueous dye transfer observed with glycosylphosphatidylinositol-HA (GPI-HA) (Kemble et al., 1994; Melikyan et al., 1995; Markosyan et al., 2000). Another stable cell line expressing GPI-HA (GPIHAS) was created. The density of GPI-HA, determined by flow cytometry, was similar to that of HAS cells. Upon pH 4.9 application, GPIHAS showed lipid dye transfer (45 ± 4%, n = 6) but no aqueous dye transfer was observed. After enriching GPIHAS with cholesterol, lipid dye transfer remained unchanged (52 ± 8%, n = 6) and no aqueous dye transfer was observed (Fig. 3). The cholesterol-induced increase in HA-mediated fusion at pH 4.9 required an intact HA TMD. Cholesterol could not overcome the fusion defect of a lipidic membrane anchored HA that lacks the TMD.

Cholesterol Affects the Kinetics of Lipid Mixing and Pore Opening

Following a low pH trigger to an RBC-HAS cell pair, the first fusion events follow with a delay of usually several seconds to minutes. This delay is believed to arise from both the time required for conformational changes in the HA molecule to occur and the kinetics of fusion intermediates prior to pore opening. Faster lipid dye transfer kinetics were observed following cholesterol enrichment (Fig. 4, compare i with ii), particularly at the onset of lipid mixing. From the onset of lipid dye transfer, 89 ± 15 s (n = 5) was required to reach half maximum fluorescence in control cells; this time decreased significantly in cholesterol-enriched cells (29 ± 5 s, n = 6, P < 0.003, Fig. 4 B). In untreated HAS cells, DiI...
treated HAS cells there exist fusion pores that are too small to be detected by 10-kD dye transport. However, following cholesterol treatment, these fusion pores can be detected by 10-kD dye transport. Cholesterol Affects the Initial State of the Fusion Pore Formation of a fusion pore is the earliest detectable post-fusion event. In Fig. 6 (A–C), fluorescence changes are plotted over three different time scales. Fluorescence microscopy of single cell pairs of untreated HAS bound to 370 D carboxy fluorescein (CF)–loaded RBC showed that CF has subsecond access to the HAS lumen upon fusion (Fig. 6 A). Control cells have considerable variability in their fluorescence intensity (F) kinetics (Fig. 6, B and C). Control HAS cell fluorescence increased to a plateau with variable times (e.g., Fig. 6 B i and Fig. 6 C ii). In addition, untreated cells have considerable variability in their fluorescence intensity (F) kinetics, with irregular and occasionally slow increases in F prior to reaching a plateau (Fig. 6 C ii).

In contrast, upon cholesterol enrichment, at least three distinct F kinetics phases were observed (Fig. 7): (1) 75% of the cholesterol enriched kinetics showed a rapid rise to a maximum (e.g., Fig. 7 A, i CF), (2) an initially rapid increase, interrupted momentarily by a period of slower increase, and then an increased rate (Fig. 7 A, ii CF), and (3) a “foot,” lasting up to several seconds, preceding a faster rise in F (Fig. 7 A, iii CF). The last two groups comprised 25% of the observed kinetics. Since the gradient of dye is expected to not change much initially, these changes in dye flux most likely reflect transitions in the aggregate aqueous space between the fusing cells, i.e., the fusion pore region. These transitions are consistent with changes across the cell membrane occurred in 133 ± 19 s (n = 8) following the pH trigger (Fig. 5). In contrast, Dil transfer across the cell membrane was significantly faster in cholesterol-treated HAS cells following pH trigger (48 ± 5 s, n = 11, P < 0.004, Fig. 5).

The delay in pore opening was compared using an aqueous dye and electrophysiology. Untreated HAS cells fusing with RBC ghosts containing 10-kD Alexa dextran required 269 ± 30 s (n = 7) after pH trigger to detect the fluorescence change in the lumen of the HAS cells (Fig. 5). In contrast, the fluorescence change observed in cholesterol-treated HAS cells occurred in 86 ± 21 s (n = 8) after pH trigger (Fig. 5). However, the duration between the pH trigger and pore opening, the waiting time, measured with time-resolved whole-cell admittance patch clamp technique (Ratinov et al., 1998) in HAS cells was 89 ± 17 s (n = 9) (Fig. 5) and did not change significantly upon cholesterol enrichment (113 ± 8 s, n = 4). In untreated HAS cells there exist fusion pores that are too small to be detected by 10-kD dye transport. However, following cholesterol treatment, these fusion pores can be detected by 10-kD dye transport.

Cholesterol Affects the Initial State of the Fusion Pore Formation of a fusion pore is the earliest detectable post fusion event. In Fig. 6 (A–C), fluorescence changes are plotted over three different time scales. Fluorescence microscopy of single cell pairs of untreated HAS bound to 370 D carboxy fluorescein (CF)–loaded RBC showed that CF has subsecond access to the HAS lumen upon fusion (Fig. 6 A). Control cells have considerable variability in their fluorescence intensity (F) kinetics (Fig. 6, B and C). Control HAS cell fluorescence increased to a plateau with variable times (e.g., Fig. 6 B i and Fig. 6 C ii). In addition, untreated cells have considerable variability in their fluorescence intensity (F) kinetics, with irregular and occasionally slow increases in F prior to reaching a plateau (Fig. 6 C ii).

In contrast, upon cholesterol enrichment, at least three distinct F kinetics phases were observed (Fig. 7): (1) 75% of the cholesterol enriched kinetics showed a rapid rise to a maximum (e.g., Fig. 7 A, i CF), (2) an initially rapid increase, interrupted momentarily by a period of slower increase, and then an increased rate (Fig. 7 A, ii CF), and (3) a “foot,” lasting up to several seconds, preceding a faster rise in F (Fig. 7 A, iii CF). The last two groups comprised 25% of the observed kinetics. Since the gradient of dye is expected to not change much initially, these changes in dye flux most likely reflect transitions in the aggregate aqueous space between the fusing cells, i.e., the fusion pore region. These transitions are consistent with changes...
in both fusion pore number and fusion pore size (width and length). With 10-kD Alexa dye, a slow F increase was observed, which then increased rapidly (Fig. 7 A, iv Alexa 10 kD); this kinetic behavior is consistent with the presence of an initially small aqueous space undergoing a transition to a larger aqueous space. This interpretation is consistent with the differences in the time to reach half maximum fluorescence after pH trigger, for both dyes. CF required 36 s ± 5 s (n = 8) to reach half the maximum fluorescence, and this time is significantly increased (P < 0.04) to 116 ± 34 s (n = 7) when dye size is increased to 10 kD (Fig. 7 B).

Cholesterol Affects Fusion Phenotype and/or Extent of Fusion

There was a morphological difference between untreated and cholesterol-treated cell pairs following fusion. In untreated HAS-RBC pairs, the RBC did not completely fuse into the HAS cell membrane with full content transfer and complete incorporation of the RBC membrane (Fig. 8). The two distinct compartments (HAS and RBC) remained separate throughout the experiment (Fig. 8 A). Even under conditions when some dye was presumably released (see 78 s in Fig. 8 A, b), dye was retained in the RBC and observed at the end of the acquisition time (247 s; also see Video 1, available at http://www.jgp.org/cgi/content/full/jgp.200709932/DC1). With cholesterol treatment, not only did bound RBC completely transfer their luminal contents into HAS cells (see 103.95 s in Fig. 8 A, c), but the membrane of the target RBC flattened (see Video 2) and completely collapsed into the surface of the cholesterol-enriched HAS cell membrane, suggesting syncytium formation following opening of the fusion pore (Fig. 8 A, c). Thus, the presence of cholesterol allowed the fusion pathway to go to completion; collapse of the RBC membrane into the HAS cell membrane.

To parameterize the extent of fusion pore development, the increase from baseline in aqueous F relative to its maximum value observed during the experiment was measured. A typical example (Fig. 8 B, i) shows an ~25-fold increase in percentage relative fluorescence intensity (%RFI) in cholesterol-treated HAS cells compared to untreated cells (Fig. 8 B, ii). The mean extent of increase in %RFI in HAS cells was 7.6 ± 2.2% (n = 7) compared with 50.6 ± 8.0% (n = 9) in cholesterol-treated HAS cells (Fig. 8 C). Thus, the effect of cholesterol on the fusion pore is at the late phases of pore expansion where the size of the aqueous space between the fusing cells is controlled.

Cholesterol Widens the Fusion Pore

We further tested whether fusion pore expansion, as measured electrically at the single pore level, was affected by cholesterol. HAS-RBC cell pairs were patched in the whole cell configuration. In both cholesterol-enriched and control HAS cells, the size of the initial fusion pore is not significantly different (0.30 ± 0.09 nS [n = 4]) and 0.50 ± 0.05 nS [n = 9], respectively, P < 0.9, Fig. 9 A). In the absence of cholesterol, lipid dye transfer and capacitance steps (Fig. 9 B) were observed. In the first few milliseconds of the initial fusion pore opening, the pore conductance (G_pore) did not increase steadily, but capacitance gradually increased by steps to ~1.25 pF, which is equivalent to the membrane capacitance of RBC (Fig. 9 B). In contradistinction, upon cholesterol enrichment G_pore increased after each step in pore conductance (Fig. 9 C). The slope of G_pore after each step in pore conductance, was measured and used to estimate pore expansion. Control cell pairs had a conductance rate of 3.9 ± 1.2 nS/s (n = 5), which is significantly (P < 0.004) lower than the 8.2 ± 1.7 nS/s (n = 4) conductance rate observed in cholesterol-enriched cells (Fig. 9 C). Additionally, in the absence of cholesterol, the time course of G_pore over seconds was...
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consistent with a stunted fusion pore (Fig. 9 B) while an expanding fusion pore was observed in the presence of cholesterol (Fig. 9 C).

DISCUSSION

Specific intermediates of membrane fusion, catalyzed by the influenza virus protein hemagglutinin, are regulated by cholesterol. The extent of early lipid transfer in Sf9 cells expressing HA (HAS cells) is similar to that previously observed in mammalian cell systems (Chernomordik et al., 1997, 1998), but the initial fusion pore is small and pore expansion is stunted. A three-fold increase in cellular cholesterol (see Materials and methods) leads to (a) faster lipid dye transfer kinetics, (b) increased amount of aqueous dye transferred, (c) increased extent of aqueous dye transferred, and (d) an increase in the rate of pore conductance. The cholesterol-dependent increase in fusion efficiency required an intact HA TMD and optimal pH. Overall, these results support the hypothesis that host cell cholesterol acts at two stages in membrane fusion: (1) an early, lipidic stage prior to fusion pore opening and (2) a later stage during fusion pore expansion. How can the physical properties of cholesterol influence fusion?

Pore Expansion

Cholesterol increases the extent of aqueous dye transferred (Fig. 8, A and B), suggesting a role in pore expansion. The amount of dye transferred from labeled RBC increased 25-fold upon cholesterol treatment (Fig. 8 B); this observation is consistent with the pore widening observed in treated cells using capacitance measurements. These results suggest that in control HAS cells, small, multiple pores form but remain too small to conduct a 370 D dye. Furthermore, pore conductance measurements can distinguish between pore opening and expansion. Between pore conductance steps, reflecting the opening of new pores, a steady increase in the rate of conductance is indicative of pore expansion (Scepek et al., 1998). In control cells the slope was low (Fig. 9 B), yet the appearance of continual stepwise increases in conductance is consistent with the opening of multiple, nonexpanding pores ∼0.2–0.5 nS in size (Zimmerberg et al., 1994). With cholesterol-enriched cells, the slope was higher and the number of resolvable steps decreased (Fig. 9 C), suggesting the formation of fewer, but expanding pores. The increased conductance rate observed with cholesterol enrichment, similar to high cholesterol–containing mammalian cells (Spruce et al., 1989; Zimmerberg et al., 1994; cells, fusion pores enlarge, indicating that cholesterol promotes pore expansion (n ≥ 4). The vertical dotted line represents fusion pore opening.

Figure 9. Fusion pore analysis. Initial fusion pore conductance (A) and fusion pore opening recorded by patch clamp electrophysiology in (B) untreated and (C) cholesterol-enriched HAS cells. The components of admittance are cell membrane capacitance (C RBC ), AC conductance (G ac ), DC conductance (G dc ), and fusion pore conductance (G pore ). Fusion pore conductances, G pore, were calculated offline from G ac, G dc, and C RBC using software Browse (Ratinov et al., 1998). In HAS cells, the initial fusion pore is small ∼0.2 nS and pore growth is stunted. In cholesterol-enriched HAS
Melikyan et al., 1995; Frolov et al., 2003), indicated that cholesterol is a major determinant in the expansion of pores in HA-mediated fusion.

Cholesterol Head Group Condensation in the Pre-pore Stage
According to one model, a very small “pre-pore” forms prior to the detection of the smallest fusion pores (Kuzmin et al., 2001). The pre-pore is facilitated by lipids of negative spontaneous monolayer curvature such as cholesterol (Kuzmin et al., 2001). In the pre-pore structure, the trans monolayers have merged and create the narrowest aqueous pathway between the two compartments. Since the pore radius has not yet expanded beyond that of the modified stalk, the acyl chains of both monolayers are compressed, predominantly in the equatorial region. In this stage, cholesterol molecules are hypothesized to fit well in the equatorial region and could stabilize the pre-pore structure by head group condensation. The pre-pore spontaneously expands to a true fusion pore; free energy decreases with increasing radius because of decompression, and decreased lipid bending and tilting. Thus, two of cholesterol’s features observed in model systems, negative spontaneous monolayer curvature and head group condensation (Schmidt et al., 1977; Boggs, 1980), could play a key role in these fusion intermediates.

Interactions between Cholesterol and the TMD of HA
The effect of cholesterol on pore expansion could occur through changes in a global membrane property, and/or through localized interactions of lipids around a fusion pore, and/or through specific interaction with the HA TMD. Specific interaction with HA TMD can be ruled out if (a) the effect of cholesterol on fusion is seen without the TMD or if (b) cholesterol modulation of the pore is not unique to HA. To address the first point, our results with GPI-HAS (HAS cells without a complete TMD) demonstrate that regulation by cholesterol required a TMD. GPI-HAS, which has a short glycosylphosphatidylinositol lipid anchoring it to the membrane instead of a full length transmembrane domain, transfers lipid dye but not aqueous dye upon pH triggering; this is similar to mammalian cell lines expressing GPI-HA (Armstrong et al., 2000; Frolov et al., 2000; Melikyan et al., 2000) where cholesterol enrichment of these cells could not rescue complete fusion (Fig. 3). Thus, the requirement for an intact HA TMD does not support the hypothesis that cholesterol regulation is a purely lipidic phenomenon, like the membrane destabilizing effect of cholesterol on PC/PE planar membranes in the absence of sphingomyelin (Cullis and de Kruijff, 1979), which leads to rupture and fusion pore opening.

To address the second point, we note that fusion protein GP64-expressing Sf9 cells form an expanding pore when fusing to RBC (Plonsky and Zimmerberg, 1996). Thus, cholesterol is not initially needed in the cell expanding HA to obtain expanding fusion pores using the same Sf9 cell line; presumably cholesterol equilibrates rapidly once an initial fusion intermediate such as the stalk forms. Between Sf9 cells, only with cholesterol enrichment does one obtain expanding fusion pores. Moreover, in mammalian cells, which have ~40 mole % cholesterol, HA-mediated pores are exclusively of the expanding type (Spruce et al., 1989; Zimmerberg et al., 1994; Melikyan et al., 1995; Frolov et al., 2003), whereas GPI-HA pores are stunted. Thus, the interaction of the HA TMD with cholesterol may be important in determining the mechanism of cholesterol action. Indeed, point mutations on the TMD of HA affect the detergent solubility and intracellular trafficking of HA (Simons and Ikonen, 1997; Lin et al., 1998; Scheiffele et al., 1997, 1999).

Cholesterol as a Modulator of Membrane Phase Behavior
The fact that cholesterol increases all quantifiable parameters of membrane fusion, including kinetics, is also consistent with a role of cholesterol to increase the mobility of HA in the plane of the membrane. There are two ways this could happen: (1) a decrease in fluid membrane viscosity with increasing membrane cholesterol and (2) a change in the phase behavior of the cell membrane, to increase the fraction of lipids that are fluid. In support of the second possibility, recent NMR data from the influenza envelope shows a considerable fraction of the lipids to be in the gel phase at room temperature, coexisting with liquid ordered and disordered lipids (Polozov et al., 2008). In fact, decreasing cholesterol in this system reversibly increased the fraction of gel lipids at room temperature. Thus the HA trimers that form the initial fusion pore by scaffolding lipids may not be able to move radially outward to allow the fusion pore to expand.

Conclusion
We have shown that cholesterol promotes both lipid transfer (hemi-fusion) and fusion pore expansion in the cell–cell membrane fusion mediated by influenza HA. The cholesterol effect requires a complete TMD and optimal pH. We hypothesize that cholesterol promotes fusion pore expansion by (a) virtue of its negative intrinsic curvature and (b) the same specific cholesterol/lipid/HA interactions that mediate the 1–10-nm scale clustering of HA in the plane of the membrane (Hess et al., 2005), the mobility of HA in fibroblasts (Hess et al., 2007), and the phase behavior of the influenza envelope (Polozov et al., 2008). These structural forces act at the fusion stage of viral invasion to facilitate fusion pore widening.
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Assay Resolutions
One question that arises is whether there is sufficient sensitivity to detect newly appearing fluorescence of our content probe during the observed times. If the increase in fluorescence is due to flux of dye through the pore and diffusion to the HAS lumen, one can calculate the time dye should take to redistribute in the ~15.5-μm radius HAS cell (estimated from capacitance) and whether the calculated distribution time is comparable to our time resolution of detected changes in fluorescence. From Einstein’s relation, the time required for redistribution of aqueous dye in an HAS cell is \( t = \frac{(r)^2}{6D} \) (Hille, 1992), where \( r \) is the radius of an HAS cell and \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient of CF. Inserting \( r = 15.5 \text{ μm} \) and \( D = 4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} \) for CF in water (Brink and Raman, 1985), \( t \) is ~100 ms for CF. In our experimental setup, the fluorescence change above background noise can be detected with a time resolution (Fig. 6 A) for recording fusion events in the range of seconds. Thus we have sufficient sensitivity to detect a fluorescence change within our experimental times.

Image Acquisition and Data Analysis
Fluorescence images (video) of low pH–triggered dye transfer form labeled RBC to HAS cells were recorded with Cascade 512b camera (Roper Scientific, exposure time 10 ms, binning 4; 30,000 frames). Image frames were captured using IPLab 3.6 software (Scanalytic Inc.). Time zero in the video is the pH pulse. Beginning of the videos were clipped to show the difference qualitatively near the end of fusion reaction.

Cholesterol Affects Fusion Phenotype and/or Extent of Fusion
There was a difference in the extent of fusion between untreated and cholesterol pairs. To show the difference qualitatively near the end of the fusion reaction, a sequence of the video is presented on the website. In untreated pairs the RBC did not completely fuse with the HAS cell membrane with full content (CF) mixing. Thus the two distinct compartments (HAS and RBC) remained separate throughout the experiment, some amount of dye retained in the RBC could be seen at the end of the acquisition (Video 1, control).

With increased cholesterol, not only did bound RBC completely release their lumenal contents to HAS cells, but the membrane of the target RBC flattened and completely collapsed into the curve of the cholesterol-enriched HAS cell membrane, suggesting syncytium formation after complete opening of the omega-figured fusion pore (Video 2, cholesterol). Thus the presence of excess cholesterol allowed the fusion pathway to go to completion. Thus the effect of cholesterol on the fusion pore is on the late phases of pore expansion.