Position and Role of the BK Channel α Subunit S0 Helix Inferred from Disulfide Crosslinking
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The position and role of the unique N-terminal transmembrane (TM) helix, S0, in large-conductance, voltage- and calcium-activated potassium (BK) channels are undetermined. From the extents of intra-subunit, endogenous disulfide bond formation between cysteines substituted for the residues just outside the membrane domain, we infer that the extracellular flank of S0 is surrounded on three sides by the extracellular flanks of TM helices S1 and S2 and the four-residue extracellular loop between S3 and S4. Eight different double cysteine–substituted alphas, each with one cysteine in the S0 flank and one in the S3–S4 loop, were at least 90% disulfide cross-linked. Two of these alphas formed channels in which 90% cross-linking had no effect on the V50 or on the activation and deactivation rate constants. This implies that the extracellular ends of S0, S3, and S4 are close in the resting state and move in concert during voltage sensor activation. The association of S0 with the gating charge bearing S3 and S4 could contribute to the considerably larger electrostatic energy required to activate the BK channel compared with typical voltage-gated potassium channels with six TM helices.

INTRODUCTION

The large-conductance, voltage and calcium (Ca2+)–activated K+ channel (BK, maxi-K, slo, KCNMA1) is expressed in many cell types, including smooth muscle and neurons. BK channel is opened by a rise in intracellular Ca2+ and by depolarization. The outward K+ flux conducted by the BK channel moves the membrane potential in the hyperpolarizing direction, suppressing activation of voltage-dependent channels. To the extent that an increase in intracellular Ca2+ concentration is due to the activation of voltage-gated Ca2+ channels, the BK channel is a negative feedback regulator of cytoplasmic Ca2+ concentration.

The BK channel pore is formed by a tetramer of α subunits. BK α is homologous to the pore-forming subunit of other voltage-gated K+ channels, as can be seen, for example, in its alignment with K1.2 and KAP (Fig. 1A), the structures of which have been determined to high resolution (Jiang et al., 2003; Long et al., 2005). All voltage-dependent K+ channels have six transmembrane (TM) helices, S1–S6. The BK α subunit has an additional TM helix, S0, which is N terminal to S1–S6. (Wallner et al., 1996; Meera et al., 1997). Where S0 is located relative to S1–S6 in the folded structure of BK α has not previously been examined.

Previous work has implicated S0 in the expression and function of the channel. Transfection of cells with BK lacking S0 yielded neither functional (Wallner et al., 1996; Morrow et al., 2006) nor biochemically detectable channels on the cell surface (unpublished data). The α mutants F25W, L26W, and S29W, which are on the same side of the predicted S0 helix, yielded channels with rightward shifted conductance–voltage (G–V) curves compared with wild-type (WT) α (Koval et al., 2007). In addition, replacement of S0 and the preceding N-terminal residues of human BK α with the comparable residues in Drosophila BK α interfered with the functional modulation of this chimera by human α1 subunit (Wallner et al., 1996).

We used disulfide cross-linking to determine the relative proximities of S0 to the other TM helices in α and to determine the effects on channel function of tethering S0 to its neighboring TM helices. We substituted cysteine (Cys) for the first four residues we predicted would just flank the extracellular end of the membrane-embedded portions of the TM helices and expressed 47 double-Cys α mutants containing one Cys flanking S0 and another Cys flanking one of the other TM helices. We determined both the extent of endogenous disulfide
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bond formation (in the absence of added oxidizing agents) in a heterologously expressed in HEK293 cells and trafficked to the cell surface and the functional effects of the cross-links. We conclude that the extracellular end of S0 is centrally positioned among the extracellular ends of the voltage sensor domain helices, S1–S4, and is particularly close to the extracellular ends of S3 and S4 in both the resting and active states of the voltage sensor.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cross-linker**

In most experiments, no oxidizing agent was added to the cells. In experiments to test the susceptibility of two Cys on the cell surface to reform a disulfide after reduction, we used the doubly charged oxidant, 4,4′-(azodicarbonyl)-bis-[1,1-dimethylpiperazenium, diodide] (quaternary piperazenium diiodide (QPD)) (Kotowier et al., 1974), the synthesis of which was based on that of 4,4′-(azodicarbonyl)-bis-[1-methylpiperazide] (Bose et al., 1984). In brief, N-methylpiperazone was added slowly to a solution of 0.5 equivalent of diethyl diazenedicarboxylate in petroleum ether: ether (3:1) at 0°C. After 4 h stirring at room temperature, the product was filtered and crystallized from hexane/benzene as golden needles. It was quaternized by treatment with excess methyl iodide in acetonitrile at room temperature to yield QPD as a yellow-orange solid. The structure was confirmed by NMR (1H NMR[DMSO-d6, 400 MHz] [ppm] 3.97 [m, 4H], 3.83 [m, 4H], 3.57 [m, 4H], 3.52 [m, 4H], 3.19 [s, 12H]); the purity was >98%.

**Constructs**

Mutants of the BKα subunit (mSlo1, KCNMA1, GenBank/EMBL/DBJ accession no. NM_010610) were generated by site-directed mutagenesis using QuikChange XL (Stratagene). The DDBJ accession no. NM_010610) were generated by site-directed mutagenesis (Fig. 1A). The FLAG epitope (DYKDDDDK) and a NMB1 subunit was cloned by RT-PCR from murine smooth muscle HEK293 cells were cultured and transfected as before (Morrow et al., 2006). After 48 h, the cells were collected, washed, and biotinylated with 2 mM sulfoNHS-LC-biotin (Pierce Chemical Co.) for 2 min at 4 °C. Biotinylated proteins were captured on Neutravidin beads, which were washed and eluted, also as above. There was no cleavage step. Samples were size fractionated on SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose, and immunoblotted with anti-BKα antibody. The extents of S0 to S5 and S0 to S6 cross-linking were determined by the density of the dimer band at 250 kD divided by the sum of the densities of the bands at 125 kD and 250 kD. Similar results were obtained by including the densities of the lanes around the expected positions of trimer (375 kD) and of tetramer (500 kD).

**Quantitating Intersubunit Cross-linking**

The amounts of DNA required to yield equivalent coexpression of a single-Cys mutant in S0 and a single-Cys mutant in S5 or S6 were determined based on quantitative immunoblotting. The single-Cys mutants were coexpressed in HEK293 cells. After 48 h, the cell surface proteins were biotinylated, and the cells were lysed as above. Biotinylated proteins were captured on Neutraidin beads, which were washed and eluted, also as above. There was no cleavage step. Samples were size fractionated on SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose, and immunoblotted with anti-BKα antibody. The extents of S0 to S5 and S0 to S6 cross-linking were determined by the density of the dimer band at 250 kD divided by the sum of the densities of the bands at 125 kD and 250 kD. Similar results were obtained by including the densities of the lanes around the expected positions of trimer (375 kD) and of tetramer (500 kD).

**Comparing the Functional Effects of Cross-linking**

G-V curves and the activation and deactivation kinetics were determined with inside-out and outside-out macropatch experiments, as previously described (Zakharov et al., 2005; Morrow et al., 2006). The bath solution for inside-out patches and the pipette solution for outside-out patches contained (in mM): 158 KCl, 5 TES, 5 EGTA, pH 7.0, with appropriate [Ca2+]i calculated using the program MaxChelator (maxchelator.stanford.edu). The pipette solution for inside-out patches and the bath solution for outside-out patches contained (in mM): 158 KCl, 5 TES, 1 MgCl2, pH 7.0, except during reduction, when the pH was 8.0. We focused on two types of functional effects of mutations and cross-linking. The effect on V0 was calculated as ΔV0 = V0 mut − V0 wt/HRV. The effects on the rate constants of opening and closing were calculated as log(kmut/kwt/HRV). The rationale is that
predominate over the effect of the replacement of the native residues. Based on these assumptions, what the functional effects would be if the Cys were 100% cross-linked.

Let \( \delta \) be an effect, either \( \Delta V_{50} \) or \( \log(k_{\text{MUT}}/k_{\text{pWT-HRV}}) \). We define \( \delta_1 \) = the observed effect of the first single Cys mutation; \( \delta_2 \) = the observed effect of the second single Cys mutation; \( \delta_{12} \) = the effect of the double Cys mutation before disulfide bond formation; \( \delta_{SC} \) = the effect of the double Cys mutation, with the two Cys completely disulfide cross-linked, which is to be calculated; \( \epsilon \) = the observed effect of the double Cys mutation, cross-linked to the fractional extent, \( x \), determined by Western blotting.

Assuming linear addition of the effect on each \( \alpha \) in the tetrameric channel complex, the observed effect of the double Cys mutation is the sum of the effect of the cross-linked Cys and the effect of the noncross-linked (i.e., reduced) Cys:

\[
\epsilon = x\delta_{SC} + (1-x)\delta_{12}.
\]

(1)

Assuming that \( \delta_{12} = \delta_1 + \delta_2 \), we get

\[
\epsilon = (1-x)(\delta_1 + \delta_2) + x\delta_{NS},
\]

(2)

and rearranging, we get

\[
\delta_{SS} = (\epsilon - (1-x)(\delta_1 + \delta_2))/x.
\]

(3)

**Figure 1.** Mouse BK \( \alpha \). (A) The N-terminal 333 residues of BK \( \alpha \) are shown in bold letters. The predicted seven TM helices, S0–S6, and the pore helix are underlined and labeled. Predicted extracellular residues are shown in upper case and the rest in lower case. The extracellular residues that we mutated to Cys are shown in reverse contrast. These Cys substitutions were made in a pseudo-wild-type (pWT) construct, the sequence of which was altered as indicated in boxes above the wild-type sequence (see Materials and methods). We used ClustalW to align BK \( \alpha \) residues 106–333, which contain S1–S6, with K\( \alpha \)P residues 31–260 and K.1.2 residues 97–387. (B) Scheme of the threading of BK \( \alpha \) through the membrane. The locations of the changes we made in wild-type \( \alpha \) to generate pseudo-wild-type were predicted originally by Wallner et al. (1996); the PHDhtm algorithm (Rost et al., 1995) predicted similar boundaries of the TM helices. Furthermore, the predicted BK S1–S6 helices align with the homologous S1–S6 of K\( \alpha \)P (Jiang et al., 2003) and K.1.2 (Long et al., 2005) (Fig. 1 A), although the boundaries of the membrane-embedded domains are not precisely defined in these channels crystallized from detergent solution.

**RESULTS**

**Mutant \( \alpha \) Subunits**

Our approach was to mutate to Cys at least the first four residues just extracellular to the membrane domain of each TM helix. The TM helices in BK \( \alpha \) were predicted by Wallner et al. (1996); the PHDhtm algorithm (Rost et al., 1995) predicted similar boundaries of the TM helices. Furthermore, the predicted BK S1–S6 helices align with the homologous S1–S6 of K\( \alpha \)P (Jiang et al., 2003) and K.1.2 (Long et al., 2005) (Fig. 1 A), although the boundaries of the membrane-embedded domains are not precisely defined in these channels crystallized from detergent solution.

BK \( \alpha \) contains two extracellular Cys, Cys14 and Cys141, which we mutated to Ala to generate our background construct, pseudo-wild-type (pWT) \( \alpha \). In addition, we inserted a human rhinovirus-3C (HRV-3C) protease cleavage site between S0 and S1 (Fig. 1) to permit the detection of intrasubunit cross-links (Wolin and Kaback, 2000). The construct with the HRV-3C site we call pWT-HRV, the functional characteristics of which are identical to WT (see below). In this background, we substituted Cys for the four residues flanking S0, S1, and S2, and...
Obtained, a 15-kD N-terminal fragment and a 110-kD C-terminal fragment, as shown schematically (Fig. 2A) and experimentally (Fig. 2B). The extent of intrasubunit cross-linking was quantitated as described in the Materials and methods.

To quantitate the extent of intrasubunit cross-linking, we cleaved the biotinylated and Neutravidin-bound subunit and fragments of subunit were eluted from the Neutravidin beads in SDS, and a portion of the sample was reduced with DTT. Unreduced and reduced samples were electrophoresed and immunoblotted with an antibody against the C terminus of subunit. We quantitated the relative amounts of the 125-kD and the 110-kD species by measuring the chemiluminescence generated by a secondary HRP-tagged antibody (Fig. 2B). We adjusted the conditions of cleavage by HRV-3C protease so that there was no cleavage of pWT, which does not contain an HRV-3C cleavage site (Fig. 2B, i). Under these conditions, pWT-HRV was cleaved by HRV-3C protease and is unaffected by DTT. (ii) Control showing that the mobility of pWT α is not affected either by DTT (lane 1) or by HRV-3C protease followed by DTT (lane 2). (iii) α with an HRV site is cleaved by HRV-3C protease and is unaffected by DTT. (iii) α in which the native pair, Cys14 and Cys141, is endogenously cross-linked, as shown by the preponderance of the 125-kD band after protease treatment (lane 1) and the loss of this band after protease and DTT (lane 2). In panels iv, v, and vi are shown the endogenous cross-linking of three additional pairs of Cys, one Cys in each case in S0 and one in S1 (iv), S2 (v), or S3–S4 (vi). At the bottom of each gel is the fraction of α cross-linked corrected for the efficiency of cleavage (see Materials and methods).

Two of the four residues in the loop between S3 and S4. In addition, in the pWT α background, we substituted Cys for eight residues flanking S5 and six residues flanking S6. Among all the possible combinations, we tested 47 double-Cys mutants, in which one Cys was in the flank of S0 and one Cys was in flank of one of the other helices. We focused our analysis on cell surface channels, assuming that only well-folded and assembled α tetramers were trafficked to the surface membrane. We selected these channels by biotinylating the surface proteins in intact cells with the relatively impermeant sulfoNHS-LC-biotin and by capturing the biotinylated channels on avidin beads after cell lysis. The efficiencies of transfection and cell surface expression of the double-Cys α mutants involving S0–S4 and of pWT α were similar.

Quantitating Intrasubunit Cross-links
The α subunit has an apparent molecular mass of ~125 kD (Knaus et al., 1995). Intersubunit disulfide cross-links would generate dimers, trimers, or tetramers of 250, 375, and 500 kD, respectively. By contrast, α with an intrasubunit disulfide cross-link between S0 and another helix had no change in mass and no detectable change in electrophoretic mobility. In the presence of a disulfide between S0 and another helix, HRV-3C cleavage between S0 and S1 also did not change the mass or the mobility (Fig. 2B). After both HRV-3C cleavage and reduction of the disulfide, however, two fragments are obtained, a 15-kD N-terminal fragment and a 110-kD C-terminal fragment, as shown schematically (Fig. 2A) and experimentally (Fig. 2B). The extent of intrasubunit cross-linking was quantitated as described in the Materials and methods.

To quantitate the extent of intrasubunit cross-linking, we cleaved the biotinylated and Neutravidin-bound α with HRV-3C protease. The α subunit and fragments of α were eluted from the Neutravidin beads in SDS, and a portion of the sample was reduced with DTT. Unreduced and reduced samples were electrophoresed and immunoblotted with an antibody against the C terminus of α. We quantitated the relative amounts of the 125-kD and the 110-kD species by measuring the chemiluminescence generated by a secondary HRP-tagged antibody (Fig. 2B). We adjusted the conditions of cleavage by HRV-3C protease so that there was no cleavage of pWT α, which does not contain a HRV-3C cleavage site (Fig. 2B, i). Under these conditions, pWT-HRV was cleaved by HRV-3C protease ~70% (Fig. 2B, ii). In each experiment, the efficiency of cleavage was determined and was used in the calculation of the extent of cross-linking (see Materials and methods).

An Extracellular Disulfide in Native α
We tested in wild-type α modified only with the HRV-3C site whether the two extracellular, wild-type Cys, namely Cys14, seven residues N terminal to S0, and Cys141, seven residues N terminal to S2, form a disulfide bond.
There was extensive endogenous, intrasubunit disulfide-bond formation (Fig. 2 B, iii). We conclude that in WT BK α, the extracellular, N-terminal tail preceding S0 is tethered to the loop between S1 and S2 by a disulfide bridge between Cys14 and Cys141.

Disulphides Formed in Double-Cys Mutants of α

Cys in the S0 flank readily cross-linked to Cys in the S1 flank (Fig. 2 B, iv), in the S2 flank (Fig. 2 B, v), and in the S3–S4 loop (Fig. 2 B, vi) (see Fig. S1 for more examples and Table S1 for complete quantitation, available at http://www.jgp.org/cgi/content/full/jgp.200809968/DC1). Among the Cys flanking S1 and S2, the Cys substituted in the residues immediately flanking S0 and the short loop between S3 and S4.

The patterns of intrahelix cross-linking between S0 and S1, S2 and S3–S4 in α expressed alone (Fig. 3, A–C) were nearly the same in α coexpressed with WT 1 (Table S1). In six double-Cys mutants that we tested functionally, we found that coexpressed β1 associated with the cross-linked α, based on β1 modulation of the conductance–voltage (G-V) curve (see below), but we do not know whether that association preceded disulfide bond formation in α.

Absence of Disulfide Formation between the Extracellular Flanks of S0 and S5 or S6

We tested the susceptibility to disulfide formation of Cys substituted in the extracellular flank of S0 and Cys substituted in the extracellular flanks of S1–S6. (A) S0 flank and S1 flank; (B) S0 flank and S2 flank; (C) S0 flank and S3–S4 loop; (D) S0 flank and S5 flank; and (E) S0 flank and S6 flank. The four residues substituted by Cys in the S0 flank are indicated along the front edge of the base, and the residues substituted by Cys in the paired flank are indicated along the right edge of the base. The extent of disulfide bond formation is represented by bars in the y-direction, placed at the intersection of the lines from the paired Cys-substituted residues. In the cases in which the mean extent of disulfide bond formation was zero, the value 0.5% was plotted to identify these pairs as tested. Pairs that were not tested lead to empty intersections. Except for S3–S4, the residues closest to the membrane are closest to the front, right corner of the base. For the S3–S4 loop, L199 is closest to the predicted membrane domain of S3, and S202 is closest to the predicted membrane domain of S4. (F) Proposed location of the extracellular end of S0 relative to the extracellular ends of S1–S6, consistent with the extents of disulfide bond formation. We have taken a model of the structure of BK α S1–S6. In this en face view, each of the four α subunits is rendered in a distinct color. We have marked the extracellular end of each TM helix with a numbered circle in the same color as the subunit. The extracellular end of S0 is indicated as a circle marked with a zero. Its position is consistent with the cross-linking results in A–E. We have taken the means of the top three extents of cross-linking in each of the panels A–E as a measure of the relative proximity between the segments: the mean extent of cross-linking from S0 to S1 was 66 ± 20%; from S0 to S2, 52 ± 11%; from S0 to S3–S4, 96 ± 0%; from S0 to S5, 8 ± 1%; and from S0 to S6, 2 ± 1%.

Figure 3. Mean extents of endogenous disulfide-bond formation between Cys substituted in the extracellular flank of S0 and Cys substituted in the extracellular flanks of S1–S6. (A) S0 flank and S1 flank; (B) S0 flank and S2 flank; (C) S0 flank and S3–S4 loop; (D) S0 flank and S5 flank; and (E) S0 flank and S6 flank. The four residues substituted by Cys in the S0 flank are indicated along the front edge of the base, and the residues substituted by Cys in the paired flank are indicated along the right edge of the base. The extent of disulfide bond formation is represented by bars in the y-direction, placed at the intersection of the lines from the paired Cys-substituted residues. In the cases in which the mean extent of disulfide bond formation was zero, the value 0.5% was plotted to identify these pairs as tested. Pairs that were not tested lead to empty intersections. Except for S3–S4, the residues closest to the membrane are closest to the front, right corner of the base. For the S3–S4 loop, L199 is closest to the predicted membrane domain of S3, and S202 is closest to the predicted membrane domain of S4. (F) Proposed location of the extracellular end of S0 relative to the extracellular ends of S1–S6, consistent with the extents of disulfide bond formation. We have taken a model of the structure of BK α S1–S6. In this en face view, each of the four α subunits is rendered in a distinct color. We have marked the extracellular end of each TM helix with a numbered circle in the same color as the subunit. The extracellular end of S0 is indicated as a circle marked with a zero. Its position is consistent with the cross-linking results in A–E. We have taken the means of the top three extents of cross-linking in each of the panels A–E as a measure of the relative proximity between the segments: the mean extent of cross-linking from S0 to S1 was 66 ± 20%; from S0 to S2, 52 ± 11%; from S0 to S3–S4, 96 ± 0%; from S0 to S5, 8 ± 1%; and from S0 to S6, 2 ± 1%.
We tested Cys substituted for the first eight residues flanking S5 and for four residues among six flanking S6. There was <8% cross-linking among any of the 32 different combinations of S0 and S5 Cys mutants (Fig. 3 D) and among any of the 16 combinations of S0 and S6 Cys mutants (Fig. 3 E; also Table S1). To control for possible interference with the cross-linking by Cys277 in the pore helix (Fig. 1 A), we also retested all of these combinations in a background in which Cys277 was mutated to Ala (Savalli et al., 2006), none of which showed any more cross-linking than previously seen (unpublished data). It is likely that the extracellular end of S0 is not close to the extracellular ends of S5 and S6.

We tested Cys substituted for the first eight residues flanking S5 and for four residues among six flanking S6. There was <8% cross-linking among any of the 32 different combinations of S0 and S5 Cys mutants (Fig. 3 D) and among any of the 16 combinations of S0 and S6 Cys mutants (Fig. 3 E; also Table S1). To control for possible interference with the cross-linking by Cys277 in the pore helix (Fig. 1 A), we also retested all of these combinations in a background in which Cys277 was mutated to Ala (Savalli et al., 2006), none of which showed any more cross-linking than previously seen (unpublished data). It is likely that the extracellular end of S0 is not close to the extracellular ends of S5 and S6.
Because the double Cys mutants were endogenously cross-linked to different extents, as we determined above, the observed functional characteristics were a composite of the characteristics of channels composed of uncross-linked and of cross-linked subunits in varying proportions. To compare the functional effects of the different cross-links, we normalized (see Materials and methods) the functional effects to 100% cross-linking (Fig. 5).

It was notable that two cross-links between S0 and S3–S4, R20C to L199C and R17C to R201C, had almost no effect on the \( V_{50} \) or on the rate constants for activation and deactivation (Fig. 5, E–H; Fig. S2), implying that these residues are close in the resting, activated, and transitions states. Other cross-links between S0 and S3–S4 did have significant effects on \( V_{50} \) or gating kinetics. The cross-links between R17C, G18C, and Q19C, in the S0 flank, and L199C, in the S3–S4 loop, all caused a rightward shift in the G-V curves (Fig. 5, E), indicating that more electrostatic energy was needed to activate the channel; i.e., the closed state was stabilized relative to the open state. By contrast, cross-links between Q19C or R20C and R201C caused a leftward shift in the G-V curve and hence stabilized the open state relative to the closed state (Fig. 5, G). The shifts were similar in 0 and 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) Ca\(^{2+} \), implying that the cross-links did not affect the dependence of \( V_{50} \) on Ca\(^{2+} \).

Consistent with the above shifts in \( V_{50} \) were the changes in activation and deactivation kinetics. For all S0 Cys to S3–S4 cross-links that caused a rightward shift in \( V_{50} \), the rate constants for activation were slowed more than the rate constants for deactivation (Fig. 5, E and F). In contrast, for the pairs between S0 and R201C that showed a leftward shift in \( V_{50} \), the rate constants for deactivation were slowed more than the rate constants for activation (Fig. 5, G and H).

All cross-links from the S0 flank to the S1 flank and from the S0 flank to the S2 flank resulted in effects on...
turbations do not completely disrupt channel function and that the extents of endogenous cross-linking are moderately high indicate that the Cys involved, and the native residues they replaced, are not far apart in either the resting or the activated states of the voltage sensor.

Reducing and Reforming Disulfides on the Cell Surface

In three double-Cys mutants with large $\Delta V_{50}$, we tested by reduction of the disulfide on the cell surface whether the functional changes were due to disulfide bond formation per se or to the effects of the mutations (Fig. 6). We exposed outside-out patches to 20 mM DTT at pH 8.0 for 5–10 min (Fig. 6, A–D). DTT shifted the G-V curves back toward the G-V curves of the single Cys mutants, leftward in R17C-P137C (Fig. 6, B and E) and rightward in R20C-R201C (Fig. 6, D and E). In R20C-F144C (Fig. 6, C and E), DTT shifted the G-V curve rightward toward the G-V curve of the single mutant, F144C, the only single mutant the function of which was significantly different than that of pWT-HRV (Fig. 4 E). DTT did not affect the G-V curve of pWT-HRV (Fig. 6 A). Also, in no case did DTT increase the maximum tail currents at $80\, \text{mV}$, from which we conclude that there was no pool of channels held in a nonfunctional state by disulfide cross-linking.

One criterion of the near-native structure of cross-linked double-Cys mutant was that they were transported to the cell surface. Another criterion would be whether the disulfide cross-link could be reduced and reoxidized at the cell surface. For reoxidation we used a membrane-impermeant, novel bis-quaternary-ammonium diamide derivative (QPD) (Fig. 7 A), which, like diamide, can induce disulfide bond formation. QPD did not induce cross-linking of the single-Cys mutants (unpublished data). We tested the reformation by QPD of the disulfide between G18C and L199C and between R20C and R201C (Fig. 7 B), two pairs that were almost completely cross-linked endogenously and in which the cross-link had measurable functional effects. In both cases, DTT reduced, and QPD reformed, the disulfides.

We also determined whether QPD could restore the functional effects of cross-linking G18C-L199C and R20C-R201C (Fig. 7 C). In G18C-L199C, endogenous cross-linking shifted the G-V curve to the right relative to pWT-HRV (Fig. 5 E); DTT shifted it to the left; and QPD shifted it back to the right (Fig. 7 C). In R20C-R201C, endogenous cross-linking shifted the G-V curve to the left relative to pWT-HRV (Fig. 5 G). DTT shifted the G-V curve to the right relative to that of the unreduced mutant, and QPD shifted the curve back toward that of the endogenously cross-linked $\alpha$ (Fig. 7 C). QPD did not affect the $V_{50}$ of the single mutants (Fig. 7 D). Thus, for these two mutants, the cross-link could be reduced and reformed at the cell surface with similar effects on $V_{50}$ to those resulting from endogenous cross-linking.

Figure 7. Reduction and reformation of disulfides. (A) Structure of 4,4’-(azodicarbonyl)-bis-[1,1-dimethylpiperazinium, diiodide] (QPD). (B) Reduction and reoxidation of the disulfide between G18C and L199C (left) and R20C and R201C (right). The intact cells were surface biotinylated, reduced with DTT, and oxidized for 6 min with 40 $\mu$M QPD. The extent of cross-linking was estimated from each pair of lanes. (C) Functional effects of reduction and reoxidation: G-V curve in outside-out macropatches from cells expressing the mutant $\alpha$ G18C-L199C (left) and R20C-R201C (right). The cells were treated with 20 mM DTT, pH 8.0, for 20 min, before pulling the patch. After first G-V curve, the patch was exposed to 40 $\mu$M QPD and the effects monitored with 1-s ramps (−100 to +100 mV) from a holding potential of −80 mV, with 5-s intervals at −80 mV. When the reaction was complete, a second G-V curve was determined. The G-V curve from a different cell expressing the same double-Cys mutant that was neither reduced nor reoxidized is shown as a dashed line. The pipet contained 10 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$. (D) The mean change in $V_{50}$ induced by QPD acting on cells expressing the double-Cys mutants, R20C-R201C and G18C-L199C, and each of the single-Cys mutants, from records such as in C. The means, standard errors, and $n$ are shown.

$V_{50}$ or on the kinetics of activation and deactivation (Fig. 5, A–D; Fig. S2). These effects could reflect the cross-linking of Cys substituted for residues that in the native structure are in different positions in the different states of the voltage sensor, or the effects could reflect a perturbation of a static part of the structure that in turn affects parts that do move. Again, that these perturbations do not completely disrupt channel function and that the extents of endogenous cross-linking are moderately high indicate that the Cys involved, and the native residues they replaced, are not far apart in either the resting or the activated states of the voltage sensor.
DISCUSSION

Disulfide Cross-linking

Our approach to locating S0 among the other TM helices, S1–S6, was to mutate to Cys, one at a time, at least the first four residues just extracellular to the membrane domain of the TM helices. In the polar juxtamembrane environment, the Cys thiol can ionize to the reactive thiolate and is accessible to oxidizing reagents and oxidizing enzymes. Furthermore, Cys just outside the membrane domain of different TM helices are more or less in the same plane parallel to the membrane. Because at least four consecutive positions are mutated, all directions parallel to the membrane are sampled, whatever the local secondary structure, and in neighboring segments at least some substituted Cys are likely to be pointing toward each other and susceptible to disulfide bond formation.

The induction of disulfide bond formation between substituted Cys has been widely used to infer the structure and structural dynamics of proteins (Bass et al., 2007). In Shaker K+ channels, disulfide bond formation between substituted Cys has provided evidence for the proximity between the extracellular end of S4 of one subunit and the extracellular end of S5 of an adjacent subunit (Laine et al., 2003; Elliott et al., 2004), an arrangement that is compatible with the model in Fig. 3F. Also in Shaker, cross-linking was obtained between the extracellular end of S4 and positions approximately six residues from the extracellular ends of S1 and S2 (Campos et al., 2007).

Even though the centers of the sulfur atoms in a disulfide are only 3 Å apart, disulfide bond formation has been induced between Cys SH known to be 15 Å apart in the crystal structure of a protein (Butler and Falke, 1996). This is consistent with the fluctuating nature of protein structures, where even some moderately distant residues have finite probability of colliding, the shorter the distance, the greater the probability. Based on this, the relative proximities of cysteines have been inferred from the relative rate constants for disulfide bond formation (Bass et al., 2007). Distance, however, is not the only determinant of the rate constant for disulfide bond formation. Structural flexibility and the likelihood of overcoming steric hindrance and of achieving the correct orientation for reaction may differ in different parts of a protein. The electrostatic environment also plays a role in at least two ways: it affects the pK_a of the cysteine thiol (the thiolate is the reactive species), and it affects the collision probability of the charged reactants. At the water-accessible surface of a protein, these effects are modest in the absence of a local, high concentration of positive or negative side chains (Hansen et al., 2005).

We determined the extent of endogenous disulfide bond formation in intact cells. No oxidizing agent was added. Endogenous disulfide formation of a protein targeted to the cell membrane can be due to uncatalyzed Cys thiol oxidation by dissolved O_2 (i.e., spontaneous disulfide formation) or to catalytic oxidation by protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) homologues in the ER (Wilkinson and Gilbert, 2004), by secreted PDI homologues (Jiang et al., 1999), or by secreted oxidases (Becker et al., 2006). Endogenous disulfide bond formation between juxtamembrane Cys in membrane proteins has been observed (Whitley et al., 1993; Jansen and Akabas, 2006; Iscla et al., 2007). For membrane-spanning proteins, only Cys in the extracytoplasmic domain or close to the exofacial lipid–water interface are substrates for PDI homologues (Luo et al., 2004; Lu et al., 2006; Wilkinson and Gilbert, 2004).

The mechanism of PDI and its homologues provides a rationale for relating the extent of disulfide bond formation to the proximity of the Cys involved. These enzymes make and break disulfides repeatedly in the context of chaperone-enabled refolding, so that at the end of the process the disulfides formed are the most stable ones possible (Wilkinson and Gilbert, 2004). It seems likely that if there are only two extracellular Cys accessible in the target protein, then the extent of disulfide formation should depend on the stability of this target disulfide relative to the stability of the disulfide between the two Cys in the catalytic site of the PDI homologue. Thus, the stability of the PDI catalytic site disulfide provides a baseline. Like the rate constant for disulfide bond formation discussed above, the stability of the target disulfide depends on the proximity of the two Cys in a stable structure of the protein. The stability of the disulfide-bonded structure will depend on the differences in both electrostatic and nonelectrostatic terms in the free energy difference between the disulfide-bonded structure and the structures with free cysteines. We sought to determine the average proximity of short segments of the BK ð by testing multiple Cys pairs to average out the effects of relative orientation and local electrostatics.

We quantitated the extent of endogenous disulfide bond formation in α trafficked to the plasmalemma in intact cells. We assume that 48 h after transfection when the cells were harvested, the surface densities at the cell surface of reduced and oxidized α species were in a steady state. In the steady state, the extent of cross-linking, xEX, of α on the cell surface is given by

\[ x_{\text{EX}} = x_{\text{IN}} + (1 - x_{\text{IN}}) \left( \frac{k_{\text{OX}}}{k_{\text{OX}} + k_{\text{IN}}} \right), \]

in which \( x_{\text{IN}} \) is the extent of cross-linking of α in the intracellular pool feeding the cell surface, \( k_{\text{OX}} \) is the overall rate constant for both spontaneous and catalyzed disulfide-bond formation of α on the cell surface, and \( k_{\text{IN}} \) is the rate constant for endocytosis of α (see Appendix). \( x_{\text{IN}} \) is determined by the activity of the PDI.
homologues in the ER and by the argument above is a measure of proximity of the Cys. \( k_{\text{OX}} \) also depends on the proximity of the Cys thiols in the native structure of the protein in the plasmalemma. Thus, \( x_{\text{EX}} \) is a measure of proximity. Where \( x_{\text{IN}} \) is close to 1, or \( k_{\text{OX}} \ll k_{\text{IN}} \) (see Appendix),

\[
x_{\text{EX}} = x_{\text{IN}}.
\]

Placing the Extracellular End of S0 in a Model of \( \kappa_{1.2} \)

As a measure of the relative proximity of the extracellular flank of S0 to the flanks of S1–S6, we averaged the top three extents of cross-linking for each group (Fig. 3, A–E). In a model of BK \( \alpha \) based on a Rosetta model of \( \kappa_{1.2} \) in the closed state (Yarov-Yarovoy et al., 2006), we placed a circle representing the extracellular end of S0 in a location that satisfies qualitatively the relative proximities estimated from the mean extents of cross-linking and is consistent with the lack of cross-linking to S5 and S6 (Fig. 3 F). The extracellular end of S0 is in a cove three-quarters surrounded by the extracellular ends of S1–S4, closest to the short loop between S3 and S4.

Our inference is based not only on the high extent of endogenous cross-linking of the S0 flank to the S1 and S2 flanks and to the S3–S4 loop but also on the lack of significant cross-linking to the flanks of S5 and S6. We guarded against the possibility that this lack of cross-linking could be due to a misalignment normal to the membrane plane by testing Cys substituted in positions six (S6) and eight (S5) residues from the predicted extracellular side of the membrane (Fig. 3, D and E). There was a small extent of cross-linking of S0 to Cys five and eight residues from the membrane domain of S5 (Fig. 3 D), most likely a reflection of the flexibility of the S0 flank and the increased flexibility of the S5 flank some distance from the membrane. Could the mager extent of cross-linking of S0 to S5 and S6 be due to the suppression of the reactivity of the Cys due to local negatively charged residues? Although we do not know the exact three-dimensional structure of these regions in BK \( \alpha \), Asp and Glu are not concentrated in the sequences around the residues mutated to Cys (Fig. 1 A). There are as many negatively charged residues in or around the flanks of S1 and S2, which did cross-link to S0, as around the flank of S5, which did not cross-link to S0. Furthermore, the flank of S0 is positively charged, which should more than compensate for the effect of negatively charged residues around a Cys in another flank (Hansen et al., 2005).

Functional Effects of Cross-linking

Our initial purpose of looking at the functional effects of the cross-linking was to determine whether or not a cross-linked \( \alpha \) was in a near-native conformation. Our assumption was that near-normal function implies near-normal structure. We found that each of the 16 double-Cys mutants that we tested for function were expressed at the cell surface and were functional. Thus, the most highly cross-linked double-Cys mutants were functionally competent and had near-native structures.

Of course, the combination of the mutation of two native residues to Cys and the cross-linking of the Cys by a disulfide bond must perturb the native structure to some extent. What can we infer about the structural dynamics of the cross-linked segments from the functional effects of cross-linking? This question is easiest to answer for cross-links that form endogenously to a high extent and have no functional effects. Two cross-links between S0 and S3–S4, R20C to L199C and R17C to R201C, had almost no effect on the \( V_{50} \) or on the rate constants for activation and deactivation (Fig. 5, E–H; Fig. S2). Because the extents of endogenous cross-linking of these pairs were 96% and 88%, respectively, we conclude that the two Cys in each of these pairs, and the native residues that they replaced, are near neighbors in the resting state of the voltage sensor in which disulfide bond formation takes place. But they are also likely to be closely apposed in the activated state of the voltage sensor, because the cross-linked structures favor neither the open nor the closed state. If the cross-link stabilized either state, \( \Delta V_{50} \) would have been significantly different than zero, which it was not. Furthermore, the two Cys (and the native residues that they replaced) are unlikely to move relative to one another during the transitions between states, because the cross-linking of the substituted Cys has little effect on the kinetics of opening and closing. We conclude that the two Cys, and the residues that they replaced, are close neighbors in the closed, open, and transition states of the channel.

The native residues, R20 and L199, are predicted to be the first residues just flanking the membrane domains of S0 and S3, respectively. Thus, the adjacent membrane domains of S0 and S3 in both the deactivated/closed and activated/open states and in the transition states are also close. Similarly, R17C and R201C formed a cross-link between the S0 flank and the S3–S4 loop, two residues from the membrane domain of S4. It seems likely that the four residues flanking the extracellular end of S0 and the four-residue loop between S3 and S4 follow close, parallel trajectories, because all of the double-Cys mutants in these segments cross-linked nearly completely (Fig. 3 C; Table S1). Thus, to the extent that the extracellular ends of S3 and S4 move during activation and deactivation, the extracellular end of S0 moves with them. Some of the cross-links between the S0 flank and the S3–S4 loop do result in changes in \( V_{50} \) or in the rate constants of activation and deactivation (Fig. 5, E–H), which is consistent with some differences in the interface between these segments in the resting, transition, or activated states.

Whatever the interactions are between the S0 flank and the S3–S4 loop, their residues are not irreplaceable...
for the function of α alone, because deletion of the N-terminal extracellular residues, starting with R20, did not perturb the function of α; this deletion did, however, prevent the modulation of the V_50 by β1 (Morrow et al., 2006). Therefore, the effect of S0 on the properties of the BK voltage sensor must depend on interactions either with the S0 membrane domain, for which there is evidence (Koval et al., 2007), and/or with the intracellular S0–S1 loop.

Possible Effect of S0 on Voltage Sensor Properties

Compared with other voltage-gated K⁺ channels, BK channel V_{50} for both gating current and for opening are shifted to much more positive voltages. Although the BK channel has a smaller gating charge, the electrostatic energy for activating the voltage sensors is greater for the BK channel than that for other voltage-gated K⁺ channels. F × q_{gating} × V_{50} is 156 mV (Stefani et al., 1997; Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999; Bao and Cox, 2005); thus, the standard free energy difference per mole between the resting and the activated states. For the BK channel composed of α alone, q_{gating} is 0.55 charges per subunit and V_{50} is 156 mV (Stefani et al., 1997; Horrigan and Aldrich, 1999; Bao and Cox, 2005); thus, the standard free energy difference is 8.5 kJ/mol-subunit. For Kv1.2 (Scholle et al., 2004), Kv2.1 (Scholle et al., 2004), and Shaker (Schoppa et al., 1992), the standard free energy differences range from -3.6 to -15 kJ/mol-subunit. Thus, the standard free energy difference, activated state minus resting state, is 12 to 23 kJ per mole-subunit (2.9 to 5.5 kcal/mol-subunit) more positive in BK channel than in Kv1.2, Kv2.1, or Shaker channels. Niu et al. (2004) suggested that the cytoplasmic Ca^{2+}-dependent linker–gating ring complex exerts drag on the BK channel gate and the voltage sensor. Given the position of S0 in the midst of S1–S4 and its close proximity to S3 and S4, it seems possible that S0 also contributes to the stabilization of the resting state of the BK channel relative to other voltage-gated K⁺ channels.

APPENDIX

Steady-State Extent of Disulfide Bond Formation

The rate of change of reduced α in the plasmalemma is

\[ \frac{d \alpha_{SH}}{dt} = k_{EX} \alpha_{SH}' - k_{OX} \alpha_{SH} - k_{IN} \alpha_{SH}, \]  

(A1)

where \( \alpha_{SH} \) is the concentration of reduced α in the cell surface membrane, \( \alpha_{SH}' \) is the concentration of well-folded, reduced α in the intracellular membranes, \( k_{EX} \) is the rate constant for exocytosis of both \( \alpha_{SH}' \) and \( \alpha_{SS} \) (oxidized α), \( k_{IN} \) is the rate constant for endocytosis of both \( \alpha_{SH} \) and \( \alpha_{SS} \), and \( k_{OX} \) is the rate constant for oxidation of \( \alpha_{SH} \) on the cell surface.

The rate of change of oxidized α in the plasmalemma is

\[ \frac{d \alpha_{SS}}{dt} = k_{EX} \alpha_{SS}' + k_{OX} \alpha_{SH} - k_{IN} \alpha_{SS}. \]  

(A2)

In the steady-state both derivatives equal zero, implying

\[ \alpha_{SH} = \frac{k_{EX}}{k_{OX} + k_{IN}} \alpha_{SH}' \]  

(A3)

and

\[ \alpha_{SS} = \frac{k_{EX} \alpha_{SS}'}{k_{IN}} + \frac{k_{OX} \alpha_{SH}}{k_{IN}} = \frac{k_{EX} \alpha_{SS}'}{k_{IN}} + \frac{k_{OX} \alpha_{SH}}{k_{IN}} + \frac{k_{OX}}{k_{IN}} \frac{k_{EX}}{k_{OX} + k_{IN}} \alpha_{SH}'. \]  

(A4)

By definition, the fraction \( x_{EX} \) of α on the surface that is oxidized is

\[ x_{EX} = \frac{[\alpha_{SS}']/[\alpha_{SH}'] + [k_{OX}]/(k_{OX} + k_{IN})]}{[\alpha_{SS}']/[\alpha_{SH}'] + 1}. \]  

(A5)

Substituting Eq. A3 and A4 in A5, we obtain

\[ x_{EX} = \frac{[\alpha_{SS}']/[\alpha_{SH}'] + [k_{OX}]/(k_{OX} + k_{IN})]}{[\alpha_{SS}']/[\alpha_{SH}'] + 1}, \]  

(A6)

By definition,

\[ x_{IN} = \frac{[\alpha_{SS}']/[\alpha_{SH}'] + [\alpha_{SH}']}{{\alpha_{SS}'}/[\alpha_{SH}'] + [\alpha_{SH}']}. \]  

(A7)

or

\[ \frac{\alpha_{SS}'}{\alpha_{SH}'} = \frac{x_{IN}}{1 - x_{IN}}. \]  

(A8)

Combining A6 and A8 yields

\[ x_{EX} = x_{IN} + (1 - x_{IN}) \frac{k_{OX}}{k_{OX} + k_{IN}}. \]  

(A9)

If either \( k_{OX} \) << \( k_{IN} \) or \( x_{IN} \sim 1 \), then

\[ x_{EX} = x_{IN}. \]  

(A10)

Spontaneous oxidation of protein Cys is very slow in the absence of metal catalysts (Wilkinson and Gilbert, 2004; Becker et al., 2006) and is unlikely to be faster than the rate of spontaneous intramolecular disulfide bond formation in dithiothreitol, which has a \( k \leq 0.05/\text{h} \) at neutral pH and room temperature (unpublished data). The half-time for internalization of the K⁺ channel, Kv1.4, transiently transfected in HEK293 cells, was 87 min (i.e., \( k_{IN} = \sim 0.5/\text{h} \)) (Jugloff et al., 2000), and \( k_{IN} = 0.4/\text{h} \) to 0.8/\text{h} for an N-type Ca^{2+} channel, also transiently transfected in HEK293 cells (Bernstein and Jones, 2007). Assuming a similar \( k_{IN} \) for BK α and that catalytic disulfide bond formation is taking place mainly in the ER, \( k_{OX} \leq 0.05/\text{h} \), \( k_{OX}/k_{IN} < 0.1 \), and Eq. A10 applies.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S0 res.</th>
<th>S1 res.</th>
<th>S134</th>
<th>N136</th>
<th>P137</th>
<th>S134</th>
<th>N136</th>
<th>P137</th>
<th>R17</th>
<th>6 ± 1</th>
<th>29 ± 1</th>
<th>86 ± 9</th>
<th>78 ± 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G18</td>
<td>14 ± 3</td>
<td>65 ± 13</td>
<td>78 ± 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q19</td>
<td>9 ± 2</td>
<td>23 ± 18</td>
<td>47 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R20</td>
<td>25 ± 10</td>
<td>15 ± 1</td>
<td>39 ± 6</td>
<td>17 ± 5</td>
<td>53 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S2 res.</td>
<td>F144</td>
<td>Y145</td>
<td>K146</td>
<td>D147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G18</td>
<td>61 ± 4</td>
<td>23 ± 8</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
<td>70 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q19</td>
<td>40 ± 11</td>
<td>21 ± 7</td>
<td>0 ± 3</td>
<td>12 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R20</td>
<td>40 ± 6</td>
<td>21 ± 10</td>
<td>4 ± 9</td>
<td>51 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S3-S4 res.</td>
<td>L199</td>
<td>N200</td>
<td>R201</td>
<td>S202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G18</td>
<td>91 ± 13</td>
<td>95 ± 3</td>
<td>89 ± 19</td>
<td>93 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q19</td>
<td>96 ± 2</td>
<td>94 ± 4</td>
<td>96 ± 0</td>
<td>96 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R20</td>
<td>96 ± 5</td>
<td>88 ± 10</td>
<td>93 ± 7</td>
<td>94 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S5 res.</td>
<td>L253</td>
<td>H254</td>
<td>L255</td>
<td>V256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G18</td>
<td>4 ± 6</td>
<td>1 ± 2</td>
<td>0 ± 2</td>
<td>1 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R20</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 1</td>
<td>0 ± 3</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S6 res.</td>
<td>Y294</td>
<td>K296</td>
<td>T298</td>
<td>L299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G18</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>3 ± 3</td>
<td>0 ± 1</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q19</td>
<td>0 ± 1</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 1</td>
<td>0 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R20</td>
<td>0 ± 2</td>
<td>2 ± 0</td>
<td>0 ± 2</td>
<td>0 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>