Temperature dependence of proton permeation through a voltage-gated proton channel
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Voltage-gated proton channels are found in many different types of cells, where they facilitate proton movement through the membrane. The mechanism of proton permeation through the channel is an issue of long-term interest, but it remains an open question. To address this issue, we examined the temperature dependence of proton permeation. Under whole cell recordings, rapid temperature changes within a few milliseconds were imposed. This method allowed for the measurement of current amplitudes immediately before and after a temperature jump, from which the ratios of these currents (I_ratio) were determined. The use of I_ratio for evaluating the temperature dependence minimized the contributions of factors other than permeation. Temperature jumps of various degrees (ΔT, −15 to 15°C) were applied over a wide temperature range (4–49°C), and the Q10s for the proton currents were evaluated from the I_ratio. Q10 exhibited a high temperature dependence, varying from 2.2 at 10°C to 1.3 at 40°C. This implies that processes with different temperature dependencies underlie the observed Q10. A novel resistivity pulse method revealed that the access resistance with its low temperature dependence predominated in high temperature ranges. The measured temperature dependence of Q10 was decomposed into Q10 of the channel and of the access resistances. Finally, the Q10 for proton permeation through the voltage-gated proton channel itself was calculated and found to vary from 2.8 at 5°C to 2.2 at 45°C, as expected for an activation enthalpy of 64 kJ/mol. The thermodynamic features for proton permeation through proton-selective channels were discussed for the underlying mechanism.

INTRODUCTION

Proton transfer across biological membranes is performed by various types of membrane proteins, including pumps, transporters, and channels. Of these, channels are the most efficient pathway for ion transfer. The voltage-gated proton channel described initially in snail neurons (Thomas and Meech, 1982) had activation gating at depolarized potentials similar to most of the voltage-gated cation channels. The proton channel is almost perfectly selective for protons. These channels have been found in many types of cells, including phagocytes (e.g., microglia), osteoclasts, and epithelial cells. Fast proton transfer is thought to be a prerequisite to cellular processes, such as phagocytosis (Henderson et al., 1987).

The whole cell currents of the voltage-gated proton channel exhibit an unusually high temperature dependence (Byers and Suen, 1989; Kuno et al., 1997; DeCoursey and Cherny, 1998). The high Q10s (three to five) of the measured currents have been related to temperature dependence of proton permeation (DeCoursey and Cherny, 1998), which further suggests that protons may permeate through the channel with "unusually" high temperature-dependent processes compared with those of other types of channels (DeCoursey, 2003). This conjecture, however, still remains an open question because features of the proton channel have made quantitative evaluations of the temperature dependence difficult. Among other things, the single-channel conductance of the proton channel is of the order of femto Siemens (Cherny et al., 2003), so that an evaluation of changes in conductance necessitates whole cell recordings. We have observed, however, that the proton currents vary in amplitudes, even at a fixed temperature (Morihata et al., 2000a). This phenomenon can be explained by an alteration in the number of activatable channels upon repeated application of depolarization pulses being compatible with the results observed for other types of membrane proteins (Morgan et al., 2003). These features of the channel raise concerns as to whether the reported temperature dependence actually reflects that of permeation through the channel.
To address the permeation issues of proton channels, the consideration of the physicochemical principles for proton transfer is crucial. In bulk solution, proton transfer is characterized by the Grotthuss mechanism (de Grotthuss, 1806; Eigen, 1964; Agmon, 1995, 1996, 1999; Robinson and Stokes, 2002; Swanson et al., 2007) and buffered diffusion (Eigen et al., 1964; Keener and Sneyd, 1998; Swietach et al., 2003; Zifarelli et al., 2008). These additional “proton-specific” factors modify channel access resistance, the concentration polarization at the cell membrane, and changes in ion concentration in the cytosol, factors expected to affect the properties of ion permeation through all types of channels. Each issue has been studied separately (DeCoursey and Cherny, 1996; Gordienko et al., 1996), but the findings have not been integrated to produce a picture of the whole process of proton permeation. For example, the magnitudes of the measured currents may be determined, in part, by proton-transfer processes outside the channel molecule, and the proton fluxes may cause local concentration changes (decreases at the “upstream” membrane–solution interface and increases at the “downstream” membrane–solution interface). These interrelated issues should be treated in a systematic manner with quantitative evaluation. To this end, the theoretical basis for the proton-transfer processes was reviewed to estimate the relative contributions of proton-transfer events, which were exploited for the experimental design.

Experimental strategy

Protons are transferred from the bulk solution to the channel entrance through the access region, which induces depletion of protons upstream and accumulation downstream in the bulk, in the vicinity of the membrane, and at the channel entrance. These events, which develop with spatially different scales, are termed as the bulk concentration change, concentration polarization, and access resistance. For the proton channels, experiments were performed in the presence of low concentrations of protons and high concentrations of buffer. The latter was a prerequisite as a resource of the proton reservoir, which helps the proton flux to endure under the limited supply from the patch pipette. Even if the high concentration buffer facilitates proton transfer in bulk solutions (Keener and Sneyd, 1998), the persistent efflux eventually leads to cytosolic depletion of the proton concentration (concentration polarization and depletion of the cytosolic concentration) (Gordienko et al., 1996). Recently, local changes in proton concentration were estimated by Zifarelli et al. (2008) using a simulation technique at low buffer concentrations. Following their method, we estimated the time courses of the concentrations in our highly buffered experimental conditions (see Appendix). The changes of pH at the vicinity of the membrane during maximum currents in our experiments were negligible, and their time courses were several tens of milliseconds. These predictions, especially for the time order of development, were important considerations for experimental design.

To address the proton-transfer process at the molecular level, the concentration profiles of protons treated with a one-dimensional regimen are no longer valid (Berg and Purcell, 1977). At the pore entrance, proton fluxes converge and proton depletion develops locally when the transfer of ions within the pore occurs at a faster rate than diffusion toward the pore. This diffusion-limited process in the vicinity of the pore and the convergence of ion trajectories toward the narrow pore opening lead to additional resistance outside the channel pore (access resistance $R_{AR}$) (Hille, 1968; Hall, 1975; Läuger, 1976; Andersen, 1983; Hladky, 1984; Peskoff and Bers, 1988; Aguilla-Arzo et al., 2005). The theory estimated that the development of the access resistance is very fast ($10^{-10}$ s; see Appendix) (Crank, 1975) compared with the accessible speed of electrophysiological measurements (see Appendix).

Each of these proton-specific issues developing outside of the channel should contribute somewhat to the measured proton currents. We focused on the large discrepancies in time scale for the development of each event. The concentration polarization and bulk depletion develop on the order of a second or slower. Therefore, if we change the temperature of the channel in a rapid stepwise manner and measure the changes in proton current immediately before and after the step, the measured current changes are little affected by slowly developing events. In the present study, stepwise changes in temperature for a cell undergoing whole cell current recording were applied within a few milliseconds by the pulse method (Ando et al., 2005). This rapid system enabled experiments in a wide temperature range and repeated applications of temperature changes for a single cell under patch clamp. Furthermore, the temperature changes were rapid enough to minimize changes in the gating status because the voltage-gated proton channel exhibits slow activation gating (several tens or hundreds of milliseconds).

The next issue to be addressed was to determine the contribution of the access resistance to the total resistance. The access resistance grows with a time constant of $10^{-10}$ s and cannot be discriminated by the “rapid” temperature change method. Here, we exploited the facts that (1) $R_{AR}$ changes with the resistivity of the bulk solution (Hall, 1975), (2) $R_{AR}$ for the extracellular side can be changed by perfusing extracellular solution, and (3) changes in $R_{AR}$ leads to changes in $R_{total}$. Therefore, measuring changes in $R_{total}$ upon perturbation of the extracellular solution would provide estimates of the relative contribution of $R_{AR}$ to $R_{total}$. We applied a resistivity pulse method and estimated the ratio of $R_{AR}$ to $R_{ch}$, the value of which was used to decompose the temperature dependence of the proton channel.
With all appropriate caveats in mind, the native proton channel expressed in microglial cells was examined. We have elucidated the temperature dependence of proton permeation through the voltage-gated proton channel.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Electrophysiology**

Rat microglia (GMI-R1) (Sawada et al., 1998) were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with granulocytic/macrophage colony-stimulating factor, glucose, and insulin. Whole cell recordings were performed with an AxoPatch 200B amplifier (MDS Analytical Technologies). Currents were filtered at 1 kHz and sampled at 5 kHz with DigiData1322A (MDS Analytical Technologies). The pipette solution contained 120 mM Mes, 65 mM NMDG aspartate, 3 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM BAPTA, and 1 mM Na₂ATP, pH 7.3. Solutions with different temperatures were perfused at rates of 3–6 µl/s. Two solutions with different temperatures flowed at rates of 3–6 µl/s (an in-line switch; TE-221; Terumo). A voltage-clamped microglial cell was placed close to one of the outflows. The piezoelectric device was driven by 5-V pulses, which were triggered by a program simultaneously controlling the membrane potential. An enlarged photograph for a patch-clamped cell and an ultrafine thermocouple located as close as 15 µm downstream of the cell. (Middle) Temperature measurements during the shift of the outflow monitored by the ultrafine thermocouple reached.

**Estimation of the shift of the reversal potential**

The reversal potentials (V<sub>rev</sub>) were estimated from two methods, one from the I-V curve and another from current measurements at two different membrane potentials. The I-V curves were obtained as follows. Ramp potentials were applied at the end of a long depolarization pulse and at the end of a mock 10-ms depolarization pulse. Ramp current traces after the long depolarization pulse were subtracted by those for a mock 10-ms depolarization pulse. In the second method, V<sub>rev</sub> was estimated from the current amplitudes at two different membrane potentials. Proton currents were elicited by a depolarization pulse to 0 mV (V<sub>dep</sub>), and then repolarized to −40 mV (V<sub>tail</sub>). The reversal potentials were interpolated from the current amplitudes at the end of the depolarization pulse (I<sub>dep</sub>) and at the peak of the tail current (I<sub>tail</sub>) using the following equation.

\[
V_{rev} = -\frac{V_{dep} - V_{tail}}{I_{dep} / I_{tail}}
\]

**Temperature pulse experiments**

A rapid temperature pulse system was built with the ultrafast solution-switching system (LSS-3200; EXFO) equipped with a double-barreled tube from which solutions of different temperatures were perfused (Fig. 1 A). The system (Ando et al., 2005) included (1) a piezoelectric device (LSS-3200; EXFO) to shift the outflow, (2) a double-barreled tube (the temperatures of the outflows were controlled independently), (3) a patch-clamped microglia, and (4) an ultrafine thermocouple. Two solutions of different temperatures flowed at rates of 3–6 µl/s (an injection pump; TE-221; Terumo). A voltage-clamped microglial cell was placed in the midst of one of the outflows. The tube was shifted by the piezoelectric device, by which the cell was perfused with different temperatures. The positions of the tube were reversed after 1 s. The movements of the piezoelectric device (200 µm) were controlled by pClamp software so as to coincide with the voltage command. The driving voltages for the piezoelectric device were started and terminated with a finite slope to dampen the mechanical oscillations produced by the movements. This method allowed repeated brief applications of both warming and cooling jumps.

**Figure 1.** Temperature jump experiments. (A) The experimental setup. (Left) A photograph of the temperature pulse system. Solutions with different temperatures flowed from the double-barreled tube. A voltage-clamped microglial cell was placed close to one of the outflows. The piezoelectric device was driven by 5-V pulses, which were triggered by a program simultaneously controlling the membrane potential. (Right) An enlarged photograph for a patch-clamped cell and an ultrafine thermocouple located as close as 15 µm downstream of the cell. (B) Temperature measurements. (Top) The voltage drive for the piezoelectric device. (Middle) Temperature measured by a liquid junction potential using an open-tip electrode under current clamp mode. (Bottom) Temperature monitored by an ultrafine thermocouple. (C) Temperature measurements during the shift of the outflow from the double-barreled tube. Reversals of the shift motion and the responses of the thermocouple were recorded. The traces for 1-s pulses for reverse directions were mirror images of each other, indicating that the fast changes of temperature during a pulse were detected by the ultrafine thermocouple.
the steady state within a minute when the driving currents for the Peltier device were changed.

**Evaluation of temperature changes**

The local temperatures in the vicinity of the cell were monitored by an ultrathin thermocouple with a thermometer (BAT-12; Physitemp Instruments Inc.). The thermocouple with the tip size of 26 µm (ANBE SMT Ltd.) was insulated in a glass capillary with the fine tip exposed. The thermocouple was calibrated before use with a standard thermistor.

Time courses of the temperature changes upon the jumps were monitored by the changes of the liquid junction potentials (Fig. 1 B, middle). An open-tip electrode filled with 3 M KCl was placed in the midst of the flow, and the liquid junction potentials were measured under the current clamp mode. Positive pressure was applied continually to the pipette to prevent the mixing of the solutions. The changes in temperature upon the shift of the flow were measured with a time constant of 0.9 ms.

The responses of the ultrathin thermocouple were evaluated under the temperature jump experiments. Output of the thermocouple is shown (Fig. 1 B, bottom trace), which was fitted by a single-exponential function with the time constant of ~70 ms. After a pulse, the temperature returned to the pre-pulse level within the error of 0.5%. To see whether the temperature signal from the thermocouple reached the steady state during a 1-s pulse, the sensor tip was placed in the outflows for minutes and the signal levels before and during a pulse were compared (Fig. 1 C, red trace). Conversely, the sensor tip was placed in the high temperature outflow for minutes and the temperature outflow was jumped to the low temperature for a second (Fig. 1 C, black trace). The responses to the shifts of the outflows in the opposite direction looked like mirror images of each other, indicating that the temperatures during the short jumps reached the steady-state values and were maintained there.

In addition to the changes in current amplitudes at different temperatures, the driving forces may be affected by several physicochemical factors. The temperature dependence of the Nernst potential and intracellular and extracellular pH changes by altered pKₐ values for buffers were evaluated (see Appendix in detail). These factors develop instantaneously, but the theoretical prediction indicates that the contributions of these factors are negligible.

**Evaluation of temperature dependence**

The temperature coefficient for a 10° change in temperature, Q₁₀, is defined from current ratios as \( I_{\text{ratio}}(\Delta T) = I_{\text{ratio}}(T_0) \times e^{Q_{10} \Delta T} \), where \( I_{\text{ratio}}(\Delta T) \) is the temperature coefficient for an arbitrary temperature interval \( \Delta T \). \( Q_{10} \) as a function of the measured temperature was evaluated in several different ways. For the jump vector plot, a slope for each temperature jump vector was calculated. \( Q_{10} \) of the conductivity for the buffered solution was analyzed by the following method. Temperature-dependent conductivity was plotted and a slope was calculated by a linear fit of five neighboring data points. Slopes were obtained successively as the data points for evaluation were shifted. These slopes gave \( Q_{10} \) values at mean temperatures of five data points.

**Resistivity pulse experiments**

To estimate the access resistance, resistivity pulse experiments were performed in which the extracellular solution was changed to a solution with higher resistivity by use of a high concentration of sorbitol (Stojilkovic et al., 2003). The solution composition was 100 mM Mes, 105 mM NMDG, 90 mM aspartate, 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, 50 µM DIDS, and 0.14–2 M sorbitol. The pH of the solutions was adjusted to 5.5 by CsOH, such that the pH of the extracellular and intracellular solution was symmetrical. The pipette solution was the same as in the previous experiments. The resistivities of the buffered solutions as a function of the sorbitol concentration and that of temperature were measured by a conductivity meter (DS-8M; HORIBA).

Proton currents were elicited by the depolarization pulses to +100 mV, and after reaching the steady state of the activation, the cells were exposed to the solution with high resistivity for short periods of time (the resistivity pulse method) (Ando et al., 2005). Current amplitudes immediately before and after a resistivity jump were measured. The ratios of the current amplitudes were evaluated as a function of the resistivities of the solutions. The relative resistivity of the channel to that of the total resistance was obtained.

All the algebraic calculations were performed using Mathematica (Wolfram Research Inc.), and the graphs were drawn using Origin (OriginLab Corporation). Data were expressed as mean ± SEM.

**Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 provides reciprocal relationships of \( I_{\text{ratio}} \) at the beginning and end of a pulse, which supports negligible contribution of the concentration polarization to the measured currents. Fig. S2 demonstrates all the experimental data points for temperature dependence in a three-dimensional plot. Fig. S3 shows discrepancies in temperature dependence measured by the temperature pulse method and by the earlier method measuring the steady-state current amplitudes. Figs. S1–S3 and supplemental text are available at http://www.jgp.org/cgi/content/full/jgp.200910213/DC1.
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**Figure 2.** Proton channel currents. (A) Whole cell proton currents from a microglial cell. Voltage-dependent activation of the proton currents are shown. Currents were elicited by pulses from −100 to 40 mV. Inset represents the voltage protocol. (B) Block of the proton currents by 100 µM of extracellular Zn²⁺. (C) Steady-state gating curves (G-V curve) of proton channel and its temperature dependence. The current amplitudes at the peak of the tail currents were plotted as a function of preceding voltages and were normalized. G-V curves were obtained from six cells at 24 and 34°C. The curves were fitted by the Boltzmann function. The \( V_{1/2} \) values were −32.2 and −30.2 mV for 24 and 34°C. Inset shows a representative current trace for depolarizing pulse to +40 mV, followed by repolarization to 0 mV. The arrow indicates the peak of tail current.
RESULTS

Proton channels of microglial cells
Proton currents were recorded from microglial cells in the whole cell voltage-clamped configuration. In the asymmetric pH condition (pHi/pHo, 5.5/7.3), depolarizing pulses elicited a slowly activating outward current, the time course of which was accelerated with further depolarization (Fig. 2 A) (Morihata et al., 2000b). This current was inhibited by a proton channel blocker, Zn²⁺ (Mahaut-Smith, 1989), indicating negligible contributions from other endogenous channels (Fig. 2 B).

To examine the temperature dependence of the steady-state gating, depolarizing pulses to various membrane potentials were applied and the tail currents at 0 mV were recorded (see Fig. 4, inset). The normalized peak amplitudes of the tail currents as a function of the depolarizing potentials were plotted (G-V curve; Fig. 2 C). The two curves at different temperatures overlapped; thus, the steady-state gating of the proton channel was not temperature dependent.

Cytosolic protons are depleted slowly
Before studying the temperature dependence of proton permeation through the channel, factors affecting the driving force upon temperature change were examined. Proton effluxes should deplete cytosolic protons (cytosolic depletion), and the degree of the depletion and its time course were evaluated. Proton effluxes were elicited by repeated application of depolarizing pulses that were prolonged successively (Fig. 3 A). A ramp potential was applied at the end of a depolarization pulse to give I-V curves (Fig. 3 B). The reversal potentials (V_{rev}) shifted notably toward the positive direction for longer depolarizations. Because the bath concentrations of protons were held constant by continual perfusion, the positive shifts of V_{rev} indicate decreases in the intracellular concentrations of protons.

The proton currents sometimes decayed gradually after reaching the peak level of activation (Fig. 3 C). This is called current droop. In parallel to the current droop, V_{rev} shifted slowly within the time range of seconds (Fig. 3 D, •; 24°C). When the temperature was raised to 34°C, the degrees and speeds of the V_{rev} shift were dramatically enhanced (Fig. 3 D, ○), although the time range was still of the order of seconds.

Evaluation of the temperature pulse method
Temperature dependence of proton currents was examined by measuring the immediate changes in current amplitude upon temperature jumps (see Materials and methods). To verify that the fast temperature changes were sensed by the channels in cell membrane, the time courses of whole cell currents upon a temperature pulse were examined. The thermocouple signals displayed reproducible changes for the repetitive temperature pulses (Fig. 4, middle). When a pulse was applied early during the gating activation, a small jump of the current was followed by accelerated gating activation during the pulse (Fig. 4, bottom, red arrows). As the onset of the temperature pulse on top of the depolarization pulse was delayed, the instantaneous changes in the current traces predominated, and these were followed by residual activation. These observations, of the current jumps superimposed on temperature jumps and accelerated activation gating during a temperature pulse, were consistent...
with the expected responses to stepwise changes in temperature, and suggest that the cellular temperature under whole cell recordings changed rapidly.

During a temperature pulse, the temperature sensed by the channel molecule in situ was evaluated. Because the gating of proton channels exhibits a high sensitivity to temperature (Byerly and Suen, 1989; Kuno et al., 1997; DeCoursey and Cherny, 1998), the accelerated gating kinetics upon heating pulses were used for the evaluation. First, the activation time courses of proton currents at a steady-state bath temperature, elicited by depolarizing pulses to 0 mV, were fitted with a triple-exponential function, and the time constants were plotted as a function of temperatures measured in the vicinity of the cell (Fig. 5, filled symbols). Then, the warming temperature pulses were applied during the gating activation. When the temperature pulses were applied after faster activation components were nearly completed, the accelerated time courses for the residual activation during temperature pulses were fitted by a single-exponential function. These time constants (Fig. 5, open symbols) were superimposed on the plot of time constants observed at the steady-state bath temperature. The data points from the temperature pulses overlapped onto the distribution of the slowest components of the activation time constants. The $Q_{10}$ for the activation time constant measured under the pulse method was similar to that measured when the entire bath was temperature controlled ($5.6 \pm 0.5; n = 33$). These data indicate that the temperatures applied to the channel molecules on the cell were monitored successfully by the thermocouple adjacent to the cell.

**Temperature pulse experiments under various conditions**

Temperature pulses applied during the steady state of gating activation produced clear stepwise changes in the currents upon both the onset and termination of the pulses (Fig. 6 A). Upon the return to the prepulse temperature, the amplitudes of the currents reverted to those before the pulse, confirming that the open-state probability had not been changed during a pulse. Pulses with various magnitudes of $\Delta T$ either warming or cooling were applied at various temperatures (prepulse temperature; Fig. 6 A, a, c, and f, and b, d, and e). At low temperatures (Fig. 6 A, e and f), long (>8 s) depolarization pulses were required for the gating activation to reach the steady state. At $-100$ mV, which is outside of the activation range for the gating, temperature jumps produced negligible changes in current amplitude (Fig. 6 B).

The ratio of current amplitudes, $I_{ratio}$, as an index for temperature dependence

To evaluate the temperature dependence of current amplitudes immediately before and after a temperature

![Figure 4](image-url) Figure 4. Current responses to temperature pulses. A patch-clamped cell at an intracellular pH of 5.5 was placed in the midst of a laminar outflow, and an ultrathin thermocouple was located downstream of the cell within 15 μm. Proton currents were elicited by depolarizing pulses to 0 mV (top; pulse duration was 4 s), during which a temperature pulse of 1 s (from 24 to 32°C; $\Delta T = 8°C$) was applied at different phases of the gating activation. The pulse command indicates the driving voltages to the piezoelectric device. The thermocouple signals displayed reproducible changes for the repetitive temperature pulses. Ensemble current traces with responses to temperature pulses elicited at different timings of the activation are superimposed.

![Figure 5](image-url) Figure 5. Temperature-dependent time constants for the activation gating. The activating currents at 0 mV were fitted by a triple-exponential function. Temperature pulses were applied after the faster activation phases were nearly completed. Current traces during temperature pulses were fitted with a single exponential. Three time constants at various temperatures (filled symbols) and the time constant during the pulse (open symbols) are shown. The data were obtained from a single cell.
jump, the current amplitudes for each jump were plotted as a function of pre-jump and jump temperatures, and the points were connected to draw a vector (jump vector; Fig. 7 A). The direction of the vectors indicates whether the pulse was cooling (down) or warming (up). Plots of the vectors from six different cells over a wide range of temperatures with variably sized ΔTs and directions (warming or cooling) showed a general trend of vector flow.

Jump vectors were examined for different membrane potentials (Fig. 7 B). Temperature jumps of a fixed ΔT (from 16.7 to 12.7°C) were applied during variable depolarizing steps. Each column of arrows represents jump vectors from a cell. The slopes of the vectors on the logarithmic scale were almost identical, even though the current amplitudes at different membrane potentials differed considerably.

The ratios of the current amplitudes were calculated from the vectors (current ratio; $I_{\text{ratio}} = I_{\text{jump}} / I_{\text{pre-jump}}$) and were plotted at different membrane potentials (Fig. 7 C), where the ΔTs were set differently for cells. The $I_{\text{ratio}}$s were distributed nearly horizontally, indicating that the $I_{\text{ratio}}$ was not affected by the current amplitudes.

Temperature dependence of $Q_{10}$ over a wide temperature range
A plot of the values of $I_{\text{ratio}}$ as a function of ΔTs shows nearly linear relationships. $I_{\text{ratio}}$s were measured from many cells over a wide range of pre-jump temperatures (4–49°C) and ΔTs (±15°C) (see three-dimensional plot in Fig. S2). $I_{\text{ratio}}$–ΔT relationships are shown for different ranges of pre-jump temperatures (Fig. 8). Linear relationships hold at relatively narrow ranges of pre-jump
where $\Delta H^\ddagger$ represents the activation enthalpy. This equation demonstrates that $Q_{10}$ value changes at different temperatures, the extent of which can be estimated. The changes of $Q_{10}$ at constant $\Delta H^\ddagger$ values are shown in Fig. 10 (dotted lines, iso-enthalpy lines). As expected, the experimentally obtained $Q_{10}$s did not align on the temperatures. The slope is a measure of temperature dependence of proton currents, and the $Q_{10}$ value was calculated (see Materials and methods). Fig. 8 demonstrates that the $Q_{10}$ value decreased significantly over a measured temperature range. A similar relation was observed at pH 6.2 (not depicted).

To obtain thermodynamic clues for this phenomenon, the jump vector data were expressed as an Arrhenius plot, in which pairs of current amplitudes for each temperature jump were plotted against $1/T$ ($T$, the absolute temperature; Fig. 9). The slopes of the arrows became steeper as the temperature was decreased (toward the right of the horizontal axis) and, overall, the arrows indicate a trend that is curved (convex). A curved Arrhenius plot is generally considered to indicate the presence of multiple processes with different underlying natures (Gutfreund, 1995; Fersht, 1999).

To quantify the temperature dependence, the mean $Q_{10}$ values were plotted as a function of temperature (Fig. 10). The $Q_{10}$ value of 2.2 at 10°C decreased monotonically as the temperature was increased and reached 1.3 at 40°C. The strong temperature dependence of the $Q_{10}$ values has not been reported in earlier studies, at least for the permeation of channels.

The rate theory (Kramers, 1940) was applied to express proton permeation at different temperatures with thermodynamic parameters (see Eq. A1). $Q_{10}$ as a function of $T$ can be expressed as

$$Q_{10}(T) = \text{Exp} \left[ 10 \left( \frac{\Delta H^\ddagger}{RT^2} + \frac{1}{T} \right) \right],$$  

Figure 9. An Arrhenius plot for the proton permeation process through the voltage-gated proton channel. Each arrow represents data for the onset of a temperature pulse.
and after a resistivity jump were measured. In these experiments, solutions on both sides of the membrane were set symmetrically (pH, 5.5); hence, $R_{AR}$ on the intracellular and extracellular sides were assumed to be identical. The current trace demonstrated, surprisingly, large decreases in amplitudes upon exposure to a high resistivity solution, suggesting a large contribution of the access resistance. The current ratios were plotted as a function of the relative resistivities of the solutions (Fig. 11 B). Proton currents decreased gradually as the resistivity of the external solution was increased. The $R_{Ch}/R_{AR}$ were obtained through fitting the current ratio of Eq. A11 to the data. The value of $R_{Ch}/R_{AR}$ was 3.8 and that of $R_{Ch}/R_{total}$ was 0.66 at 23°C. A notable contribution of $R_{AR}$ to $R_{total}$ suggests that the observed $Q_{10}$ values do not solely represent temperature dependence of the channel per se, but represent a weighted average for those of the channel and the access resistance. Therefore, we redefine here the observed $Q_{10}$ as the apparent $Q_{10}$ ($Q_{10}^{app}$). It should be noted that $R_{Ch}/R_{AR}$ is not constant over the temperature range because the temperature dependencies of the channel and access resistances differ. Then it is likely that the strong temperature dependence of $Q_{10}^{app}$ is produced by the relative contribution of $Q_{10}$ for the

Figure 10. Temperature dependence of $Q_{10}$ values. $Q_{10}$ values were calculated from the data of temperature jump experiments. A set of dotted lines indicates temperature-dependent $Q_{10}$ values for fixed activation enthalpy (iso-enthalpy line).

Figure 11. Estimation of the access resistance. (A) Current traces for the resistivity pulse experiments. The pHs were symmetrical (pH, 5.5), and the temperature was 23°C. A patch-clamped cell was exposed briefly to a solution containing 2 M sorbitol. The current amplitudes were measured immediately before and after a jump. (B) Current ratios as a function of the relative resistivity of the solutions. $\rho$ is the resistivity of the bathing solution, and $\rho'$ is the resistivity of the pulse solution. The data were fitted by Eq. A10. The ratio of the resistances ($R_{AR}/R_{Ch}$) was 0.25.

and after a resistivity jump were measured. In these experiments, solutions on both sides of the membrane were set symmetrically (pH, 5.5); hence, $R_{ARs}$ on the intracellular and extracellular sides were assumed to be identical.

The current trace demonstrated, surprisingly, large decreases in amplitudes upon exposure to a high resistivity solution, suggesting a large contribution of the access resistance. The current ratios were plotted as a function of the relative resistivities of the solutions (Fig. 11 B). Proton currents decreased gradually as the resistivity of the external solution was increased. The $R_{Ch}/R_{AR}$ were obtained through fitting the current ratio of Eq. A11 to the data. The value of $R_{Ch}/R_{AR}$ was 3.8 and that of $R_{Ch}/R_{total}$ was 0.66 at 23°C. A notable contribution of $R_{AR}$ to $R_{total}$ suggests that the observed $Q_{10}$ values do not solely represent temperature dependence of the channel per se, but represent a weighted average for those of the channel and the access resistance. Therefore, we redefine here the observed $Q_{10}$ as the apparent $Q_{10}$ ($Q_{10}^{app}$). It should be noted that $R_{Ch}/R_{AR}$ is not constant over the temperature range because the temperature dependencies of the channel and access resistances differ. Then it is likely that the strong temperature dependence of $Q_{10}^{app}$ is produced by the relative contribution of $Q_{10}$ for the

iso-enthalpy lines, but cut across them. What is the underlying mechanism for the strong temperature dependence of $Q_{10}^{app}$? Multiple processes with different thermodynamic natures are involved in the measured currents. From the theoretical prediction, one possible candidate underlying the observations is the access resistance that develops instantaneously ($<10^{-10}$ s; see Experimental strategy and Appendix), and hence its contribution to the measured current even with the rapid temperature change cannot be eliminated.

Estimation of the access resistance

Direct measurements of the access resistance ($R_{AR}$) are impossible, but the relative contribution of $R_{AR}$ to the measured current (or $R_{total}$) can be estimated. Hall’s equation indicates that $R_{AR}$ changes with the resistivity of the bathing solution (see Eq. A7). Therefore, if a cell is perfused with a different resistivity solution, changes in the external $R_{AR}$ lead to changes in $R_{total}$. Analyses of an equivalent electrical circuit having $R_{Ch}$ (the channel resistance) and $R_{AR}$ in series (see Appendix) demonstrated that the ratio of $R_{total}$ in two different resistivity solutions gives the ratio of $R_{AR}$ and $R_{Ch}$ (see Appendix). Here, an experimental method for evaluating $R_{AR}$ and $R_{Ch}$ was developed (the resistivity pulse method [R pulse method]). Proton currents were elicited by depolarization pulses to +100 mV. After reaching steady-state activation, the cells were exposed to the high resistivity solution for a short period of time (250 ms; Fig. 11 A; see Materials and methods) (Ando et al., 2005), and current amplitudes before
access resistance ($Q_{0\,\text{AR}}$) and for the channel ($Q_{0\,\text{Ch}}$), which varies as the temperature changes.

The $Q_{0\,\text{Ch}}$ was decomposed from $Q_{0\,\text{app}}$ by the series resistance model (see Appendix). Each resistance ($R_{\text{ch}}$ and $R_{\text{AR}}$) can be characterized by its own thermodynamic parameters, such as the activation enthalpy and entropy. An equation was derived for the temperature dependence of the $Q_{0\,\text{app}}$ values as a function of the thermodynamic parameters for the channel and access resistance (see Eq. A14). In this equation, temperature dependence of $R_{\text{AR}}$ ($Q_{0\,\text{AR}}$) can be represented by of the buffer solution (Hall’s equation) (Hall, 1975), which was evaluated by measuring the conductivity of the buffered solution at different temperatures (Fig. 12 A). The $Q_{0\,\text{AR}}$ value was 1.2 at 25°C and decreased slightly at higher temperatures (Fig. 12 B). If the thermodynamic parameters for the channel, in addition to the access resistance, would be given, the series resistance model would provide temperature dependencies of observable currents and $Q_{0\,\text{app}}$.

Conversely, now that data for temperature dependency of $Q_{0\,\text{app}}$ and the thermodynamic parameters of the access resistance are available (data points in Fig. 12 B), thermodynamic parameters for the channel were obtained through optimizing the parameter as to fit the calculated $Q_{0\,\text{app}}$ line to the $Q_{0\,\text{app}}$ data. The blue line in Fig. 12 shows the estimate for the temperature dependence of $Q_{0\,\text{Ch}}$ (an iso-enthalpy line). In this figure, strong temperature dependence of $Q_{0\,\text{app}}$ was decomposed into $Q_{0\,\text{AR}}$ and $Q_{0\,\text{Ch}}$. Finally, the activation enthalpy ($\Delta H^\circ$) for proton permeation through the channel per se was determined to be 64 kJ/mol. (Similar values of thermodynamic parameters for the channel [or $Q_{0\,\text{Ch}}$]) were obtained through fitting procedures using data of $I_{\text{app}}$ at different temperatures and $\Delta T$s; Fig. S2).

**DISCUSSION**

The temperature dependencies of proton permeation through the voltage-gated proton channel per se ($Q_{0\,\text{Ch}}$) are masked by many layers of phenomena, and the aim of this study was to isolate $Q_{0\,\text{Ch}}$. By applying temperature pulse methods, the overlying factors, such as the changes in the number of active channels and the driving forces of proton permeation, were successfully excluded. The measured $Q_{0\,\text{Ch}}$, however, exhibited unexpectedly high temperature dependence, which cannot be accounted for by a simple physical process (hence named $Q_{0\,\text{app}}$). We suspected that $Q_{0\,\text{app}}$ represents a mixture of multiple processes with different temperature dependencies. The novel resistivity pulse experiments allowed the quantitative evaluation of the access resistance ($R_{\text{AR}}$) and revealed significant contribution of $R_{\text{AR}}$. Finally, we extracted $Q_{0\,\text{Ch}}$, attained for the first time, and the value was 2.5 at room temperature and the activation enthalpy was 64 kJ/mol. These thermodynamic features will be discussed for the underlying mechanisms of proton permeation through the voltage-gated proton channel.

**Verification of the temperature pulse method**

The $T$ pulse method is characterized by its rapid change of temperature, which minimizes the contributions of the slowly developing events, i.e., proton depletion and concentration polarization. The validity of the $T$ pulse method was demonstrated in various ways, as follows. The stepwise changes in temperature were confirmed by the rectangular shapes of the measured liquid junction potentials (Fig. 1 B) and of the proton currents themselves (Figs. 8–10). Throughout the experiment, the temperature in the vicinity of the cell was monitored.
with an ultrafine thermocouple (Fig. 1), which demonstrated that ambient temperature of the channels in situ (on the plasma membrane) was well controlled by the pulse method (see Fig. 7). These results indicate that the temperature pulse method readily provides variable up-and-down temperature jumps to whole cell clamped cells within a few milliseconds in a reproducible and accurate manner.

The \( I_{\text{rate}} \), introduced here as a robust measure of temperature dependence served for calculating \( Q_{10}^{\text{pp}} \). \( Q_{10}^{\text{pp}} \) values were evaluated under various experimental conditions: at different intracellular pHs, at different membrane potentials (Fig. 7), and in the presence and absence of droop.

\( Q_{10} \) for proton permeation per se extracted from the temperature-dependent \( Q_{10}^{\text{pp}} \)

A key finding of the present study was that the \( Q_{10}^{\text{pp}} \) values changed significantly over a wide temperature range (Fig. 10). Generally, the \( Q_{10} \) value should change somewhat. In fact, simple physical processes, such as proton-transfer processes through the access region and the channel pore, can be described by the rate theory (see Eq. A1), and the temperature dependence of the pre-exponential factor for the rate equation leads to slight temperature dependence of \( Q_{10} \), which follows the isoenthalpy line (Fig. 10). The large changes in the \( Q_{10}^{\text{pp}} \) values over \( \sim 1 \) unit between 4 and 49°C suggest that multiple processes with different temperature dependencies are involved.

A seminal paper by Decker and Levitt (1988) led us to examine the contribution of access resistance to the total proton current in the presence of a high concentration buffer. The \( R \) pulse method revealed unexpectedly large changes in current amplitudes upon exposure to the high resistivity solution, suggesting a significant contribution of the access resistance to the measured current. A simple model for resistances in series of the channel and access regions (the series resistance model) was adopted for quantitative evaluation, and the \( R_{10}^{\text{ch}}/R_{10}^{\text{total}} \) ratio was estimated to be 0.66 at room temperature. This value makes evident the contribution of the access resistance to the measured current was important.

The series resistance model demonstrates that if thermodynamic parameters for the channel and the access region are given, the temperature-dependent \( Q_{10}^{\text{pp}} \) values can be readily calculated. The thermodynamic parameters for the access resistance were estimated from that of the resistivity of the buffered solution (Fig. 12 B, green line) (Hall, 1975). Now that \( Q_{10}^{\text{pp}} \) and \( Q_{10}^{\text{AR}} \) are given, \( Q_{10}^{\text{Ch}} \) and its thermodynamic parameters can be estimated through the fitting procedure.

Fig. 12 B demonstrates graphically how the strong temperature dependency of \( Q_{10}^{\text{pp}} \) is decomposed into \( Q_{10}^{\text{Ch}} \) and \( Q_{10}^{\text{AR}} \). At low temperature the \( Q_{10}^{\text{Ch}} \) predominates, and at high temperature the \( Q_{10}^{\text{AR}} \) predominates. \( Q_{10}^{\text{pp}} \) traverses from \( Q_{10}^{\text{Ch}} \) to \( Q_{10}^{\text{AR}} \) through the measured temperature range. The activation enthalpy for proton permeation through the channel was finally extracted. (These thermodynamic parameters were also obtained from direct fit to the \( I_{\text{rate}} \) data; Fig. S2.) The \( Q_{10}^{\text{Ch}} \) value was 2.5 at room temperature, and the \( \Delta H^0 \) value was 64 kJ/mol.

Before discussing the implication of the activation enthalpy for the proton permeation, the physiological relevance of \( Q_{10}^{\text{pp}} \) will be discussed.

The \( Q_{10}^{\text{pp}} \) under physiological conditions

The \( Q_{10}^{\text{pp}} \) values obtained here were smaller than the \( Q_{10} \) of earlier reports (5.3 ≤ 20°C and 2.8 > 20°C) (DeCoursey and Cherny, 1998), which might be overestimates because they included the contribution of changes in the numbers of activatable channels at different temperatures (Fig. S3). In Fig. 12 C, the temperature-dependent conductance was decomposed into the conductance for the channel (blue line) and that for the access resistance (green line). This figure was drawn using the thermodynamic parameters, demonstrating the relative contributions. The temperature-dependent conductances of the channel and the access resistance form asymptotes for the observed conductance (Fig. 12 C, red line). These parameters gave the ratio \( R_{10}^{\text{ch}}/R_{10}^{\text{total}} \) as 0.46 at 23°C, which is in rough agreement with the ratio predicted from the \( R \) pulse method. Because the temperature dependence of the channel conductance is much higher than that of access resistance, the channel conductance overwhelmed that of the access resistance and the whole process became diffusion limited above the crossing point of \( \sim 30°C \). In physiological conditions, in which the buffer concentrations are much lower than in the present experimental conditions, the temperature dependence of the voltage-gated proton channel, \( Q_{10}^{\text{pp}} \), is apparently governed by diffusion-limited processes and is almost indistinguishable from those of other types of ion channels.

Mechanisms for proton-selective permeation

The temperature dependence of proton permeation has been studied systematically only for the gramicidin A (gA) channel, which is proton conducting, but not proton selective (Andersen, 1984; Heinemann and Sigworth, 1989; Oiki et al., 1995; Koepp and Anderson, 1996). Over a wide temperature range (Chernyshev and Cukierman, 2002), the \( Q_{10} \) values were nearly constant (Cukierman, 2000) and the \( \Delta H^0 \) values for proton permeation through most gA channels were 10–20 kJ/mol (Bamberg and Läuger, 1974; Akeson and Deamer, 1991; Chernyshev and Cukierman, 2002). These values are similar to those for proton diffusion in an aqueous solution, in which the Grothuss mechanism predominates (Eigen, 1964; Agmon, 1995; Day et al., 2000; Limbach et al., 2006), and are in general agreement with the activation enthalpy for the buffered diffusion (Fig. 12 A). However,
it has been reported that the contribution of the access resistance to the recorded single-channel current is significant for proton permeation through the gA channel (Decker and Levitt, 1988; Cukierman, 2000; Schumaker et al., 2000; Schumaker, 2003; Braun-Sand et al., 2005). Therefore, the measured temperature dependence of earlier studies for the gA channel may be governed largely by the access resistance, similar to the voltage-gated proton channel. In fact, breaks in linearity or curved Arrhenius plots have been reported for gA channels (Chernyshev and Cukierman, 2002). The lower Q10 may represent the diffusion-limited ion access, and the higher Q10 (>30 kJ/mol) could represent the inherent proton permeation process.

The voltage-gated proton channel exhibits nearly perfect proton selectivity compared with the gA channels. We estimated the activation enthalpy of proton permeation through the channel to be 64 kJ/mol. This is essentially the first quantitative evaluation of a thermodynamic parameter for proton permeation through proton-selective channels. What is the underlying mechanism of the ΔH‡ value for the proton permeation? Quantitative comparison of the present ΔH‡ value with those of other types of channels must be reserved until the genuine ΔH‡ values without effect of the access resistance would become available for other types of channels. Still, we anticipate that ΔH‡ for the proton channel is significantly higher than for the gA channel, and a gap between the ΔH‡ value of the proton channel and gA channels cannot be accounted for by simple modifications of the proton jump mechanism through a single-file pore. We present here a hypothetical mechanism for proton-selective permeation: A proton-selective site(s) should exist along a water-filled pore, and its configuration needs to be rearranged upon proton transfer, which would account for the additional cost of ΔH‡ for the proton permeation. The mechanism of proton permeation through the molecular candidate of the voltage-gated proton channels (Ramsey et al., 2006; Sasaki et al., 2006; DeCoursey, 2008) and other proton-selective pores in the voltage sensor domain of potassium channels (Starace and Bezanilla, 2004) has not been studied. However, given the structural elements that the candidates possess, such as water crevices toward protonation sites, it’s numerical evaluation showed that if the flux of protons is constant, the concentration polarization develops relatively slowly over a time range of several tens to hundreds of milliseconds. For example, the pH at the outer vicinity of the membrane decreased by 1.3 U in the presence of buffer concentration as low as 0.1 mM after 5 s of a proton efflux of 500 pA. This local proton accumulation decreased to a ΔpH of 0.07 U when the buffer concentration was increased to 2 mM. Following the reported method, we simulated the local accumulation when the buffer concentration was increased to 100 mM (our experimental condition). The ΔpH was only 0.0013 U at the vicinity of the membrane. The time course of the development was fitted by a double-exponential function, and the smaller time constant was ~60 ms. The slow development and attenuation of the concentration polarization in the concentrated buffer were used in the experimental strategy.

**APPENDIX**

**Local proton concentration**

In the presence of buffer, the concentration polarization is confined to a limited space, which otherwise extends farther from the membrane. Zifarelli et al. (2008) simulated the concentration profile of protons near the membrane. Their numerical evaluation showed that if the pH at the outer vicinity of the membrane decreased by 1.3 U in the presence of buffer concentration as low as 0.1 mM after 5 s of a proton efflux of 500 pA. This local proton accumulation decreased to a ΔpH of 0.07 U when the buffer concentration was increased to 2 mM. Following the reported method, we simulated the local accumulation when the buffer concentration was increased to 100 mM (our experimental condition). The ΔpH was only 0.0013 U at the vicinity of the membrane. The time course of the development was fitted by a double-exponential function, and the smaller time constant was ~60 ms. The slow development and attenuation of the concentration polarization in the concentrated buffer were used in the experimental strategy.

**Temperature-dependent Q_{10}**

The rate constant for the proton permeation was expressed in Kramers’ theory (Kramers, 1940; Hänggi et al., 1990; Berry et al., 2000):

$$k(T) = \frac{\omega_c \omega_a}{2\pi \zeta} \exp\left[-\frac{\Delta H^\ddagger - T\Delta S^\ddagger}{RT}\right], \quad (A1)$$

where the preexponential factor can be expressed as:

$$\frac{\omega_c \omega_a}{2\pi \zeta} \frac{\omega_c}{\zeta} = \frac{\omega_a}{2\pi} \frac{\omega_c}{\zeta} \frac{k_B T}{h} \quad (A2)$$

In these equations, ζ is the friction coefficient, ωc is the characteristic frequency, h is the Planck constant, R is the gas constant, and k_B is the Boltzmann constant.
This equation for condensed systems is valid in the limit of large friction. The temperature dependence for the friction coefficient is:

\[
\zeta(T) = \frac{1}{a \exp(-b/T)}.
\]  

(A3)

With this relation, the Kramers’ equation becomes

\[
k(T) = a \omega_c \frac{k_b T}{h} \exp \left[ -\frac{\Delta H_{cb}^2}{RT} - \frac{b}{T} + \frac{S_c^b}{R} \right].
\]

(A4)

where \(\Delta H_{cb}^2\) is the activation enthalpy and \(\Delta S_c^b\) is the activation entropy. Here, \(\Delta H_{cb}^2\) and \(b\) were lumped together as \(\Delta H_{cb}^2 + bR\) because \(\zeta(T)\) and its temperature dependence cannot be obtained unequivocally. Also, \(a\) and \(\omega_c\) were collected as \(\omega_c^* = a\omega_c\). Then,

\[
k(T) = \omega_c^* \frac{k_b T}{h} \exp \left[ -\frac{\Delta H_{cb}^2}{RT} + \frac{S_c^b}{R} \right].
\]

(A5)

The temperature dependence of \(k(T)\), or \(Q_{0o}\) of proton permeation, is expressed as:

\[
Q_{0o}(T) = \exp[10 \times \partial_r \log k(T)] = \exp \left[ 10 \times \left( \frac{\Delta H_{cb}^2}{RT} + \frac{1}{T} \right) \right].
\]

(A6)

### The access resistance

Access resistance was formalized theoretically based on geometrical and electrostatic considerations, and a simplified expression was proposed by Hall (1975) as

\[
R_{AR} = \frac{\rho}{4r^2}.
\]

(A7)

where \(\rho\) is the resistivity of the solution and \(r\) is the capture radius. The resistance of a hemispherical region outside a pore entrance was integrated to give the access resistance, and most of the resistance arises within close vicinity of the pore. The time for development of the access resistance can be estimated by \(r^2/D\) (Crank, 1975). For \(r < 1\) nm and \(D\) (diffusion coefficient) < \(10^{-1}\) cm²/s, the time constant is \(10^{-10}\) s.

The access resistance is further modified by the presence of buffer. Protonated buffer distributes within the access region and supplies protons to the pore, which replenishes the limited delivery (proton supply by buffer; Fig. 2) (Decker and Levitt, 1988). This may attenuate amplitudes of the access resistance. Here, we estimate the effectiveness of the proton supply from the buffer to the pore quantitatively. The time constant for buffering is

\[
1 + \frac{k_1[H^+] + k_{-1}^b}{k_{-1}^b}.
\]

and it is calculated as \(10^{-4}\) to \(10^{-5}\) s for a \(k_1\) of \(10^9\)–\(10^{10}\) M⁻¹/s and \(k_{-1}^b\) of \(1\times10^{-10}\) M. This value is much larger than the time constant for development of the access resistance (~\(10^{-10}\) s). This means that the release rate of protons from the protonated buffer is much slower than the development of access resistance. In this case, the proton supply from the protonated buffer cannot keep up with the demand. The access resistance for the voltage-gated proton channel has not been estimated quantitatively, so these theoretical predictions remain to be evaluated experimentally.

The total resistance \((R_{total})\) across the membrane is composed of the channel resistance \((R_{ch})\) and the access resistance on both sides of the membrane (external and internal \(R_{AR}\)).

\[
R_{total} = R_{ch} + R_{AR}^o + R_{AR}^i.
\]

(A8)

The relative contributions of \(R_{AR}\) to \(R_{total}\) were estimated by the following methods. Changes in the external \(R_{ch}\) lead to changes in \(R_{total}\), even if \(R_{ch}\) and internal \(R_{AR}\) are constant. The external \(R_{AR}\) can be changed by altering \(\rho\) (Eq. A7) (Hall, 1975), which is attained by perfusing extracellular solutions with higher resistivity, such as by adding concentrated nonelectrolytes (Ando et al., 2005). From the changes in the current amplitude upon exposure (the resistivity pulse method), the relative contribution of \(R_{AR}\) to \(R_{ch}\) can be estimated by this equation:

\[
\frac{I_{jump}}{I_{pre-jump}} = \frac{R_{ch} + R_{AR}^o + R_{AR}^i}{R_{ch} + R_{AR}^o + R_{AR}^i}.
\]

(A9)

in which \(R_{AR}^o\) represents the resistance after perfusion with a different conductivity solution. Incorporating Hall’s relation for the ratio of the access resistances at different solutions, the conductance ratio can be obtained:

\[
\frac{I_{jump}}{I_{pre-jump}} = \frac{1 + \frac{R_{ch}}{R_{AR}^o} + \frac{R_{ch} + R_{AR}^o}{\rho}}{\frac{R_{ch}}{R_{AR}^i} + \frac{R_{ch} + R_{AR}^i}{\rho}}.
\]

(A10)

We assume that the external and internal \(R_{AR}\) are identical in symmetric solutions. Based on Hall’s equation, \(R_{AR}\) is determined by the conductivity and the capture radius. Therefore, the symmetric assumption is acceptable as far as the structural information of the channel is not available. Eq. A10 becomes

\[
\frac{I_{jump}}{I_{pre-jump}} = \frac{2 + \frac{R_{ch}}{R_{AR}^o} / \rho}{1 + \frac{R_{ch}}{R_{AR}^i} + \frac{R_{ch}}{R_{AR}^o} / \rho}.
\]

(A11)
In this equation, the resistivities of the buffer solution were measured by a conductivity meter at different temperatures. The resistance ratio is an only unknown parameter that can be obtained through fitting the experimental data.

The $Q_{10}$ value for resistances in series

The total conductance of the channel and access resistances in series are expressed as

$$G_{\text{total}} = \frac{G_{\text{Ch}} \times G_{\text{AR}}}{G_{\text{AR}} + 2G_{\text{Ch}}}, \quad (A12)$$

where $G_{\text{Ch}}$ is the channel conductance and $G_{\text{AR}}$ is the access conductance. The $Q_{10}$ value for this conductance is

$$Q_{10}(T) = \exp[10 \times \partial \log(G_{\text{normal}})] = \exp\left[10 \times \frac{2G_{\text{AR}}G_{\text{Ch}} + G_{\text{AR}}^2G_{\text{Ch}}}{G_{\text{AR}}^2G_{\text{Ch}} + 2G_{\text{AR}}^2G_{\text{Ch}}^2}\right], \quad (A13)$$

$G_{\text{Ch}}$ was replaced by $k(T)$ and $G_{\text{AR}}$ was replaced by Eq. 12, in which $\rho$ is expressed by $1/(A\exp[-B/T])$. Then, $Q_{10}(T)$ is expressed as

$$Q_{10} = \exp\left[\frac{10}{T^2}\left(B + \frac{A}{R} \left(-\frac{\Delta H_{\text{Ch}}^\circ}{R} + T - B\right) \exp\left[-\frac{\Delta H_{\text{Ch}}^\circ}{RT}\right] + \frac{\Delta S_{\text{Ch}}^\circ}{R} + \frac{B}{T}\right)\right], \quad (A14)$$

where $\Delta H_{\text{Ch}}^\circ$ is the activation enthalpy, $\Delta S_{\text{Ch}}^\circ$ is the activation entropy, and $\omega_{\text{Ch}}$ is the frequency factor for the channel. $A$ and $B$ can be obtained from the resistivity measurements at different temperatures. The fitting of the three unknown parameters ($\Delta H_{\text{Ch}}^\circ$, $\Delta S_{\text{Ch}}^\circ$, and $\omega_{\text{Ch}}$) to the measured $Q_{10}$ values was performed.
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