A regulatory calcium-binding site at the subunit interface of CLC-K kidney chloride channels
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The two human CLC Cl⁻ channels, CIC-Ka and CIC-Kb, are almost exclusively expressed in kidney and inner ear epithelia. Mutations in the genes coding for CIC-Kb and barttin, an essential CLC-K channel β subunit, lead to Bartter syndrome. We performed a biophysical analysis of the modulatory effect of extracellular Ca²⁺ and H⁺ on CIC-Ka and CIC-Kb in Xenopus oocytes. Currents increased with increasing [Ca²⁺]_{ext} without full saturation up to 50 mM. However, in the absence of Ca²⁺, CIC-Ka currents were still 20% of currents in 10 mM [Ca²⁺]_{ext}, demonstrating that Ca²⁺ is not strictly essential for opening. Vice versa, CIC-Ka and CIC-Kb were blocked by increasing [H⁺]_{ext} with a practically complete block at pH 6. Ca²⁺ and H⁺ act as gating modifiers without changing the single-channel conductance. Dose–response analysis suggested that two protons are necessary to induce block with an apparent pK of ~7.1. A simple four-state allosteric model described the modulation by Ca²⁺ assuming a 13-fold higher Ca²⁺ affinity of the open state compared with the closed state. The quantitative analysis suggested separate binding sites for Ca²⁺ and H⁺.

A mutagenic screen of a large number of extracellularly accessible amino acids identified a pair of acidic residues (E261 and D278 on the loop connecting helices I and J), which are close to each other but positioned on different subunits of the channel, as a likely candidate for forming an intrasubunit Ca²⁺-binding site. Single mutants E261Q and D278N greatly diminished and the double mutant E261Q/D278N completely abolished modulation by Ca²⁺. Several mutations of a histidine residue (H497) that is homologous to a histidine that is responsible for H⁺ block in CIC-2 did not yield functional channels. However, the triple mutant E261Q/D278N/H497M completely eliminated H⁺-induced current block. We have thus identified a protein region that is involved in binding these physiologically important ligands and that is likely undergoing conformational changes underlying the complex gating of CIC-K channels.

INTRODUCTION

In the kidney, transepithelial movement of ions and their relative reabsorption are guaranteed by the presence of channels and transporters along the nephron. In particular, Henle’s loop is the nephron segment in which a large fraction of NaCl and divalent cations are reabsorbed, maintaining the body’s salt equilibrium and fluid balance. In the thick ascending limb (TAL), Cl⁻ is mainly taken up through the apical NKCC2 transporter, which works in concert with the Na-K-ATPase and the ROMK potassium channel, and is released from the basolateral membrane through CIC-K/barttin channels (Jentsch, 2005; Jentsch et al., 2005).

Human CLC-K channels belong to the CLC family of Cl⁻ channels and transporters. CIC-Ka and CIC-Kb share 90% of identity in their primary structure and coassemble in the kidney and inner ear with the β subunit barttin (Uchida et al., 1993; Kieferle et al., 1994; Simon et al., 1997; Estévez et al., 2001; Jentsch, 2005; Jentsch et al., 2005). CIC-K1 (CIC-Ka) appears to be mainly expressed in the thin ascending limb of Henle’s loop of the nephron, whereas CIC-K2 (CIC-Kb) is restricted to the basolateral membranes of epithelial cells in the TAL, connecting tubule, distal convoluted tubule, and intercalated cells. Both isoforms are also localized in basolateral membranes of marginal cells of the stria vascularis and in dark cells of the vestibular organ, in which they play a role in the production of the endolymph (Rickheit et al., 2008). The role of CLC-K channels is stressed by the association of mutations in the genes coding for CLC-Ks and barttin to several kidney diseases. Mutations in CIC-Kb and barttin genes are associated with the renal disease called Bartter syndrome (type III and type IV, respectively), which is characterized by renal salt wasting due to an impaired NaCl reabsorption in the TAL (Simon et al., 1997). Mutations in the gene coding for barttin also cause deafness (Birkenhàger et al., 2001). Moreover, it has been shown that mice lacking the CIC-K1 channel exhibit nephrogenic diabetes insipidus (Matsumura et al., 1999). Yet, no human
disease with mutations only in the gene coding for CLC-Ka has been described yet. However, simultaneous loss of function of both CLC-Ka and CLC-Kb leads to Bartter syndrome (type IV) with deafness, similar to the effect of barttin mutations (Schlingmann et al., 2004). This result supports the idea that CLC-Ka and CLC-Kb are functionally redundant in the inner ear, but not in the kidney.

In previous reports, it has been described that human CLC-K channels, like the rodent CLC-K1, are modulated by extracellular Ca$^{2+}$ and protons in the millimolar range, and at physiological pH, respectively (Uchida et al., 1995; Estévez et al., 2001; Waldegger et al., 2002). In fact, both isoforms are enhanced by increasing [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{ext}$, and blocked by increasing concentration of extracellular protons. Modulation of CLC proteins by pH is very common. This is not surprising for those CLC proteins that act as Cl$^{-}$ channels by increasing concentration of extracellular protons. Modulation of CLC proteins by pH is very common. This is not surprising for those CLC proteins that act as Cl$^{-}$ channels, even though there is no direct evidence for this view.

On the other hand, activation by extracellular Ca$^{2+}$ is unique to CLC-K channels. The concentrations at which CLC-Ks are maximally sensitive to Ca$^{2+}$ and H$^+$ are close to the physiological values found in extracellular fluids. Therefore, the modulation by these agents is likely of physiological relevance, even though there is no direct evidence for this view.

Here, we performed a detailed biophysical analysis of this modulation. Moreover, we performed a mutagenic screen and identified a histidine residue (H497) that is responsible for H$^+$-induced block as well as two residues (E261 and D278) that likely form an intersubunit Ca$^{2+}$-binding site.

**Materials and Methods**

**Molecular biology**

Mutations were introduced by recombinant PCR as described previously (Accardi and Pusch, 2003). cRNA was transcribed in vitro by SP6 RNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems) after linearization with MluI. CLC-Ka and its mutants were coexpressed with human barttin Y98A to enhance the expression (Estévez et al., 2001). The barttin construct was linearized with NotI, and RNA was transcribed using T7 RNA polymerase. All cDNA constructs were provided by T. Jentsch (Leibniz-Institut für Molekulare Pharmakologie, Berlin, Germany).

**Electrophysiology**

The cRNA of each construct was injected in defolliculated Xenopus oocytes. Oocytes were kept at 18°C in Barth’s solution containing (in mM) 84 NaCl, 1 KCl, 2.5 NaHCO$_3$, 0.5 Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$, 0.6 CaCl$_2$, and 7.5 Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, or in a solution containing (in mM) 90 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 1 MgCl$_2$, and 1 CaCl$_2$, pH 7.5. Voltage clamp data were acquired 2–4 d after the injection at room temperature using a custom acquisition program (Gepulse) and a custom-built amplifier or a TEC03X amplifier (npi electronic).

The standard bath solution contained (in mM): 112 NaCl, 10 Ca-Gluconate$_2$, 1 MgSO$_4$, and 10 HEPES, pH 7.3 (osmolarity: 235 mOsm). The effect of calcium on CLC-K currents, the concentration of Ca-Gluconate$_2$ of the standard solution was varied between 0.1 and 50 mM (the 50-mM Ca solution had an osmolarity of 313 mOsm). The somewhat elevated osmolarity of these solutions did not lead to the unspecific activation of endogenous currents in non-injected oocytes. HEPES was replaced by MES buffer in solutions having a pH <7. The holding potential was chosen close to the resting membrane potential (∼−30 mV). To evaluate the currents at different potentials, we used the following protocols of stimulation. For Figs. 1 and 2: after a prepulse to −140 mV for 200 ms, channels were stimulated with voltages ranging from −140 to 80 mV with 20-mV increments for 500 ms. Pulses ended with a tail to 60 mV for 200 ms. For all other figures: after a prepulse to −100 mV for 100 ms, channels were stimulated with voltages ranging from −140 to 80 mV with 20-mV increments for 200 ms. Pulses ended with a tail pulse to 60 mV for 100 ms.

To evaluate Ca$^{2+}$ and pH effects on CLC-Ka wild type (WT) and all its mutants, the channels were stimulated with repetitive pulses to 60 mV for 200 ms applied at 1 Hz, and solutions with different pH and/or [Ca$^{2+}$] were continuously applied until steady state was reached. Reversibility was always checked by returning to the standard solution. To estimate the contribution of endogenous currents, we applied a solution containing 100 mM NaI, 1 mM MgSO$_4$, and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.3, that specifically blocks currents carried by CLC-K, but not endogenous currents (Picollo et al., 2004). The effect of calcium and pH was quantified by calculating the ratio between the mean current at 60 mV in the specific solution and in the standard bath solution (10 mM [Ca$^{2+}$], pH 7.3). Leak currents (i.e., currents remaining in iodide) were subtracted. Error bars in figures indicate SEM.

**Patch clamp experiments**

For patch clamp recordings, the vitelline membrane of oocytes was mechanically removed during exposure to a hypertonic solution. The intracellular solution contained (in mM): 100 N-methyl-d-glucamine-Cl (NMDG-Cl), 2 Mg$_2$SO$_4$, 10 HEPES, and 2 EGTA, pH 7.3. The standard extracellular solution contained (in mM): 90 NMDG-Cl, 10 CaCl$_2$, 1 MgCl$_2$, and 10 HEPES, pH 7.3. For some experiments, the pH was changed to 8 (keeping HEPES-buffer). The Ca$^{2+}$ concentration was changed to 2 or 1.8 mM CaCl$_2$ for the 0 Ca$^{2+}$ solution (Fig. 3). Ca$^{2+}$ was exchanged by glutamate. Pipettes were pulled from aluminosilicate glass capillaries (Hilgenberg) and had resistances of 1–2 × 10$^6$ Ohm in the recording solutions. Currents were recorded at 50 kHz after filtering at 10 kHz with an eight-pole Bessel filter. For the recordings shown in Fig. 3, the outside-out configuration of the patch clamp technique was used, whereas for the noise analysis experiments shown in Fig. 51, the inside-out configuration was used (Hamill et al., 1981; Stühmer, 1998).
For noise analysis, 30–100 identical pulses to −100 or −140 mV were applied, and the mean response, $I$, was calculated. The variance, $\sigma^2$, was calculated from the averaged squared difference of consecutive traces. Background variance at 0 mV was subtracted, and the variance-mean plot was constructed by binning as described by Heinemann and Conti (1992).

The binned variance-mean plot was fitted by $\sigma^2 = i - F/N$, with the single-channel current, $i$, and the number of channels, $N$, as free parameters (Pusch et al., 1994). The single-channel conductance was calculated assuming a linear $i$-$V$ relationship.

For the experiments shown in Fig. 3, a rapid solution exchanger (RSC-160; Biological Science Instruments) was used. In this instrument, three glass capillaries of 0.5-mm diameter are mounted on a rod that is rotated by a step motor. Full switching from one solution to the other requires ~10 ms (see Fig. 3 A, inset c).

**Data analysis**

Currents measured at different $[Ca^{2+}]_{\text{ext}}$ and pH were normalized to the steady-state current measured at 60 mV in the standard bath solution, i.e., 10 mM $Ca^{2+}$, pH 7.3. Normalized currents were plotted versus $[Ca^{2+}]_{\text{ext}}$ and pH.

In Fig. S1, we show example experiments for nonstationary noise analysis done for ClC-Ka at various pH and $[Ca^{2+}]_{\text{ext}}$ conditions. In Fig. S2, we summarize the results of the effects of $[Ca^{2+}]_{\text{ext}}$ on all mutants. In Fig. S3, we summarize the results of the effects of [pH]$_{\text{ext}}$ on all mutants. Figs. S1–S3 are available at http://www.jgp.org/cgi/content/full/jgp.201010455/DC1.

**RESULTS**

**Effect of extracellular calcium and protons on ClC-Ka and ClC-Kb channels**

CLC-K currents, measured in the standard solution containing 10 mM $Ca^{2+}$, pH 7.3, show the following typical behavior: ClC-Ka partially deactivates at positive voltages and activates at negative voltages (Estévez et al., 2001; Picollo et al., 2004) (Fig. 1 A), whereas ClC-Kb activates at positive voltages and deactivates at negative voltages (Estévez et al., 2001; Picollo et al., 2004) (Fig. 1 A).
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**Figure 1.** $Ca^{2+}_\text{ext}$ dependence of ClC-Ka and ClC-Kb. (A) Typical currents of ClC-Ka evoked by the standard IV-pulse protocol at different $Ca^{2+}$ concentrations as indicated, at pH 7.3. (B) Effect of $[Ca^{2+}]_{\text{ext}}$ on ClC-Ka (circles; $n = 9$). Current at 60 mV acquired at different conditions was normalized to values measured in standard solution (10 mM $Ca^{2+}$, pH 7.3) and plotted versus $[Ca^{2+}]_{\text{ext}}$ (used concentrations: 0.1, 0.5, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, and 50 mM). The line represents the fit obtained using Eq. 2 as described in Results. (C) Superimposed traces measured at 60 mV at 5 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{\text{ext}}$ (gray line) and at 20 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_{\text{ext}}$ (black line) measured from the same oocyte. Currents were scaled to the steady-state current at 60 mV. Horizontal bars, 200 ms. (D) Typical currents of ClC-Kb measured at different $Ca^{2+}$ concentrations as indicated. (E) Effect of $[Ca^{2+}]_{\text{ext}}$ (concentrations as in B) on ClC-Kb (squares; $n = 5$). Horizontal bars, 200 ms. Vertical bars, 5 µA.
voltages with slower kinetics compared with ClC-Ka (Estévez et al., 2001; Picollo et al., 2004) (Fig. 1 D).

In the first set of experiments, we applied solutions with different Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 50 mM Ca$^{2+}$, keeping the pH fixed at 7.3. ClC-Ka-mediated currents increase with increasing [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{ext}$ (Estévez et al., 2001), without saturation up to 50 mM (Fig. 1 A). Such high Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations did not induce unspecific endogenous or leak currents in non-injected oocytes (not depicted). An important finding from a mechanistic point of view is that, at a Ca$^{2+}$ concentration of 0.1 mM, ClC-Ka currents are still ~20% of the currents measured in 10 mM [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{ext}$ (Fig. 1 B). Preliminary experiments showed that reducing [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{ext}$ to nominally zero did not further reduce currents compared with 0.1 mM [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{ext}$ (not depicted). However, the development of endogenous currents under these conditions precluded a more systematic investigation. These results suggest that extracellular Ca$^{2+}$ is not strictly essential for opening. As can be seen from the traces in Fig. 1 A, the kinetics—and thus the voltage dependence—are, to a first approximation unaffected by [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{ext}$. This is illustrated in more detail in Fig. 1 C, where currents recorded from the same oocyte at 5 mM [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{ext}$ and at 20 mM [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{ext}$ measured at 60 mV are superimposed and normalized to the steady-state current. ClC-Kb has a qualitatively very similar but slightly less pronounced dependence on [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{ext}$ (Fig. 1, D and E).

Next, we investigated the pH sensitivity of ClC-Ka and ClC-Kb (Fig. 2). In these experiments, [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{ext}$ was fixed at 10 mM. ClC-Ka-mediated currents decrease at acidic pH with an almost complete block at pH 6.0 (Fig. 2, A and B). Extracellular pH clearly alters the kinetics and the voltage dependence of gating, as demonstrated by the superposition of traces at pH 8.0 and at 7.0 measured at 60 mV (Fig. 2 C). In fact, protons slightly alter the kinetics of the current relaxation at positive voltages.
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**Figure 2.** pH$_{ext}$ dependence of ClC-Ka and ClC-Kb. (A) Voltage clamp traces of ClC-Ka measured at different pH as indicated, in 10 mM [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{ext}$. (B) Effect of pH$_{ext}$ on ClC-Ka (circles; n = 7). Normalized currents were calculated as for Fig. 1, I/I$_{10}$mM Ca$^{2+}$, pH 7.3, is plotted versus pH. The line represents the fit obtained using Eq. 1 as described in Results. (C) Superimposed traces measured at pH 7.0 (black line) and pH 8.0 (gray line) measured from the same oocyte. Currents were scaled to the steady-state current at 60 mV. Horizontal bar, 200 ms. (D) Traces of ClC-Kb measured at different pH as indicated, in 10 mM [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{ext}$. (E) Effect of pH$_{ext}$ on ClC-Kb (squares; n = 4). Horizontal bars, 200 ms. Vertical bars, 5 µA.
and significantly affect the activation at negative voltages. However, we did not study the effect of pH on the kinetics of gating in more detail, mainly because of the well-known problems of voltage clamp studies in *Xenopus* oocytes. ClC-Kb shows a similar behavior, but it appears to be more sensitive to proton block than ClC-Ka (Fig. 2, D and E), and for ClC-Kb, the effect of pH does not seem to saturate. This may be explained by a shift of the apparent pK of the effect toward more alkaline pH. Qualitatively, Ca$^{2+}$ and protons have a similar effect on both CLC-K homologues. Therefore, we focused our attention on ClC-Ka because of its higher expression compared with ClC-Kb.

An important mechanistic question is whether Ca$^{2+}$ and H$^+$ exert their effect by a direct pore-occluding mechanism or if they modulate in an allosteric manner the open probability of the channel that is governed by one or more gating processes. A crude distinction between these two possibilities can be based on a kinetic analysis: allosteric gating regulation is expected to be “slow,” whereas a direct occluding effect is expected to be “fast.” Rapid perfusion is difficult to achieve in whole oocyte recordings. Therefore, we conducted outside-out patch recordings and used a rapid perfusion system to change the extracellular pH or Ca$^{2+}$ concentration. A typical experiment is shown in Fig. 3 A, in which the current recorded at 60 mV from a patch containing many channels is displayed as a function of time. Upon reduction of external Ca$^{2+}$ from 10 to 1.8 mM, currents decrease reversibly. To test the speed of the perfusion system, a solution without Cl$^-$ was applied at the end of the experiment. The insets in Fig. 3 A (a, b, and c) show the solution changes at an expanded time scale, superimposed with single-exponential fits (red lines). The speed of the solution exchange was on the order of 10 ms (Fig. 3 A, inset c), clearly much faster than the relaxations observed upon changing the Ca$^{2+}$ concentration. Similarly slow relaxations were observed upon switching the extracellular pH from 7.3 to 8 (see Fig. 3 B). Average time constants are shown in Fig. 3 B for Ca$^{2+}$
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**Figure 3.** Relaxation kinetics upon fast concentration jumps in outside-out patches. (A) Example recording of a patch held at 60 mV in which [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{ex}$ was switched from 10 to 1.8 mM, and then back to 10 mM. Finally, the patch was exposed to a solution without Cl$^-$ (and without Ca$^{2+}$). The three insets below show the transition regions on an expanded time scale (bar, 500 ms), superimposed with a single-exponential fit (red line). Time constants for the solution exchange (inset c) were on the order of 10 ms. (B) Average time constants ($n > 3$ patches) for the indicated transitions.
jumps and pH jumps. These kinetic experiments suggest that both Ca\(^{2+}\) and protons exert their effects by an allosteric modulation of the channel open probability.

To further exclude a direct effect on ion permeation, we performed nonstationary noise analysis to estimate the single-channel conductance. Typical experiments are shown in Fig. S1. From these experiments, no effect of Ca\(^{2+}\) or pH on the single-channel conductance could be detected (Table I).

To find out whether Ca\(^{2+}\) and H\(^+\) compete for common binding sites, we performed experiments mixing the concentrations of the two cations. First, we applied solutions with various [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{ext}}\), keeping the pH fixed at a value of 8, a condition of maximal stimulation in the range of pH values tested (see Fig. 2 A). Interestingly, ClC-Ka currents still increase without saturation up to 50 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 4 A). Also at pH 6.5, a condition at which channel activity is very low (see Fig. 2 B), the effect of Ca\(^{2+}\) was still clearly evident (Fig. 4 B). At this pH, measurements at a [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{ext}}\) <2 mM are not reliable due to an increase of leak and endogenous currents after a long exposure to low pH and low Ca\(^{2+}\). Next, keeping [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{ext}}\) fixed at 0.5 mM, the pH dependence was very similar to that seen at [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{ext}}\) of 10 mM (Fig. 4 C). These results suggest that extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) and extracellular protons act via independent mechanisms and binding sites. This hypothesis was further scrutinized by kinetic modeling.

**Modeling pH and Ca\(^{2+}\) modulation of ClC-Ka**

Acidic pH practically completely blocks ClC-Ka. We therefore fitted the pH dependence by a simple Michaelis-Menten titration curve,

\[
p_u = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{[H]}{K_H}\right)^n},
\]

where \(p_u\) is the probability of not being blocked by a proton, [H] the proton concentration, \(K_H\) the apparent binding constant, and \(n\) the Hill coefficient. We define \(pK = -\log_{10}(K_H)\) for convenience. As shown in Fig. 2 C, proton-induced block is voltage dependent. For simplicity, however, we concentrated our analysis on the proton block at 60 mV. The solid line in Fig. 2 B is the best fit of Eq. 1, with \(pK = 7.11\) and \(n = 1.61\). The Hill coefficient is significantly larger than one suggesting that more than one proton (most likely two) is necessary to block the channel.

Regarding the modeling of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-induced potentiation, we have to consider that ClC-Ka channels are partially open even in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\). Therefore, a simple two-state mechanism is inadequate. Instead, we are forced to invoke an allosteric model of Ca\(^{2+}\) modulation that incorporates an open non-Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound state. Conceptually, the simplest allosteric model is composed of four states, as shown below.

**Figure 4.** Ca\(^{2+}\) and pH effects on ClC-Ka at mixed conditions. (A and B) Effect of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{ext}}\) on ClC-Ka measured at pH\(_{\text{ext}}\) 8 (A, \(n = 6\)) and pH\(_{\text{ext}}\) 6.5 (B, \(n = 4\)). Currents at 60 mV were normalized to values measured in 10 mM [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{ext}}\) and plotted versus [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{ext}}\). (C) Effect of pH\(_{\text{ext}}\) on ClC-Ka in 0.5 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) (\(n = 3\)). Currents at 60 mV were normalized to values measured at pH 7.3 and plotted versus pH\(_{\text{ext}}\). Lines represent the theoretical predictions obtained using Eqs. 1 and 2 as described in Results using the same parameters obtained from the fit in the standard conditions (i.e., \(pK = 7.11; K^\prime = 19.6\) mM, \(K^\prime = 1.5\) mM, and \(r_0 = 434\)).
it is significantly $>1$. The precise determination of $r_0$ would imply knowledge about the absolute open probability, clearly an impossible piece of information to be obtained from macroscopic measurements. To further test if proton-induced block and Ca$^{2+}$ potentiation are independent processes, we compared the experimental results obtained under “mixed conditions” (Fig. 4) with the predictions of the modeling obtained under standard conditions (Figs. 1 and 2). The lines in Fig. 4 were calculated using the same parameters obtained from the fits described above (i.e., $pK = 7.11$; $K^C = 19.6$ mM, $K^D = 1.5$ mM, and $r_0 = 434$). Within the experimental error, these fits provide an adequate theoretical prediction of this model nicely fits the experimental data. The value obtained for $r_0$ cannot be considered reliable. In fact, the model predictions are practically independent from the value of $r_0$, as long as it is significantly $>1$. The precise determination of $r_0$ would imply knowledge about the absolute open probability, clearly an impossible piece of information to be obtained from macroscopic measurements.

To further test if proton-induced block and Ca$^{2+}$ potentiation are independent processes, we compared the experimental results obtained under “mixed conditions” (Fig. 4) with the predictions of the modeling obtained under standard conditions (Figs. 1 and 2). The lines in Fig. 4 were calculated using the same parameters obtained from the fits described above (i.e., $pK = 7.11$; $K^C = 19.6$ mM, $K^D = 1.5$ mM, and $r_0 = 434$). Within the experimental error, these fits provide an adequate theoretical prediction of this model nicely fits the experimental data. The value obtained for $r_0$ cannot be considered reliable. In fact, the model predictions are practically independent from the value of $r_0$, as long as it is significantly $>1$. The precise determination of $r_0$ would imply knowledge about the absolute open probability, clearly an impossible piece of information to be obtained from macroscopic measurements.

To further test if proton-induced block and Ca$^{2+}$ potentiation are independent processes, we compared the experimental results obtained under “mixed conditions” (Fig. 4) with the predictions of the modeling obtained under standard conditions (Figs. 1 and 2). The lines in Fig. 4 were calculated using the same parameters obtained from the fits described above (i.e., $pK = 7.11$; $K^C = 19.6$ mM, $K^D = 1.5$ mM, and $r_0 = 434$). Within the experimental error, these fits provide an adequate

Here, $O_u$ is the open Ca$^{2+}$-free state, and $O_{Cu}$ is the open state bound to Ca$^{2+}$. $C_u$ and $C_{Ca}$ refer similarly to the closed states. Ca$^{2+}$ binding to the open state is governed by the dissociation constant $K^C$, whereas Ca$^{2+}$ binding to the closed state is described by the dissociation constant $K^D$, $p_0$ is the ratio between the probability of being in states $C_u$ and $O_u$ ($p_0 = p(C_u)/p(O_u)$).

For Scheme 1, the open probability is given by the following equation:

$$p_o = \frac{1 + \frac{[Ca]}{K^C}}{1 + r_0 + \frac{[Ca]}{K^D} + \frac{[Ca]}{K^C}}$$

The solid line in Fig. 1 B is the best fit of Eq. 2, resulting in $K^C = 19.6$ mM, $K^D = 1.5$ mM, and $r_0 = 434$. The theoretical prediction of this model nicely fits the experimental data. The value obtained for $r_0$ cannot be considered reliable. In fact, the model predictions are practically independent from the value of $r_0$, as long as it is significantly $>1$. The precise determination of $r_0$ would imply knowledge about the absolute open probability, clearly an impossible piece of information to be obtained from macroscopic measurements.

To further test if proton-induced block and Ca$^{2+}$ potentiation are independent processes, we compared the experimental results obtained under “mixed conditions” (Fig. 4) with the predictions of the modeling obtained under standard conditions (Figs. 1 and 2). The lines in Fig. 4 were calculated using the same parameters obtained from the fits described above (i.e., $pK = 7.11$; $K^C = 19.6$ mM, $K^D = 1.5$ mM, and $r_0 = 434$). Within the experimental error, these fits provide an adequate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Conductance (pS)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 mM Ca$^{2+}$, pH 7.3</td>
<td>16.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 mM Ca$^{2+}$, pH 7.3</td>
<td>16.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mM Ca$^{2+}$, pH 8</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Substitution of two externally accessible residues eliminates the sensitivity to Ca\(^{2+}\)

The structure of the bacterial homologue EcClC-1 has been determined by x-ray crystallography (Dutzler et al., 2002). The bacterial EcClC-1 is significantly homologous to the eukaryotic CLCs (Maduke et al., 2000). In particular, they share the same transmembrane topology and several conserved regions. For this reason, we used the structure of the bacterial transporter as a guide to select and mutate all titratable residues of ClC-Ka that could be accessible from the extracellular side of the pore. In total, we chose and mutated 50 residues that are located between helices B and Q (see legend to Fig. S2). In general, charged residues (Glu, Asp, Arg, Lys, and His) were neutralized. Other titratable residues (Cys and Tyr) were changed with non-titratable residues. In cases where the mutations were not functional,
we tried alternative and more conservative mutations. The extensive mutagenic screen is shown in Fig. 5 A, where we colored the mutated residues in light blue and in pink in the two different subunits. With the exception of H480 and E490, mutations of all other residues led to functionally active protein at least for one amino acid change. The majority of them displayed Cl- currents that rapidly deactivate at positive voltages and activate at negative voltages, similar to WT currents when measured in standard solution (not depicted). For each of these mutations, we studied the effect of extracellular Ca2+ and protons. The results of this screen are shown in Figs. S2 and S3, where normalized currents of the mutants are plotted versus the calcium concentrations and pH, respectively. Although several mutations slightly affected calcium sensitivity (Fig. S2), only E261Q and D278N had a drastically reduced calcium sensitivity (Fig. 6, B and C).

E261Q loses most of the Ca2+ sensitivity (Fig. 6, B and E). In fact, at 1 mM [Ca2+]ext, currents mediated by E261Q are >50% of the currents measured in 10 mM [Ca2+]ext, and when increasing [Ca2+]ext to 50 mM, the currents only slightly increase. For this mutant, the normalized current I([Ca2+]ext)/I(10 mM) varied less than twofold between 1 and 50 mM [Ca2+]ext, whereas for WT, the same ratio varied by ~10-fold (Fig. 6, A and E).

Having identified E261 as being critical for [Ca2+]ext sensitivity, we selected and mutated all residues located nearby, but none of these mutations were significantly different from WT (Fig. S2).

The other mutation, D278N, is even less Ca2+ sensitive than E261Q (Fig. 6, C and E). In fact, currents mediated by D278N do not vary between 1 and 10 mM [Ca2+]ext, and they only slightly increase at 50 mM [Ca2+]ext (Fig. 6, C and E). These results lead us to suggest that E261 and D278 could be part of a binding site for calcium. In agreement with this hypothesis, the double mutant E261Q/D278N completely abolishes the calcium sensitivity (Fig. 6, D and E).

Residue E261 is conserved in both human CLC-K homologues and also in the rodent isoforms ClC-K1 and ClC-K2, but not in all CLCs. Residue D278 is conserved in all human and rodent CLC-Ks and quite conserved in other CLCs (Fig. 5 C). Both are located in the loop between helices I and J (Fig. 5 C). Based on the alignment of ClC-Ka with EcClC-1, we tentatively assigned the residue in EcCIC-1 corresponding to D278 of ClC-Ka to N250 in EcCIC-1 (Fig. 5 C). Because of a gap in the bacterial sequence, we could not uniquely align E261 (Fig. 5 C). Tentatively, we assigned the bacterial residue E235 as the one corresponding to E261 (Fig. 5 C). Keeping in mind these limits, we evidenced E235, in yellow, and N250, in red, in a surface representation of EcClC-1 (Fig. 5, A and B). These residues are quite far from each other in the primary sequence. Interestingly, in the 3-D structure of EcCIC-1, E235 from one subunit of the homodimer and N250 from the other subunit are relatively close to each other (~10 Å). Thus, based on the experimental evidence and the structure of EcClC-1, we conclude that E261 and D278 are excellent candidates for forming an intersubunit Ca2+-binding site.

Substitution of an external residue removes the sensitivity to protons

Another objective of our work was to identify the residues of ClC-Ka that are responsible for the sensitivity to protons. Therefore, we investigated the pH sensitivity of all the mutants prepared (Fig. S3). In particular, we paid attention to the residues involved in calcium sensitivity.

E261Q and D278N are still responsive to different pH. Nevertheless, at basic pH, both show a behavior different from WT. In fact, currents mediated by E261Q only slightly increase at pH 8, whereas those mediated by D278N even decrease in the same pH range (Fig. 7 B). These results might point to an indirect involvement of these residues in the binding of protons.

Even though we selected and mutated all external titratable residues, we were not able to identify mutations that abolish the block induced at acidic pH effect. However, we could not obtain functional expression for several mutants of His-497, which corresponds to His-532 of the ClC-2 channel, where it has been shown to be responsible for H+-induced block (Niemeyer et al., 2009). His-497 is located at the N terminus of helix Q in a region that is conserved in most CLC proteins (Fig. 5 C). In ClC-2, the H532F mutation was specifically shown to eliminate H+ block. Unfortunately, the corresponding mutation in ClC-Ka (H497F) did not yield currents. In ClC-1, mutating the corresponding His to Ala was reported to yield normal channel function (Kürz et al., 1999). However, we could not obtain expression of the analogous H497A mutation. Among several other mutations (H497N, H497D, H497E, H497K, H497Y, and H497M), only H497M yielded very small currents that were, however, barely above background (not depicted). H497 corresponds to L421 in EcClC-1. The triple mutant showed bigger currents than H497M, allowing us to investigate its pH sensitivity. The triple mutant E261Q/D278N/H497M completely eliminated H+-induced current block. In fact, currents did not vary significantly between pH 5 and 7.3 (Fig. 7, A and B). As expected, the triple mutant was also completely insensitive to [Ca2+]ext (Fig. 7 A).
A Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site at the subunit interface of CLC-K channels

out patch clamp recordings led us to conclude that both ions modulate CLC-K activity by altering the open probability of the channel. In fact, the absolute open probability of ClC-Ka and ClC-Kb in physiological conditions is significantly smaller than unity, allowing for a vast modulation of CLC-K–mediated membrane conductance by various ligands, including niflumic acid (NFA) (Zifarelli et al., 2010). Applying a two-state Michaelis-Menten model for H\(^+\) block revealed that the binding of two H\(^+\) is probably needed to induce channel closure, with an apparent pK of 7.1. A simple allosteric model for Ca\(^{2+}\) modulation was able to reproduce the concentration dependence of the Ca\(^{2+}\) modulation, assuming a dissociation constant of 19.6 mM for the closed state and 1.5 mM for the open state. The parameters obtained from the fits at standard conditions could also reasonably well describe H\(^+\)-induced block at low [Ca\(^{2+}\)] and Ca\(^{2+}\) modulation at more acidic and more alkaline pH, consistent with the idea that Ca\(^{2+}\) and H\(^+\) act via separate binding sites.

Next, using the structure of a bacterial CLC protein as a guide, we were able to identify the putative binding sites for both ions. Regarding the H\(^+\)-binding site, we were aided by recent results obtained for the ClC-2 channel (Niemeyer et al., 2009). ClC-2 is regulated by external pH in a biphasic manner: slightly acidic pH values activate the channel, whereas strong acidic conditions block it (Jordt and Jentsch, 1997; Arreola et al., 2002; Niemeyer et al., 2009). Niemeyer et al. (2009) showed that the potentiation of ClC-2 by external protons is mediated by the protonation of the “gating glutamate” residue that is highly conserved in most CLC proteins. However, in ClC-K channels, this glutamate is exchanged by a not-titratable valine residue. In contrast, the H\(^+\)-blocking site appears to be similar to the blocking site identified on the ClC-2 channel (Niemeyer et al., 2009). In ClC-2, protonation of His-532 appears to be responsible for H\(^+\)-induced block, in that the H532F mutation was not blocked at acidic pH (Niemeyer et al., 2009). Unfortunately, most mutations of the corresponding His-497 residue in ClC-Ka resulted in non-functional channels. However, we could obtain reasonable currents of the triple mutant E261Q/D278N/H497M that were insensitive to pH in the range of 5 and 8, whereas the E261Q/D278N mutation was fully blocked at pH 5. Based on these results, we cannot completely rule out a certain interdependence of the pH and the Ca\(^{2+}\) effects because we could assert the importance of the His-497 residue for H\(^+\) block only in the context of a Ca\(^{2+}\)-insensitive construct (i.e., E261Q/D278N). Nevertheless, these results also suggest that in ClC-K channels, protonation of this histidine residue at the beginning of helix Q is responsible for pH-induced block. As in ClC-2 (Arreola et al., 2002; Niemeyer et al., 2009), H\(^+\) block displays a functional stoichiometry of two; i.e., it appears that two H\(^+\) are necessary for closing...
the channel, further supporting the assumption of a similar mechanism of pH-induced block in the two channels. It has been speculated that H+ block acts on the “common gate” of the double-barreled channel. However, in the absence of single-channel data, such conclusions are difficult to draw conclusively. It will be interesting to find out if protonation of the corresponding histidine residue in other CLC channels, like CIC-0 or CIC-1, also affects channel function. Regulation by extracellular Ca2+ appears to be unique to CLC-K channels. Based on an exhaustive mutagenic screen, we could identify the most likely Ca2+-binding site. It is formed by two acidic residues (E261 and D278), both localized in the loop connecting helices I and J. This loop connects the two halves of one pseudosymmetric CLC monomer (Dutzler et al., 2002); i.e., helix I is the last helix of the first half, whereas helix J is the first helix of the second half, which is inserted oppositely into the membrane with respect to the first half. Sequence conservation in the IJ loop is relatively poor among distantly related CLCs, and the Escherichia coli sequence is considerably shorter than that of most eukaryotic CLCs, resulting in a certain ambiguity of assigning the residues of the E. coli EccIC-1 that correspond to E261 and D278 of CIC-Ka. Based on the alignment shown in Fig. 5, we identified E261-CIC-Ka with E235-ecCIC-1 and D278-CIC-Ka with N250-ecCIC-1. Interestingly, these two residues of one subunit of ecCIC-1 are far from each other, but E235-ecCIC-1 of one subunit and N250-ecCIC-1 of the neighboring subunit are within \( \sim 10 \) Å from each other. This distance is clearly too large to form a cation-binding site. However, because the IJ loop of CIC-K channels is considerably longer than that of ecCIC-1, we speculate that in this channel, the two residues identified here, E261 and D278, form a millimolar affinity Ca2+-binding site between the two subunits. Consequently, two symmetrically located Ca2+-binding sites are present on each channel. The modeling of the functional data indicated that occupation of a single binding site is sufficient to promote channel activation. However, we believe that this issue deserves further detailed investigation, using, for example, heteromeric constructs in which only one of the subunits is manipulated.

Whereas D278 is rather conserved among the eukaryotic channel CLCs, E261 is not conserved in CIC-0, CIC-1, and CIC-5. Thus, it is not surprising that these CLCs are Ca2+ insensitive. It may be interesting to find out if Ca2+ sensitivity can be engineered into other CLC channels or transporters.

Recently, it was described that a mutation in the C-terminal cytosolic region of CIC-Kb (R538P) abolished its Ca2+ sensitivity, whereas the same mutation was without effect in CIC-Ka (Martinez and Maduke, 2008). This effect is likely caused indirectly by affecting the gating of CIC-Kb. Furthermore, CIC-Kb–mediated currents are rather small, such that unspecific effects cannot be fully excluded. In fact, in another recent report, the mutation R351W was suggested to abolish the Ca2+ and H+ sensitivity of CIC-Kb (Yu et al., 2010). However, in our hands, the similar mutation R351A in CIC-Ka was identical to WT (Figs. S2 and S3). Furthermore, the currents of the R351W mutant reported by Yu et al. (2010) were barely above background, casting serious doubts on the significance of this result.

Regarding the mechanism of the regulation of CIC-K channels by Ca2+ (and H+), three major questions have to be addressed in the future. First, what is the precise structure of the binding site? Second, what is the functional and structural nature of the conformational changes induced by Ca2+ and/or H+ binding? Lastly, what is the relationship of the modulation of CLC-K channels by Ca2+/H+ and the potentiation by NFA (Liantonio et al., 2006, 2008)? Regarding the latter point, we have previously identified a region on CIC-Ka where mutations strongly affect NFA sensitivity (Zifarelli et al., 2010). This region is distinct from the Ca2+-binding site identified here, and the less Ca2+-sensitive mutants E261Q and D278 do not affect the NFA sensitivity (Zifarelli et al., 2010). Nevertheless, it is not known whether Ca2+ (and H+) affects the same gating processes as does NFA.

A further important question is whether the regulation by Ca2+ and pH is of any physiological relevance. The normal plasma Ca2+ concentration ranges from 2.25 to 2.65 mM, 50% of which is in ionized form (i.e., the free concentration is \( \sim 1.2–1.3 \) mM) (Vargas-Poussou et al., 2002). Several mechanisms regulate Ca2+ homeostasis. Bone, intestine, and kidney are the organs that determine the plasma Ca2+ level. Disorders in Ca2+ balance due to several diseases or pathological conditions are highly frequent (Houillier et al., 2006). Ca2+ is reabsorbed in the kidney, particularly in the proximal tubule (\( \sim 70\% \)) and in the TAL through paracellular pathways (20%) (Frick and Bushinsky, 2003). The driving force for the paracellular flux of Ca2+ in the TAL is given by a transepithelial voltage gradient that is created by the basolateral secretion of Cl− and the apical secretion of K+ (Jeck et al., 2005). An impairment of Cl− transport leads to an abnormal Ca2+ absorption, implicating that Ca2+ reabsorption and CLC-K function are interrelated. Because CLC-Ks are modulated in the physiological range of Ca2+, and the interstitial Ca2+ level may vary in a wide range of conditions, we can speculate that this modulation is of physiological relevance. A critical test if Ca2+ regulation of CLC-K channels is physiologically relevant could be the analysis of animals that express Ca2+-insensitive CLC-K variants. In this respect, the single E261Q mutation has properties that are most favorable for a knock-in strategy because its overall properties are rather similar to the WT. In contrast, the D278N mutant and the E261Q/D278N double mutant show rather drastically reduced current levels.
The kidney is also critically involved in the maintenance of the acid-base balance. As for Ca$^{2+}$, protons modulate CLC-Ks in the physiological range of pH. Unfortunately, the pH-insensitive mutation H497M shows a drastically reduced expression level. Thus, a direct test of the physiological relevance of the pH modulation using knock-in technology will be more difficult.

Lacking direct binding data, we can certainly not exclude that the mutations E261Q/D278N and H497M abolish Ca$^{2+}$ and H$^+$ sensitivity of CIC-Ka in an indirect manner, for example, by prohibiting conformational changes associated with Ca$^{2+}$ or H$^+$ binding. However, we believe that our data provide rather strong evidence for a direct involvement of these residues in forming the respective Ca$^{2+}$- and H$^+$-binding sites because our mutational screen of externally accessible titratable residues was exhaustive, without any other positive hits. Thus, if these mutations were not involved in Ca$^{2+}$/H$^+$ binding, the true binding site must be formed in an unconventional manner: Ca$^{2+}$ would bind to uncharged residues, and H$^+$ would bind to a non-titratable residue. We deem this possibility as unlikely.

In summary, we have identified two symmetrically repeated millimolar affinity Ca$^{2+}$-binding sites on CIC-Ka that are localized at the dimer interface, each with contributions from both subunits. Our results provide a solid starting point for a detailed investigation of the precise structural basis and of the physiological relevance of the Ca$^{2+}$ and pH sensitivity of CIC-K channels.
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**Figure S1.** Nonstationary noise analysis. Typical results of nonstationary noise analysis of ClC-Ka in control conditions (A), with 2 mM [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{ext}$ (B) or at pH 8.0 (C). (Left) The mean (top trace) and the variance (bottom trace). (Right) The variance is plotted (after binning as described in Heinemann and Conti. 1992. Methods Enzymol. 207:131–148) versus the mean (symbols) and fitted with a parabola (line) as described in Materials and methods. Horizontal bars, 50 ms. Vertical bars: A: 250 pA, 1,000 pA$^2$; B: 25 pA, 50 pA$^2$; C: 100 pA, 500 pA$^2$. 

Gradogna et al., http://www.jgp.org/cgi/content/full/jgp.201010455/DC1
Figure S2. Effect of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ext}}$ on all mutants tested of ClC-Ka. Because of the large number of mutations, they were grouped by their chemical properties. In each diagram, currents measured at 60 mV were normalized to values measured in standard solution and plotted versus $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ext}}$. The following mutations did not yield functional expression: T55A, Y65C, C73R, K165Q, E259A, E259C, E259Q, T262A, E281Q, D363A, D363N, E442Q, H480N, E490A, E490C, E490D, E490N, E490Q, H497A, H497D, H497E, H497F, H497K, H497N, and H497Y.
Figure S3. Effect of pH<sub>ext</sub> on all mutants tested of ClC-Ka. In each diagram, currents measured at 60 mV were normalized to values measured in standard solution and plotted versus pH<sub>ext</sub>. 