Complex role of STIM1 in the activation of store-independent Orai1/3 channels
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Orai proteins contribute to Ca2+ entry into cells through both store-dependent, Ca2+ release–activated Ca2+ (CRAC) channels (Orai1) and store-independent, arachidonic acid (AA)-regulated Ca2+ (ARC) and leukotriene C4 (LTC4)–regulated Ca2+ (LRC) channels (Orai1/3 heteromultimers). Although activated by fundamentally different mechanisms, CRAC channels, like ARC and LRC channels, require stromal interacting molecule 1 (STIM1). The role of endoplasmic reticulum–resident STIM1 (ER-STIM1) in CRAC channel activation is widely accepted. Although ER-STIM1 is necessary and sufficient for LRC channel activation in vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs), the minor pool of STIM1 located at the plasma membrane (PM-STIM1) is necessary for ARC channel activation in HEK293 cells. To determine whether ARC and LRC conductances are mediated by the same or different populations of STIM1, Orai1, and Orai3 proteins, we used whole-cell and perforated patch-clamp recording to compare AA- and LTC4-activated currents in VSMCs and HEK293 cells. We found that both cell types show indistinguishable nonad- ditive LTC4- and AA-activated currents that require both Orai1 and Orai3, suggesting that both conductances are mediated by the same channel. Experiments using a nonmetabolizable form of AA or an inhibitor of 5-lipoxygenase suggested that ARC and LRC currents in both cell types could be activated by either LTC4 or AA, with LTC4 being more potent. Although PM-STIM1 was required for current activation by LTC4 and AA under whole-cell patch-clamp recordings in both cell types, ER-STIM1 was sufficient with perforated patch recordings. These results demonstrate that ARC and LRC currents are mediated by the same cellular populations of STIM1, Orai1, and Orai3, and suggest a complex role for both ER-STIM1 and PM-STIM1 in regulating these store-independent Orai1/3 channels.

INTRODUCTION

The universal second messenger Ca2+ controls numerous physiological and pathophysiological cell processes (Clapham, 2007; Cahalan and Chandy, 2009; Hogan et al., 2010). Orai channels contribute Ca2+ entry pathways through either store-dependent, Ca2+ release–activated Ca2+ (CRAC) channels (encoded by Orai1) (Putney, 1990; Hoth and Penner, 1992; Feske et al., 2006; Vig et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2006), or store-independent, arachidonic acid (AA)-regulated Ca2+ (ARC) and leukotriene C4 (LTC4)–regulated Ca2+ (LRC) channels (encoded by Orai1 and Orai3). Over the past two decades, Ca2+ entry through CRAC channels has become appreciated as a ubiquitous receptor-regulated, PLC-dependent Ca2+ entry pathway that controls various physiological functions in different cellular systems (Cahalan et al., 2007; Hogan and Rao, 2007; Lewis, 2011; Courjaret and Machaca, 2012; Feske et al., 2012; Trebak, 2012; Lompre et al., 2013; Srikanth and Gwack, 2013). The mechanisms of activation of store-dependent CRAC channels have been intensely studied over the past 7 years and are therefore relatively well understood (Lewis, 2011; Drerler et al., 2012; Srikanth and Gwack, 2012; Prakriya, 2013). Receptor-mediated activation of PLC hydrolyzes phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate into diacylglycerol and inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate. The latter binds to 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptors on the ER, leading to Ca2+ release and store depletion (Berridge, 1993). The depletion of ER Ca2+ is sensed by the ER Ca2+ sensor, stromal...
interacting molecule 1 (STIM1), leading to STIM1 aggregation and its translocation to regions where the ER is close to the plasma membrane (PM; within 25 nm) (Liou et al., 2005; Roos et al., 2005) to physically interact with Orai1 channels and activate CRAC-mediated Ca²⁺ entry. A minimal 100–amino acid cytosolic C-terminal domain of STIM1 called STIM/Oral-activating region (SOAR) or CRAC-activating domain (CAD) is involved in the physical interaction with Orai C and N termini (Park et al., 2009; Yuan et al., 2009). ARC channels were characterized biophysically and molecularly by Shuttleworth and coworkers (Mignen et al., 2003). Shuttleworth and coworkers reported that ARC channels have a small conductance and are highly Ca²⁺ selective in a manner similar to CRAC channels (Mignen and Shuttleworth, 2000). ARC channel activation is specifically dependent on the application of exogenous and relatively low concentrations of AA (8 µM) (Mignen and Shuttleworth, 2000; Shuttleworth, 2012). ARC channels mediate a store-independent Ca²⁺ entry pathway encoded by both Orai1 and Orai3, and were proposed to be regulated by the minor pool of STIM1 located in the PM (Mignen et al., 2007, 2008). A recent study by the same group described basal interactions between a PM-targeted C-terminal domain of STIM1 and Orai3, which are necessary for ARC channel activation (Thompson and Shuttleworth, 2013). More recent work from our laboratory in primary vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs) has defined LRC channels as store-independent Ca²⁺ selective channels activated physiologically by the agonist thrombin through production and cytosolic action of LTC₄ (González-Cobos et al., 2013). LRC channels share many ARC-like features, including dependence on Orai1, Orai3, and STIM1; store independence; and requirement for basal Orai3/STIM1 precoupling (González-Cobos et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). However, we revealed some unexpected differences between ARC channels as described in HEK293 cells and LRC channels in VSMCs, namely: (a) requirement of AA metabolism into LTC₄ through the actions of 5-lipoxygenase (5-LO) and LTC₄ synthase (LTC₄S) for LRC channel activation by thrombin (González-Cobos et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013); and (b) unlike ARC channels in HEK293 cells, ER-STIM1 is required and sufficient for LRC channel activation by agonist in VSMCs, as determined with Fura2 imaging (Zhang et al., 2013). In light of the striking similarities between ARC and LRC pathways, the two aforementioned differences between these two conductances prompted us to determine whether ARC and LRC conductances are mediated by the same cellular populations of STIM1/Orai1/Orai3 or whether they are encoded by different pools of STIM1/Orai1/Orai3 proteins, thus representing two distinct and specialized conductances reflecting differences between HEK293 cells and VSMCs. To address this question, we performed a thorough comparison between HEK293 cells and VSMCs. Using improved low noise–high resistance (>16 GΩ) whole-cell and nystatin perforated patch-clamp electrophysiological recordings, we amplified and measured reliably tiny highly Ca²⁺-selective, Orai-mediated currents from VSMCs and HEK293 cells. We show that both HEK293 cells and VSMCs display indistinguishable nonadditive LTC₄- and AA-activated currents that are encoded by both Orai1 and Orai3. The use of a non-metabolizable form of AA and an inhibitor of 5-LO in both cell types suggested promiscuity of channel activation by LTC₄ and AA, with LTC₄ being the most potent activator in both cell types, suggesting that ARC and LRC are mediated by the same channel entity. Surprisingly, although PM-STIM1 is required for current activation by LTC₄ and AA under whole-cell patch-clamp recordings in both cell types, ER-STIM1 is sufficient when intact cells are considered, suggesting a complex requirement for both ER-STIM1 and PM-STIM1 in regulating these store-independent Orai1/3 channels.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

AA, Cs-methanesulfonate, Na-methanesulfonate, and nordihydroguaiaretic acid (NDGA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. LTC₄, N-methyl LTC₄ (NMLTC₄), and eicosatetraynoic acid (ETYA) were from Cayman. 2-Aminoethoxydiphenyl borate (2-APB) was purchased from EMD Millipore. Cs-1,2-bis-(2-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N’,N’-tetraacetic acid (Cs-BAPTA) was purchased from Invitrogen. GdCl₃ was from Acros Organics. All small interference RNAs (siRNAs) were purchased from Dharmacon and have been described previously (Abdullaev et al., 2008; González-Cobos et al., 2013; Motiani et al., 2013; Shinde et al., 2013; see also Table S1). The transfection kits (VCA-1003 for HEK293 cells and VPI-1004 for VSMCs) were from Lonza. All other chemicals were from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

VSMC isolation and cell culture

HEK293 cells (ATCC) were cultured in a 75-CM² flask. Before patch-clamp recordings, we seeded cells on glass coverslips. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with 1% t-glutamine, 1% sodium pyruvate (Corning), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone Laboratories, Inc.), and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic (Invitrogen). Cells were cultured at 37°C in 5% CO₂-air atmosphere.

The use of rats for these experiments has been reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at State University of New York Albany Animal Resource Facility, which is licensed by the US Department of Agriculture and the Division of Laboratories and Research of the New York State Department of Public Health. For VSMC isolation, male adult rats (250-300 g) were euthanized, and aortas were dissected out into ice-cold physiological saline solution. Fat tissues and endothelium were removed completely. The artery was cut into small pieces and digested with a papain solution for 20 min at 37°C, and then with a mixture of collagenase II and collagenase H for 15 min at 37°C. The digestion solution was removed, and the cells were washed and gently liberated with a fire-polished glass pipette and transferred to culture plates. Isolated VSMCs were maintained in culture (45% DMEM and 45% Ham’s F-12 supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% t-glutamine, and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic) at 37°C, 5% CO₂, and 100% humidity, passaged, and used within four to eight passages in all experiments.
Cell transfections
All transfections in VSMCs were done using the Nucleofector device II (Amaxa Biosystems) and program D-033 according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Green fluorescent protein was either cotransfected with siRNA or encoded by the short hairpin RNA (shRNA) vectors for identification of successfully transfected cells. All transfections in HEK293 cells were done using program Q-001 with Nucleofector device II.

Electrophysiology
Whole-cell and nystatin perforated patch-clamp electrophysiological recordings were performed using an Axopatch 200B and Digi- data 1440A (Molecular Devices) as described previously (Zhang et al., 2011; González-Cobos et al., 2013). Pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries (World Precision Instruments, Inc.) with a flaming/brown micropipette puller (P-97; Sutter Instrument) and polished using DMF1000 (World Precision Instruments, Inc.). Resistances of filled pipettes were 2–3 MΩ. The liquid-junction potential offset caused by different internal and external saline composition was −4.7 mV and was corrected. Series resistances were <10 MΩ. Immediately before the experiments, cells were washed with bath solution. Only cells with tight seals (>16 GΩ) were selected for break in. Cells were maintained at a 0-mV holding potential during experiments and subjected to voltage ramps from +100 to −140 mV lasting 250 ms every 2 s. Immediately after establishing the whole-cell configuration, a first 30 s-divalent-free (DFV) pulse (before current development in response to LTC4 dialysis or before application of exogenous AA) is applied to gauge maximal background current. The IV curves obtained in DFV bath solutions representing the maximal background current are averaged and then subtracted from the average IV of LTC4- or AA-activated Na+ currents obtained in DVF bath solutions when the current is maximally activated by the stimulus. These subtracted IV curves are represented as independent IV curves in all figures. Data represented in Tables 1 and S1 represent inward currents taken at −100 mV. These values were obtained by averaging background currents for all the ramps during the first DFV pulse and subtracting them from the corresponding average currents for the second DFV pulse when stimulated-activated currents are maximal. “Reverse” ramps were designed to inhibit Na+ channels potentially expressed in VSMCs. 8 mM MgCl2 was included in the pipette solution to inhibit TRPM7 currents. Clampfit 10.1 software (Molecular Devices) was used for data analysis.

Solutions for electrophysiological recordings
DFV solution contained: 155 mM Na-methanesulfonate, 10 mM HEDTA, 1 mM EDTA, and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, adjusted with NaOH.

AA-activated currents
Bath solution contained: 115 mM Na-methanesulfonate, 10 mM CaCl2, 1.2 mM MgSO4, 10 mM HEPES, 20 mM CaCl2, and 10 mM glucose, with pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. 8 mM AA was added to the bath where indicated in the figures. Pipette solution contained: 115 mM Cs-methanesulfonate, 10 mM Cs-BAPTA, 5 mM CaCl2, 8 mM MgCl2, and 10 mM HEPES, with pH adjusted to 7.2 with CsOH. Calculated free Ca2+ was 150 nM using Maxchelator software.

LTC4-activated currents
Bath solution contained: 115 mM Na-methanesulfonate, 10 mM CaCl2, 1.2 mM MgSO4, 10 mM HEPES, 20 mM CaCl2, and 10 mM glucose, with pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. Pipette solution contained: 125 mM Cs-methanesulfonate, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl2, 7 mM MgCl2, 10 mM HEPES, and 10 mM glucose, with pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. 200 µg/ml nystatin was included in the patch pipette.

Store depletion-activated currents
Bath solution contained: 115 mM Na-methanesulfonate, 10 mM CaCl2, 1.2 mM MgSO4, 10 mM HEPES, 20 mM CaCl2, and 10 mM glucose, with pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. Pipette solution contained: 115 mM Cs-methanesulfonate, 20 mM Cs-BAPTA, 8 mM MgCl2, and 10 mM HEPES, with pH adjusted to 7.2 with CsOH.

Solutions for perforated patch-clamp electrophysiology
Bath solution contained: 115 mM Na-methanesulfonate, 10 mM CaCl2, 1.2 mM MgSO4, 10 mM HEPES, 20 mM CaCl2, and 10 mM glucose, with pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. Pipette solution contained: 125 mM Cs-methanesulfonate, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl2, 7 mM MgCl2, 10 mM HEPES, and 10 mM glucose, with pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. 200 µg/ml nystatin was included in the patch pipette.

Erase and replace experiments
Cells were transfected with either nontargeting control siRNA (siControl) or siRNA targeting to STIM1 (siSTIM1) and incubated for 72 h. Cells were then detached and electroporated again with siSTIM1 along with siRNA-resistant plasmids encoding different versions of STIM1 (3 µg plasmid cDNA per 106 cells). Cells were allowed to recover for an additional 36 h before recording. Protein expression of STIM1 constructs was verified by Western blots and fluorescence (for eYFP-STIM1 plasmids).

Biotinylation of cell surface membrane proteins
HEK293 cells were transfected either with eYFP N-terminally tagged or untagged WT STIM1. After 24 h, cell surface proteins were biotinylated for 30 min at 4°C using sulfo-NHS-LC-LC (0.5 mg/ml; Thermo Fisher Scientific). In brief, cells were incubated for 30 min at 4°C with the biotinylation reagent. After incubation, 100 mM Tris was added to stop the reaction. Cells were washed twice with PBS to remove excess biotinylation agent and lysed with lysis buffer, pH 8.0, containing 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, 2 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, and 0.5% Nonidet P-40, and supplemented by 20 µl/ml protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Lysed samples were centrifuged at 14,000 g for 15 min. Finally, biotinylated proteins in the supernatant were precipitated using High Capacity Streptavidin Agarose Resin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) overnight at 4°C on a rocking platform. The samples were resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE, and protein detection was done using anti-STIM1 antibody.

Western blotting
Cultured cells were detached from dishes and centrifuged. The cell pellets were lysed using RIPA lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 2 mM PMSF, 10% protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche], and 10% phosphatase inhibitor cocktail [Roche]). Protein concentrations were determined by BCA protein assay reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the denatured proteins (10–20 µg) were loaded for 10 min in 4× sample buffer containing 20 mM DTT and subjected to SDS-PAGE (8–14%). Proteins were electrotransferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories). After the blots were blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk (NFDM) dissolved in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20 (TTBS) for 2 h at room temperature, they were washed three times with TTBS for 5 min each and incubated overnight at 4°C with specific primary antibodies (anti-STIM1 [1:250 dilution; BD], anti-Orai1 [1:1,000 dilution; Alomone], or anti-Orai3 [C terminal; 1:125 dilution; ProSci]) in TTBS containing 1% BSA. On the next day, membranes were washed (three times for 5 min each) with TTBS and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibodies (anti-mouse antibody [1:10,000 dilution]; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.) or anti-rabbit IgG (1:10,000 dilution; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.) in TTBS containing 2% NFDM. Protein bands were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence using Super Signal West Pico or Femto reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Signal intensity was measured with

Zhang et al. 347
an Imaging Station (LAS4000; Fujifilm). Membranes were then stripped and reprobed with β-actin antibody (β-actin NH2-terminal domain; 1:35,000 dilution; Sigma-Aldrich) to verify equal loading. Densitometric analysis was performed using ImageJ software.

RT-PCR and real-time PCR
Total RNA was extracted from VSMCs using the RNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA was made from 0.5 µg RNA reverse transcribed using oligo (dT) primers (Invitrogen) and SuperScript III reverse transcription (Invitrogen). PCR reactions were completed using Illustra PuReTaq Ready-To-Go PCR beads (GE Healthcare). The sense and antisense primers targeting Orai isoforms are described in Table S2.

PCR reactions were performed using iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The PCR protocol started with initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, and then 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 2 min. Gel electrophoresis was used to identify the PCR products in a 1% agarose gel using ethidium bromide staining. Real-time PCR analysis was performed using an iCycler and iCycler iQ Optical System software (Bio-Rad Laboratories). PCR reactions were performed using iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The PCR protocol started with initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 45 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 54.3°C, and 45 s at 72°C. Quantification was measured as sample fluorescence crossed a predetermined threshold value that was just above the background. Expressions of Orai isoforms were compared with those of the housekeeping gene rpl32 and were measured using comparative threshold cycle values.

Statistics
For patch clamp, data are expressed as mean/range; for qRT-PCR, data are represented as mean ± SEM. Statistical analyses comparing

two experimental groups were performed using two-tailed t test with Origin 7.5 software (OriginLab). Throughout the figures *, **, and *** indicates p values of <0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively. Differences were considered significant when P < 0.05. Mean/range, n number, and p-values are reported in Tables 1 and S3 for patch-clamp data.

Online supplemental material
An online supplement is included containing 14 figures and 3 tables. The supplemental figures contain ARC recordings under Ca2+-containing bath solutions (Fig. S1) as well as show the effects of Orai2 knockdown on ARC currents (Fig. S2). The supplemental figures also contain control data representing CRAC measurements in HEK293 cells and VSMCs performed in parallel to the ARC and LRC recordings depicted in the main figures (Figs. S4, 5, 6, 9, and 10). Fig. S7 addresses whether the ETYA concentration used in the study (8 µM) is submaximal, and Figs. S13 and S14 explore the role of calmodulin in LRC channel activation. Other supplemental figures show the full Western blot membranes documenting Orai1 and Orai3 knockdown (Fig. S3) and biotinylation experiments showing the expression of different forms of STIM1 proteins on the plasma membrane (Fig. S8). Tables S1 and S2 include a list of primers and siRNA/shRNA sequences used in this study, and Table S3 contains a statistical summary of all patch-clamp data represented in the supplemental figures. The online supplemental material is available at http://www.jgp.org/cgi/content/full/jgp.201311084/DC1.

RESULTS
AA activates Ca2+-selective currents mediated by Orai1 and Orai3, but not Orai2, in both VSMCs and HEK293 cells
We used whole-cell patch-clamp recordings using a pipette solution where Ca2+ was buffered to a physiological

Figure 1. Orai1 is required for ARC channel activation in both VSMCs and HEK293 cells. Whole-cell electrophysiological recordings in VSMCs infected with lentiviruses encoding shRNA against Orai1 (shOrai1) or shControl, a nontargeting control. Time course of AA-activated whole-cell inward currents taken at −100 mV shows that Orai1 knockdown abrogated Na+/Ca2+ ARC currents (B) as compared with control (A). In HEK293 cells, Orai1 knockdown using transfection with siRNA targeting Orai1 (siOrai1) significantly inhibited AA-activated Na+/Ca2+ currents (G) as compared with control (F). Na+ I-V relationships for AA-activated currents in VSMCs (C) and HEK293 cells (H) confirm the requirement of Orai1 for channel activation.

Statistical analysis of these data is shown in E and I. Western blots performed on VSMCs shows that shRNA targeting Orai1 significantly down-regulated Orai1 protein expression (D). Values of Na+ current densities are represented as mean/range in both VSMCs and HEK293 cells and are reported in Table 1. I-V curves in this figure and all subsequent figures and values in Table 1 were background subtracted, and values were averaged and determined as described in Materials and methods.
150 nM to show that 8 µM AA activated similar inwardly rectifying Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-selective currents in HEK293 cells and VSMCs. These currents were recorded in Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-containing (20 mM) bath solutions and amplified in DVF (Na\textsuperscript{+} as the charge carrier) solutions (Figs. 1, A and F, and S1, A–C). These currents displayed all the key features associated with ARC channels (Mignen and Shuttleworth, 2000), including marked inward rectification, very positive (greater than +40 mV) reversal potential, resistance to inhibition by 50 µM 2-APB (Fig. S1, D–G, shows a control where CRAC Ca\textsuperscript{2+} currents are inhibited by 2-APB), and most critically, an activation that was specifically dependent on exogenous application of low concentrations of AA. Representative background-subtracted I-V relationships of AA-activated currents in VSMCs and HEK293 cells are shown in Fig. 1 (C and H) for Na\textsuperscript{+} currents measured in DVF bath solutions (see also Fig. S1 B for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} currents in VSMCs). Statistical analysis of patch-clamp data from each figure is reported in Table 1, and details on how these values were calculated are described in Materials and methods. To determine the molecular identity of the AA-activated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} entry pathway, we used a molecular knockdown approach targeting all Orai isoforms in both VSMCs and HEK293 cells.

**Table 1** Statistical analysis of patch-clamp data from figures in the main text

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Stimulus</th>
<th>$I_{\text{Na}^+}$ (pA/pF) Mean/range</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 E</td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>shControl</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.374/0.084</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>shOrai1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.034/0.027</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>shOrai1 vs. shCont.; $P = 2.53 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 I</td>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>siControl</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.409/0.039</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>siOrai1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.106/0.044</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>siOrai vs. siCont.; $P = 2.77 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 E</td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.389/0.117</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>siOrai2</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.375/0.060</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>siOrai2 vs. Cont.; $P = 0.565$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>shOrai3</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.051/0.069</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>shOrai3 vs. Cont.; $P = 4.90 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 I</td>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.397/0.069</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>siOrai2</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.380/0.096</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>siOrai2 vs. Cont.; $P = 0.394$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>siOrai3</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.161/0.097</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>siOrai3 vs. Cont.; $P = 8.55 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 F</td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>ETYA</td>
<td>0.195/0.065</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.379/0.065</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AA vs. ETYA; $P = 3.59 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NDGA + AA</td>
<td>0.185/0.087</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NDGA + LTC\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>0.384/0.066</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NDGA+LTC\textsubscript{4} vs. NDGA + AA; $P = 7.45 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 L</td>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>ETYA</td>
<td>0.191/0.053</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.391/0.076</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>AA vs. ETYA; $P = 1.40 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NDGA + AA</td>
<td>0.290/0.107</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>NDGA + LTC\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>0.384/0.048</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NDGA + LTC\textsubscript{4} vs. NDGA + AA; $P = 1.71 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 F</td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>WT pipette</td>
<td>NMLTC\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>0.366/0.082</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>WT bath</td>
<td>NMLTC\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>0.038/0.052</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NMLTC\textsubscript{4} in Bath vs. in pipette; $P = 7.61 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 F</td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>siControl</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.403/0.056</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>+WT-STIM1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.093/0.102</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>siSTIM1 vs. siCont.; $P = 7.57 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>+eYFP-STIM1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.301/0.087</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>+WT-STIM1 vs. siSTIM1; $P = 3.27 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>siControl</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.467/0.147</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>+WT-STIM1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.311/0.169</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>+WT-STIM1 vs. siSTIM1; $P = 1.28 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>+eYFP-STIM1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.068/0.080</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>+eYFP-STIM1 vs. siSTIM1; $P = 0.158$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 F</td>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>siControl</td>
<td>LTC\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>0.416/0.135</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>siSTIM1</td>
<td>LTC\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>0.028/0.036</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>siSTIM1 vs. siCont.; $P = 3.32 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>+WT-STIM1</td>
<td>LTC\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>0.323/0.169</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>+WT-STIM1 vs. siSTIM1; $P = 8.68 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>+eYFP-STIM1</td>
<td>LTC\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>0.037/0.043</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>+eYFP-STIM1 vs. siSTIM1; $P = 0.442$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 F</td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>siControl</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.385/0.035</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>siSTIM1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.038/0.039</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>siSTIM1 vs. siCont.; $P = 3.40 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>+WT-STIM1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.315/0.149</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>+WT-STIM1 vs. siSTIM1; $P = 5.84 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSMC</td>
<td>+eYFP-STIM1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.331/0.126</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>+eYFP-STIM1 vs. siSTIM1; $P = 4.74 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical analysis on patch-clamp data performed in this study organized figure by figure and showing mean/range of Na\textsuperscript{+} currents with the corresponding n number and p-values. WT, wild type; AA, arachidonic acid; LTC\textsubscript{4}, leukotriene C\textsubscript{4}; NMLTC\textsubscript{4}, N-methyl leukotriene C\textsubscript{4}; ETYA, eicosatetraynoic acid; NGDA, nordihydroguaiaretic acid.
We used infection with specific shRNA-encoding lentiviruses for VSMCs and transfection with specific siRNA sequences in HEK293 cells (see sequence in Table S1). AA-activated Ca\(^{2+}\) entry required both Orai1 and Orai3 (Figs. 1 and 2) but was independent of Orai2 in both VSMCs and HEK293 cells (Fig. S2). Knockdown of Orai1 and Orai3 proteins in VSMCs is shown in Figs. 1 D and 2 D, whereas knockdown of Orai2 is documented at the mRNA level in Fig. S2 A because of the lack of specific antibodies against Orai2. We achieved a level of knockdown of 67 ± 8% and 72 ± 4% for Orai1 and Orai3, respectively. The entire Western blots validating the specificity of Orai1 and Orai3 antibodies using knockdown and overexpression in HEK293 cells are shown in Fig. S3. Statistical analyses on Na\(^{+}\) currents are shown in Figs. 1 (E and I) and 2 (E and I). Representative background-subtracted I-V curves are shown in Figs. 1 (C and H), 2 (C and H), and S2 (D and G), respectively; the first DVF pulse in all traces is applied before the addition of AA or shortly after break-in (for the case of BAPTA and LTC\(_4\)) to gauge background currents. Please note that statistics on data from each figure, including mean/range (instead of mean ± SEM) and p-values for comparisons are listed in Table 1. Store depletion–activated CRAC currents using 20-mM BAPTA dialysis through the patch pipette were used throughout as additional controls, further confirming the dependence of CRAC on Orai1 but not on Orai2 and Orai3 in both VSMCs and HEK293 cells (see Fig. S4 for Orai1 knockdown, Fig. S5 for Orai2 knockdown, and Fig. S6 for Orai3 knockdown). Note that CRAC currents show typical depotentiation in DVF solutions (Prakriya and Lewis, 2002), whereas AA-activated currents do not.

Activation of membrane currents by AA in HEK293 and VSMCs is mediated by its downstream metabolite, LTC\(_4\). Previous studies from our group showed that thrombin activates a store-independent Ca\(^{2+}\) entry through intracrine LTC\(_4\) originating from AA downstream metabolism by the actions of 5-LO and LTC\(_4\)S in VSMCs (González-Cobos et al., 2013). However, an earlier pharmacological study concluded that ARC channel activation is mediated
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by AA produced through phospholipase A2 activity and does not depend on AA metabolites (Shuttleworth, 1996). Therefore, to determine if this represents a difference between different cell types (namely, HEK293 cells and VSMCs), we sought to determine side by side in both cell types whether AA metabolism is required for current activation by AA. We tested a nonmetabolized analogue of AA (ETYA) and showed that ETYA activated a Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-selective current in both HEK293 cells and VSMCs, but this current was \( \sim 50\% \) the size of AA-activated current in both VSMCs (Fig. 3, A and B) and HEK293 cells (Fig. 3, G and H). With the use of a 5-LO inhibitor, NDGA inhibited AA-activated currents by \( \sim 50\% \) in both VSMCs (Fig. 3 C) and HEK293 cells (Fig. 3 I). However, NDGA had no effect on LTC\textsubscript{4}-activated currents in both cell types (Fig. 3, D and J). Representative I-V curves are shown in Fig. 5 (E and K, respectively), and statistical analyses on Na\textsuperscript{+} currents are shown in Fig. 3 (F and L). To rule out that the lesser effect of 8 µM ETYA on current activation is because this concentration is submaximal, we performed additional recordings in the presence of higher concentrations of ETYA. As shown in Fig. S7, increasing concentration of ETYA (up to 40 µM) failed to cause further increase in the current density.

In a different set of experiments in VSMCs, we showed that exogenous AA does not further enhance currents activated by intracellular dialysis of LTC\textsubscript{4} (Fig. 4, A and B), suggesting that both molecules act on the same channel entity. We also sought to determine whether LTC\textsubscript{4} metabolism is required for current activation, and thus we used a nonmetabolizable form of LTC\textsubscript{4}, NMLTC\textsubscript{4}. NMLTC\textsubscript{4} activated membrane currents undistinguishable from those activated by LTC\textsubscript{4} and AA in terms of size, biophysical properties, and pharmacology (e.g., lack of inhibition by 50 µM 2-APB; Fig. 4 C). Furthermore, NMLTC\textsubscript{4} applied in the bath solution failed to activate any currents, ruling out an action on PM cysteinyl-leukotriene receptors (Fig. 4, D–F).

**Figure 3.** AA-activated currents are mediated by LTC\textsubscript{4} in both VSMCs and HEK293 cells. Whole-cell electrophysiological recordings in VSMCs and HEK293 cells show that ETYA, an AA-nonmetabolized analogue of activated currents (A, VSMCs; G, HEK293 cells) that were significantly smaller than AA-activated currents (B, VSMCs; H, HEK293 cells). The 5-LO inhibitor, NDGA, significantly inhibited AA-activated currents (C, VSMCs; I, HEK293 cells) but had no effect on LRC currents activated by LTC\textsubscript{4} dialysis through the patch pipette (D, VSMCs; J, HEK293 cells). Representative I-V curves were taken where indicated by the color-coded asterisks and are shown in E and K. Statistical analysis on current densities in VSMCs and HEK293 cells is reported as mean/range in F and L, respectively.
PM-STIM1 is required for AA-activated currents in both VSMCs and HEK293 cells under whole-cell patch-clamp conditions.

We sought to confirm, using erase and replace, whether under whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology conditions, only the full-length untagged STIM1 capable of locating to the PM (PM-STIM1), can rescue membrane currents activated by AA in both HEK293 cells and VSMCs depleted of STIM1. Knockdown of endogenous STIM1 was achieved using specific siRNA followed by subsequent rescue with different versions of siRNA-resistant STIM1, WT untagged STIM1 (WT-STIM1) that expresses at both the ER and PM, and eYFP-tagged STIM1 that does not traffic to the PM and expresses exclusively in the ER. The inability of eYFP-STIM1 to reach the PM has been documented (Mercer et al., 2006; Hauser and Tsien, 2007). Nevertheless, we performed surface biotinylation experiments in HEK293 cells using the constructs and protocols described herein to show that only untagged STIM1 is expressed at the PM (~30% of total STIM1), whereas eYFP-STIM1 is not present on the cell surface (Fig. S8); we also show that store depletion with 2 µM thapsigargin does not affect the surface expression of either STIM1 constructs (Fig. S8). Under this protocol, where we biotinylate and then immunoprecipitate with streptavidin followed by detection with anti-STIM1 antibody using Western blot, it is possible that untagged STIM1 detected in Western blot is in fact intracellular. Such possibility would involve another PM protein associated with intracellular STIM1 (e.g., Orai1 or Orai3), which would be biotinylated and immunoprecipitated with streptavidin under our experimental conditions. The immunoprecipitation of this PM protein would pull down the intracellular STIM1 associated with it, which would be subsequently detected by anti-STIM1 antibody. However, this possibility is highly unlikely for the following reasons: (a) in cells expressing eYFP-STIM1 assayed using the same protocol, expression of eYFP-STIM1 at the PM was not detected; and (b) store depletion with thapsigargin, which causes direct STIM1/Orai associations, does not cause any change in PM expression of either untagged STIM1 or eYFP-STIM1.

As expected, only WT-STIM1 rescued AA-activated currents in VSMCs (Fig. 5 C), whereas eYFP-STIM1 did not (Fig. 5 D). Ionomycin was added at the end of recordings to confirm that under both rescue conditions, CRAC currents are rescued (Fig. 5, C and D). Representative I-V curves are shown in Fig. 5 E, and statistical analyses on Na+ currents are shown in Fig. 5 F. As a control, we also show that siRNA against STIM1 (siSTIM1) is effective at knocking down STIM1 proteins and abrogating CRAC currents activated by store depletion with 20 mM BAPTA as compared with control siRNA (siControl; Fig. S9). We further determined the amplitude of

Figure 4. Nonmetabolizable LTC₄ (NMLTC₄) activated currents similar to those activated by LTC₄ in VSMCs. (A) Whole-cell electrophysiological recordings in VSMCs show no additivity between currents activated by inclusion of LTC₄ in the patch pipette and subsequent addition of AA to the bath solution (Na⁺ I-V relationships are shown in B). (C) NMLTC₄, a synthetic analogue of LTC₄ that is not readily metabolized to LTD₄ and LTE₄, activated currents similar to those activated by LTC₄ and AA that were not inhibited by 50 µM 2-APB. (D) NMLTC₄ did not activate any currents when added to the bath solution. Representative Na⁺ I-V relationships of NMLTC₄ data are shown in E. Statistical analysis on Na⁺ current densities activated by NMLTC₄ is shown in F.
CRAC currents recorded from VSMCs in Ca\(^{2+}\)-containing bath solutions using the erase and replace protocol under the four conditions of transfection (siControl, siSTIM1, siSTIM1 + WT-STIM1, and siSTIM1 + eYFP-STIM1; Fig. S10). As expected and unlike AA-activated currents, CRAC currents are rescued by both versions of STIM1, WT-STIM1, and eYFP-STIM1, thus ruling out any potential artifact resulting from the expression of eYFP-STIM1. Most significantly, similar experiments performed using the same reagents, protocols, and conditions described above were performed in HEK293 cells with identical results: although CRAC currents were rescued by both versions of STIM1 (Fig. S12), only full-length untagged STIM1 capable of trafficking to the PM could rescue AA-activated currents (Fig. S11).

One important observation arising from these sets of experiments is although AA-activated current densities are rescued to near endogenous levels with ectopically expressed STIM1 (Na\(^+\) currents of \(-0.4\) pA/pF at \(-100\) mV), CRAC current densities under STIM1 rescue conditions are severalfold higher (Ca\(^{2+}\) currents of \(-1\) pA/pF and Na\(^+\) currents of \(-5\) pA/pF at \(-100\) mV) than endogenous CRAC (see Figs. S10 and S12).

**PM-STIM1 is required for LTC\(_4\)-activated currents in HEK293 cells under whole-cell patch-clamp conditions**

We have demonstrated previously that LTC\(_4\) activated an inward Ca\(^{2+}\) current in primary VSMCs, contributed by both Orai1 and Orai3 (González-Cobos et al., 2013). Therefore, we sought to determine (a) whether LTC\(_4\) can also activate a similar current in HEK293 cells, and (b) the pool of STIM1 required for LTC\(_4\)-regulated current activation. Intracellular dialysis of LTC\(_4\) activated an inward Ca\(^{2+}\) current in HEK293 cells (Fig. 6 A). The size and biophysical properties of this current are similar to LRC currents recorded in VSMCs and to AA-activated ARC currents recorded from VSMCs and HEK293 cells. On knockdown of STIM1, this current was essentially eliminated (Fig. 6 B). Expression of WT-STIM1 into STIM1-depleted cells rescued LTC\(_4\)-activated current (Fig. 6 D), whereas eYFP-STIM1 expression did not (Fig. 6 E), consistent with previous studies on ARC channels in HEK293 cells and with the VSMC results described above. Representative I-V curves for all conditions are shown in Fig. 6 C, and statistical analyses on the extent of Na\(^+\) current are shown in Fig. 6 F. In summary, our results thus far suggest that in both VSMCs and HEK293 cells, the signal...
for the activation of store-independent Orai1/3 channels is intracrine LTC4 originating from AA metabolism.

ER-STIM1 is sufficient to support AA-activated currents in VSMCs under nystatin perforated patch-clamp conditions. Previous studies reported that only versions of STIM1 that express at the ER and the PM can support ARC channel activation, thus concluding that PM-STIM1 is required for ARC channel activation by exogenous AA in HEK293 cells (Mignen et al., 2007); these results were confirmed in the present study (see section above). However, our group previously reported using an “erase and replace” strategy with STIM1 mutants and Fura2 Ca2+ imaging that versions of STIM1 that do not traffic to the PM and are exclusively located in the ER (ER-STIM1) are necessary and sufficient for LRC current activation by the agonist thrombin in VSMCs (Zhang et al., 2013). In light of the results described above suggesting that AA and LTC4 likely activate the same channels in HEK293 cells and VSMCs, we reasoned that the discrepancy regarding the requirement of PM-STIM1 versus ER-STIM1 might not be caused by the cell type considered, but rather by the type of approach used in different studies. In other words, uses of Fura2 imaging on intact cells (Zhang et al., 2013) versus whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology where the cytosol is dialyzed with a pipette solution (Mignen et al., 2007). Therefore, we sought to perform erase and replace experiments in VSMCs similar to those described above, but instead of conducting whole-cell patch-clamp recordings, we used the less disruptive nystatin perforated patch-clamp technique. The use of the perforated patch-clamp technique prevented us from conducting recordings with LTC4, which requires dialysis into cells. Therefore, we used this approach to measure currents activated by exogenous application of AA. Under perforated patch-clamp conditions, STIM1 knockdown efficiently abolished AA-activated currents in these STIM1-depleted VSMCs. Representative I-V curves were taken from the traces as indicated by the color-coded asterisks and are shown in Fig. 7 E, whereas statistical analyses on the extent of Na+ currents are shown in Fig. 7 F. The differences observed between whole-cell and perforated patch-clamp results prompted us to consider that an unknown soluble factor is involved in Orai1/3 channel activation by LTC4. Such factor might be lost under whole-cell patch-clamp recordings and thus both ER-STIM1 and PM-STIM1 would be required to support channel activation (Fig. 8 A). However, when intact cells are considered (under either Fura2 imaging or perforated patch-clamp conditions), the unknown factor is not dialyzed out and the requirement for PM-STIM1 is bypassed (Fig. 8 B). We therefore performed additional experiments to test whether the ubiquitous Ca2+-binding regulatory protein calmodulin might account for this soluble factor. Pharmacological inhibition of calmodulin by calmodozolium or dialysis of calmodulin through the patch pipette failed to either inhibit or enhance LRC channel activity in response to AA (Fig. S13); note that the inclusion of calmodozolium in the bath solution affected the

**Figure 6.** WT-STIM1 is required for LTC4-activated currents in HEK293 cells. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings in HEK293 cells transfected with siRNA against STIM1 (siSTIM1) or siControl, a nontargeting siRNA control. STIM1 knockdown abrogated LTC4-activated Na+/Ca2+ currents (B) as compared with control (A). Upon ectopic expression of plasmids encoding either WT-STIM1 (D) or eYFP-STIM1 (E) after STIM1 knockdown in HEK293 cells, only WT-STIM1 rescued LTC4-activated currents (D and E). Representative I-V curves were taken where indicated by the color-coded asterisks and are shown in C. Statistical analysis on densities of LTC4-activated currents for all four conditions in HEK293 cells is shown in F as mean/range.
stability of the GΩ seal and led to noisy recordings (Fig. S13 C). Importantly, we performed whole-cell patch-clamp recordings under the erase and replace conditions described above, but this time calmodulin was added to the patch pipette (Fig. S14). Calmodulin failed to support LRC currents when eYFP-STIM1 was

Figure 7. Under nystatin perforated patch-clamp recordings, both WT-STIM1 and eYFP-STIM1 rescued AA-activated currents. Nystatin perforated patch-clamp recordings were performed in VSMCs with 200 µg/ml nystatin in the patch pipette solution. VSMCs were transfected with siRNA against STIM1 (siSTIM1) or siControl, a nontargeting control. STIM1 knockdown completely abrogated AA-activated Na⁺/Ca²⁺ currents (B) as compared with control (A). Upon ectopic expression of plasmids encoding either WT-STIM1 (C) or eYFP-STIM1 (D) after STIM1 knockdown in VSMCs, both WT-STIM1 and eYFP-STIM1 expression rescued AA-activated currents (C and D). Representative I-V curves were taken from traces where indicated by the color-coded asterisks and are shown in E. Statistical analysis on current densities for all four conditions in HEK293 cells is shown in F as mean/range.

Figure 8. Hypothetical model for the requirement of PM-STIM1 and ER-STIM1 in the activation of store-independent Orai1/3 channels. This hypothetical model stipulates that an unknown cytosolic factor(s)/molecule(s) is involved in the activation by LTC₄ of a channel that is contributed by Orai1 and Orai3, which was suggested to be a pentameric assembly of three Orai1 and two Orai3 subunits (Mignen et al., 2009). Such a factor(s) might act by forming a signaling complex with STIM1 and Orai1/3. Under the whole-cell configuration (A), this unknown cytosolic factor (blue dots) is dialyzed out from the cytosol through the patch pipette (right image) unless PM-STIM1 is present to support channel activity (left image), perhaps through binding to STIM1 in the ER through STIM1/STIM1 C-terminal trans-interactions. However, when less disruptive conditions are considered (B; under either Fura2 imaging or perforated patch-clamp conditions), the unknown factor is not dialyzed out and the requirement for PM-STIM1 is bypassed (right image).
DISCUSSION

AA-activated Ca\(^{2+}\) signals and PM conductances were reported well before the discovery of STIM and Orai proteins as important players in Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling (Shuttleworth, 1996; Mignen and Shuttleworth, 2000). AA-activated Ca\(^{2+}\) entry or membrane currents were recorded in several cell types, including HeLa cells, RBL-1 cells, COS cells, DT40 cells, exocrine avian nasal gland cells, and mouse parotid and pancreatic acinar cells (Shuttleworth et al., 2004). However, the majority of studies characterizing ARC channels, their pharmacology, store independence, molecular correlates, and mechanisms of regulation were performed by the Shuttleworth laboratory on HEK293 cells stably transfected with the human m3 muscarinic receptor (m3-HEK293 cells) (Mignen and Shuttleworth, 2000, 2001; Mignen et al., 2003). This group showed using siRNA knockdown and overexpression that in HEK293 cells, ARC channels are encoded by both Orai1 and Orai3 (Mignen et al., 2008). Using concatenated oligomers of Orai1 and Orai3, they suggested that ARC channels are encoded by a pentamer containing three Orai1 and two Orai3 subunits (Mignen et al., 2009). Using expression of various concatenated constructs in HEK293 cells, Shuttleworth and coworkers showed that heteropentamers containing only one Orai3 subunit are sensitive to AA. However, to achieve specific selectivity for activation by AA, the heteropentamer must include two Orai3 subunits (Thompson et al., 2010). Using a chimeric approach, they further proposed that the cytosolic N-terminal domain of Orai3 is the region specifically responsible for this selectivity of activation by AA (Thompson et al., 2010). They concluded that the regulation of ARC channels by STIM1 depends exclusively on the minor pool of STIM1 residing in the PM (Mignen et al., 2007) based on patch-clamp recordings performed under two different conditions, which showed inhibition of ARC channels without significant effects on CRAC channels. These conditions are: (a) the use of a STIM1 construct in which two N-linked glycosylation sites believed essential for cell surface expression of STIM1 (Williams et al., 2002) were mutated, and (b) the treatment of intact cells with an antibody against the extracellular N-terminal domain of STIM1. In more recent work, Shuttleworth and coworkers used whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology to show that attachment of the cytosolic portion of STIM1 to the inner face of the PM using an N-terminal Lck domain sequence is sufficient to support AA-activated currents, while failing to support CRAC currents, suggesting that the N-terminal domain of STIM1 containing the EF-hand domain has no role in ARC channel activation (Thompson and Shuttleworth, 2012). Subsequently, using the same PM-targeted C-terminal STIM1 construct, they showed that the same region of the STIM1 C terminus that is required for CRAC channel activation (SOAR/CAD domain) is also required for ARC channel activation through constitutive association between this PM-targeted STIM1 C-terminal domain and ARC channels (Thompson and Shuttleworth, 2013).

Our laboratory is interested in primary cells of the vascular wall and their receptor-signaling mechanisms as they pertain to vascular function and disease. While screening VSMC agonists with established roles in vascular physiology and pathophysiology using Fura2 imaging and CRAC inhibitors, we identified a native Ca\(^{2+}\) entry pathway in primary VSMCs activated by the agonist thrombin that, unlike CRAC channels, was not blocked by the nonspecific inhibitor 2-APB. Nonbiased siRNA knockdown targeting all isoforms of STIM, Orai, and transient receptor potential canonical isoforms expressed in VSMCs revealed that this thrombin-activated Ca\(^{2+}\) entry pathway required STIM1, Orai1, and Orai3 (González-Cobos et al., 2013). Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings showed that this pathway is mediated by a highly Ca\(^{2+}\)-selective conductance consistent with the properties of Orai channels, but unexpectedly, we found that Ca\(^{2+}\) store depletion was not required for activation of this channel by thrombin (González-Cobos et al., 2013). Instead, cytosolic LTC\(_4\)-produced downstream thrombin receptor stimulation through the sequential catalytic activities of PLC, diacylglycerol lipase, 5-LO, and LTC\(_4\)S was the signal required for this channel activation, which we called LRC for LTC\(_4\)-regulated Ca\(^{2+}\) channel (González-Cobos et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). LRC channel activation was recapitulated in whole-cell patch-clamp recordings where LTC\(_4\) was included in the patch pipette but not when LTC\(_4\) was applied to the bath solution (González-Cobos et al., 2013). The striking similarity between LRC channels in VSMCs and ARC channels in HEK293 cells prompted us to determine whether PM STIM1 was also required for LRC channel activation in VSMCs. However, the use of an erase and replace strategy where endogenous STIM1 was down-regulated with siRNA and then replaced with siRNA-resistant constructs reported not to traffic to the PM (eYFP-tagged STIM1 and N-linked glycosylation mutant of STIM1; Williams et al., 2002; Mercer et al., 2006) showed that these forms of STIM1 could support thrombin-activated Ca\(^{2+}\) entry measured using Fura2, suggesting that ER-resident STIM1 is required and sufficient for LRC channel activation (Zhang et al., 2013). We further showed constitutive interactions between ER-STIM1 and PM Orai3 or Orai3/Orai1 tandems (but not with Orai1) at room temperature in both VSMCs and HEK293 cells that were required for LRC channel activation by thrombin (Zhang et al., 2013). These interactions were mediated by the second C-terminal coiled-coil domain of STIM1 located within the SOAR/CAD domain (Zhang et al., 2013).
Therefore, two major differences are noted between the ARC conductance in HEK293 cells and the LRC conductance in VSMCs: (1) unlike LRC activation in VSMCs, ARC activation by AA in HEK293 cells was proposed to be independent of AA downstream metabolism; and (2) although PM-STIM1 is required for ARC channel activation in HEK293 cells, ER-STIM1 was sufficient to support LRC channel activation by agonist under Fura2 imaging conditions. To address whether these differences reflect two distinct conductances that are specific to each cell type or whether they are mediated by the same channel entity, in the present study we undertook a side by side comparison of AA- and LTC4-activated currents in VSMCs and HEK293 cells. We showed that AA-activated currents in HEK293 cells required Orai1 and Orai3, thus confirming earlier work by Shuttleworth and colleagues (Mignen et al., 2008). We provide evidence in VSMCs and HEK293 cells that exogenously applied AA needs to be metabolized into LTC4 to cause optimal channel activation. Prevention of AA metabolism with a 5-LO inhibitor or the application of a nonmetabolizable form of AA leads to the activation of a current that is ~50% the density of currents activated by LTC4 dialysis through the patch pipette. Further, dialysis of a nonmetabolizable LTC4 through the patch pipette activated currents with similar size to those activated by LTC4, suggesting that LTC4 is the signal required for channel activation.

We also showed that knockdown of STIM1 abrogated AA-activated currents in VSMCs and HEK293 cells, in agreement with earlier studies (Mignen et al., 2007; González-Cobos et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). Significantly, when using whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology combined with the erase and replace approach described in Materials and methods, only nontagged WT STIM1 capable of expressing at both the ER and PM was capable of rescuing AA-activated currents in both VSMCs and HEK293 cells, in agreement with earlier studies (Mignen et al., 2007). However, when using less intrusive conditions such as the nystatin perforated patch-clamp conditions reported herein or when using intact cells, as was the case for the Fura2 imaging studies reported previously (Zhang et al., 2013), STIM1 constructs that exclusively express at the ER (ER-STIM1) were fully capable of supporting AA-activated currents. These data suggest complex roles for both ER-STIM1 and PM-STIM1 in the activation of store-independent Orai1/3 channels where ER-STIM1 is required while PM-STIM1 is likely playing a facilitatory role under physiological conditions. The cartoon in Fig. 8 depicts a hypothetical model whereby an unknown soluble factor is involved in Orai1/3 channel activation by LTC4, which might be part of a signaling complex containing STIM1 and Orai1/3. Under whole-cell patch-clamp recordings where the cytosol is replaced with a pipette solution, PM-STIM1 is required to maintain this interaction, perhaps involving STIM1/STIM1 C-terminal trans-interactions at the ER–PM junctions where store-independent Orai1/3 channels might be located (Fig. 8A). However, when intact cells are considered (under either Fura2 imaging or perforated patch-clamp conditions), the unknown factor is not dialyzed out and the requirement for PM-STIM1 is bypassed (Fig. 8B). Unlike CRAC currents, which could be amplified severalfold in rescue experiments (see Figs. S10 and S12), the lack of AA- and LTC4-activated current amplification during the rescue experiments depicted in Figs. 6 and 7 suggests that LRC currents depend on Orai3 and/or other additional cellular factors that are likely limiting in ectopic expression. We considered calmodulin as a potential candidate for this mysterious cytosolic factor required for LRC channel activation. However, pharmacological inhibition of calmodulin or dialysis of calmodulin through the patch pipette did not affect current activation by AA. Furthermore, calmodulin dialysis into the cytosol failed to rescue whole-cell currents under erase and replace when eYFP-STIM1 is used to rescue STIM1 expression. Future experiments thoroughly screening for molecular candidates are needed to test the model in Fig. 8. Such experiments will likely reveal additional players involved in the activation of store-independent Orai1/3 channel complex by LTC4.
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