Stoichiometry of altered hERG1 channel gating by small molecule activators
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Voltage-gated K⁺ channels are tetramers formed by coassembly of four identical or highly related subunits. All four subunits contribute to formation of the selectivity filter, the narrowest region of the channel pore which determines K⁺ selective conductance. In some K⁺ channels, the selectivity filter can undergo a conformational change to reduce K⁺ flux by a mechanism called C-type inactivation. In human ether-a-go-go-related gene 1 (hERG1) K⁺ channels, C-type inactivation is allosterically inhibited by ICA-105574, a substituted benzamide. PD-118057, a 2-(phenylamino) benzoic acid, alters selectivity filter gating to enhance open probability of channels. Both compounds bind to a hydrophobic pocket located between adjacent hERG1 subunits. Accordingly, a homotetrameric channel contains four identical activator binding sites. Here we determine the number of binding sites required for maximal drug effect and determine the role of subunit interactions in the modulation of hERG1 gating by these compounds.

INTRODUCTION

Dysfunction of human ether-a-go-go-related gene (hERG) channels is implicated in several pathologies, including cardiac arrhythmia (Curran et al., 1995), cancer (Bianchi et al., 1998), epilepsy (Zamorano-León et al., 2012), and schizophrenia (Huffaker et al., 2009). In the human heart, hERG1 channels conduct the rapid delayed rectifier K⁺ current Ikr (Sanguinetti et al., 1995; Trudeau et al., 1991), a homotetrameric channel would be expected to contribute K⁺ selective conductance. In some K⁺ channels, the selectivity filter can undergo a conformational change to reduce K⁺ flux by a mechanism called C-type inactivation. In human ether-a-go-go-related gene 1 (hERG1) K⁺ channels, C-type inactivation is allosterically inhibited by ICA-105574, a substituted benzamide. PD-118057, a 2-(phenylamino) benzoic acid, alters selectivity filter gating to enhance open probability of channels. Both compounds bind to a hydrophobic pocket located between adjacent hERG1 subunits. Accordingly, a homotetrameric channel contains four identical activator binding sites. Hereafter we abbreviate PD-118057 as PD and ICA-105574 as ICA. PD increases single channel open probability (Po) with a comparatively minor effect on inactivation and no effect on kinetics of activation or deactivation, single channel conductance, or gating currents (Zhou et al., 2005; Perry et al., 2009). ICA increases the magnitude of outward hERG1 currents by profound attenuation of inactivation (Gerlach et al., 2010; Garg et al., 2011). The putative binding sites for PD and ICA have been determined by a site-directed mutagenesis approach. Both compounds bind to a hydrophobic pocket located between adjacent hERG1 subunits. As voltage-gated K⁺ ( Kv) channels are tetrameric complexes (MacKinnon, 1991), a homotetrameric channel would be expected to contain four identical activator binding sites. It is unknown whether one or all four of the putative hERG1 agonist–binding sites must be occupied for full activity of compounds such as PD or ICA. Moreover, it is unknown whether ligand-bound subunits act independently
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to enhance channel currents or whether subunit cooperation is required. To answer these questions, we constructed concatenated hERG1 tetramers that contained a variable number (zero to four) of WT and mutant subunits with specified stoichiometry and geometry. Each mutant subunit contained a single amino acid substitution that disrupted a single PD- or ICA-binding site. Homotypic and heterotypic concatenated channels were heterologously expressed in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes, and the two-electrode voltage-clamp technique was used to characterize the effects of hERG1 agonists on channel properties. Our findings suggest that the full agonist activity of both compounds requires binding to multiple sites and involves cooperative subunit interactions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Construction of hERG1 concatamers**

cDNAs were cloned into the pSP64 oocyte expression vector. Mutations in *KCNH2* (*HERG1*, isoform 1a; NCBI Nucleotide accession no. NM_000238) were introduced using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies). HindIII sites were used to link two *KCNH2* monomers, and KpnI sites were used to link two *KCNH2* tandem dimers. Details of how monomers and dimers were linked together to construct fully concatenated tetramers is described in Fig. S1. Each position within the *KCNH2* tetramer was engineered to contain cDNA that encoded either a WT or a mutant subunit containing a single point mutation (L646E or F557L). All constructs were verified by DNA sequence analysis. Tetrameric *KCNH2* plasmids were linearized with EcoRI before in vitro transcription using the mMessage mMachine SP6 kit (Ambion).

**Solutions and drugs**

The extracellular solution used for voltage-clamp experiments contained (mM): 98 NaCl, 2 KCl, 1 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, and 5 HEPES; pH was adjusted to 7.6 with NaOH. PD and ICA (Sigma-Aldrich) were individually dissolved in DMSO to make 20-mM stock solutions. Final drug concentrations of 1–50 µM (the limit of solubility) were obtained by dilution of the stock solution with extracellular solution immediately before use for each experiment.

**Isolation and voltage clamp of Xenopus oocytes**

Procedures used for the surgical removal of ovarian lobes from *Xenopus* and isolation of oocytes were approved by the University of Utah Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and performed as described previously (Abbruzzese et al., 2010). Single oocytes were injected with 10 ng cRNA encoding single hERG1 subunits and studied 1–3 d later. hERG1 tandem dimers and concatenated tetramers expressed poorly, and therefore, oocytes were injected with 50 ng cRNA and studied 4–8 d later. Ionic currents were recorded using agarose-cushion microelectrodes (Schreibmayer et al., 1994) and standard two-electrode voltage-clamp techniques (Goldin, 1991; Stühmer, 1992). A GeneClamp 500 amplifier, DigiData 1322A data acquisition system, and pCLAMP 8.2 software (Molecular Devices) were used to produce command voltages and to record current and voltage signals.

**Data analysis**

Digitized data were analyzed offline with pCLAMP8 and ORIGIN 8.5 (OriginLab) software. To determine the conductance-voltage relationship, 4-s pulses were applied to test potentials (*V*) that ranged from −70 to 50 mV. Normalized conductance (*G*/*G*max) defined as the amplitude of tail currents (*I*tail) measured at −70 mV normalized to their maximum value (*I*tail-max) was plotted as a function of *V*. The relationship was fitted with a Boltzmann function to determine the half-point (*V*0.5tail) and the equivalent charge (z) for channel activation:

\[
\frac{I_{\text{tail}}}{{I_{\text{tail-max}}}} = \frac{G}{G_{\text{max}}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\frac{V_{\text{tail}} - V_{0.5\text{tail}}}{RT}}}
\]

where *F* is the Faraday constant, *R* is the gas constant, and *T* is the absolute temperature. To estimate the voltage dependence of *G*-type inactivation, an initial 1-s pulse to 40 mV was followed by a 5-s pulse to a variable return potential (*Vret*) that ranged from 30 to −140 mV. For all channel types, *I*tail/*I*max − *E*rev was plotted as a function of *V* and the relationship was fitted with a Boltzmann function to estimate *V*0.5 for inactivation (*V*0.5tail and *z*).

The fold increase (*FI*) in hERG1 current (either *I*tail-max or the magnitude of test currents, *I*test) induced by drug was plotted as a function of (PD) or (ICA), and the resulting relationship was fitted with a modified logistic equation:

\[
FI = FI_{\text{max}} + \frac{1 - FI_{\text{max}}}{1 + ((\text{drug}/EC_{50})^\text{Hill})^\text{Hill}}
\]

where *EC*50 is the concentration of compound that produced a half-maximal effect, and *n*Hill is the Hill coefficient. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (n = number of individual oocytes). Where appropriate, data were analyzed with a two-way ANOVA or paired Student’s t test; *P* < 0.05 was considered significant. For some plots, the goodness of fit was evaluated by the coefficient of determination (adjusted R2).

**Modeling of hERG1 channels**

Markov models were developed to reconstruct measured currents of concatenated WT tetramers in the presence and absence of 30 µM PD or ICA. The models comprised five closed, one open, and one inactivated state (see Fig. 2 A). Coupling of states was described by transition rates. The transition rates *α* and *β* had constant values. Otherwise, forward rates *α* and backward rates *β* were defined as dependent on the transmembrane voltage *V* (293°K):

\[
\alpha = \alpha_0 e^{V / \beta_0 / RT}
\]

\[
\beta = \beta_0 e^{-V / \beta_0 / RT}
\]

with the rates *α*0 and *β*0 at 0 mV, the charges *z*α and *z*β, and the temperature *T* (293°K). Current *I*ERG, conducted by concatenated tetramers was described as

\[
I_{\text{ERG}} = C_{\text{ERG}} \sqrt{\frac{K^+}{4mM}} \frac{V_E - E_K}{\sqrt{V_E - E_K}}
\]

with the conductance *G*ERG, the extracellular potassium concentration [K*+]s in mM, the reversal voltage *E*K, and open state probability O (Iyer et al., 2004).

Parameters of the Markov models were determined using a previously developed stochastic multiscale fitting routine (Abbruzzese et al., 2010). In short, the fitting applied feature vectors were extracted from experimental data *f* and compared with feature vectors of simulation data *f*sim using a fit error function *E*, and *E* was iteratively minimized. Features were extracted from measured and simulated *I*ERG at different voltages and
Results

Concatenated homotetrameric WT hERG1 channels have normal gating and pharmacology

Ionic currents in *Xenopus* oocytes expressing single WT subunits or concatenated WT homotetramers (WT₄) measured by two-microelectrode voltage clamp had similar kinetics (Fig. 1 A). The voltage dependence of activation and C-type inactivation for these channels was nearly identical (Fig. 1 B), but as reported previously for concatenated Shaker K⁺ channels (Tytgat and Hess, 1992), the rate of deactivation of tail currents was slightly faster for WT₄ compared with WT hERG1 channels (Fig. 1 C). The effects of two hERG1 activators on the two channel types were also examined. At a concentration of 10 µM, PD included maxima and parameters from exponential fits. The function E was defined as

\[
E = \max_{l=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{l \cdot f(l)}{\max_{l=1}^{n} f(l)} \right]^{2} + \left( 1 - \max_{l=1}^{n} C_{l} \right)^{2} + (\max O - O_{\text{Max}})^{2} + (1 - \max (C_{4} + O_{\text{Max}}))^{2}
\]

with the number of features n, the Euclidean norm \( \| \cdot \| \), the maximal closed state probability at the end of the prepulse \( \max \sum_{l} C_{l} \), the maximal open state probability O during the test pulses, a predefined maximal open state probability \( O_{\text{Max}} \), the maximal probability of the fourth closed state \( C_{4} \) during the test pulses, and the maximal probability of the inactivated state I during the test pulses. \( O_{\text{Max}} \) was set to 0.1 in parameter optimization of the control model. \( O_{\text{Max}} \) for PD and ICA models was scaled by the ratio of maximal \( I_{\text{test-end}} \) after activator application and maximal \( I_{\text{test-end}} \) under control conditions. \( O_{\text{Max}} \) for each parameter set during stochastic optimization aimed at minimizing the difference between Max O and \( O_{\text{Max}} \). Modeling and parameter fitting software was implemented in Matlab R2011b (The Mathworks Inc.) and the Matlab Parallel Computing Toolbox. Simulations were performed with variable order method for solution of ordinary differential equations based on numerical differentiation formulas (Matlab function: ode15s) using an initial time step \( \Delta t \) of 1 ms. The initial value for the state \( C_{0} \) was 1. Initial values for all other states were 0.
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Figure 1. Concatenated tetrameric hERG1 (WT₄) channels have similar biophysical and pharmacological properties as channels formed by coassembly of single WT hERG1 subunits. (A) Representative WT and WT₄ hERG1 channel currents recorded at the indicated \( V_{t} \) (−70 to 30 mV stepped in 20-mV increments). (B) The voltage dependence of WT channel gating (upright green triangles, activation; sideways green triangles, C-type inactivation) is similar to WT₄ channels (upside-down black triangles, activation [n = 6]; sideways black triangles, C-type inactivation [n = 8]). Data were fitted to Boltzmann function (smooth curves) to determine \( V_{0.5}^{\text{act}} \) and \( z \) values (presented in Table 1). (C) Time constants (\( \tau_{\text{deact}} \)) for fast and slow components of current deactivation for WT (green triangles, n = 10) and WT₄ (black triangles, n = 11) channels at the indicated return potential (\( V_{r} \)). (D) Effect of 10 µM PD on WT and WT₄ hERG1 channel currents elicited by 4-s step to 0 mV. \( I_{\text{test-end}} \) was measured at −70 mV. (E and F) \( I_{\text{test}}-V_{t} \) relationships in the absence (black triangles) and presence of 10 µM PD (red triangles) for oocytes injected with cRNA encoding single WT subunits (E, n = 10) or WT₄ channels (F, n = 7). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = number of oocytes).
increased $I_{\text{est}}$ measured at the end of 4-s pulses about twofold with no change in kinetics for both WT and WT$_4$ hERG1 channels (Fig. 1, D–F). ICA at 10 µM induced a much larger increase in $I_{\text{est}}$ magnitude and slowed current deactivation in both WT and WT$_4$ hERG1 channels (Fig. 1 G). Consistent with the known inhibitory effects of ICA on inactivation, enhancement of current was voltage dependent (e.g., 4- and 20-fold increase at $-30$ mV and 20 mV, respectively), resulting in reduced rectification of the isochronal (4 s) $I_{\text{est}}$-V$_{\text{i}}$ relationships (Fig. 1, H and I). Thus, the biophysical and pharmacological properties of hERG1 channels were similar whether formed by cellular coassembly of single subunits or preformed by concatenation of four identical protomers.

**Markov models of hERG1 channel gating**

Markov models were developed to quantitatively describe the changes in gating of WT$_4$ channels induced by 30 µM PD or ICA. The models comprised five closed states, one open, and one inactivated state (Fig. 2 A). Rate coefficients of the models were determined by a previously developed stochastic optimization approach (Abbruzzese et al., 2010) using features extracted from averaged current traces. The WT$_4$ model reconstructs major features of measured currents (Fig. 2, B and C), in particular, voltage-dependent activation, rapid recovery from inactivation, and slow deactivation. Fig. 2 (D and E) presents averaged measured current traces of concatenated WT$_4$ tetramers after application of 30 µM PD together with simulated currents. To simulate the effects of PD, several rate coefficients were altered, including reduction of $\alpha_{\text{OI}}$ by 76% and $\beta_{\text{OI}}$ by 46% (Table S1). Fig. 2 (F and G) presents averaged measured current traces of concatenated WT$_4$ tetramers after application of 30 µM ICA together with simulated currents. To simulate the effects of ICA, the main changes were a reduction of $\alpha_{\text{OI}}$ to 0.1% and $\beta_{\text{OI}}$ to 6.7% (Table S2). Tables S3 and S4 list the features for fitting and fit errors for the PD and ICA models. Alterations in multiple gating parameters predicted by these models precluded simple analysis of drug effects on the heterotypic channels.

**Cooperative subunit interactions mediate the agonist activity of PD**

Using a combined approach of functional analysis of mutant channels and molecular modeling, the putative binding site for PD was previously shown to be localized to a hydrophobic pocket between the S5 and S6 segments of adjacent hERG1 subunits (Perry et al., 2009). A point mutation in S6 (L646E) eliminated activity of PD, and molecular modeling predicted this was caused by steric interference with ligand binding (Perry et al., 2009). A homotetrameric hERG1 channel has four identical intersubunit PD binding sites, but it is unknown whether drug binding to one or multiple sites is required to enhance channel currents. Six concatenated tetramers containing a variable number (zero to four) of L646E subunits were constructed (Fig. S1). These channels are designated here as LE$_n$/WT$_{4-n}$, where LE represents L646E mutant subunits, WT represents WT hERG1 subunits, and the subscript n indicates the number of L646E subunits in a concatenated tetramer. Included in the constructs were two tandem dimers in which WT subunits were located in an adjacent (LE$_2$/WT$_2$) or diagonal (LE$_4$/WT$_4$/LE$_1$/WT$_1$) orientation and two tetramers containing a single L646E subunit in either the first (LE$_1$/WT$_3$) or last (WT$_3$/LE$_1$) position.

---

**Figure 2.** Markov modeling of hERG1 tetrameric channel currents modified by drugs PD and ICA. (A) The model comprises seven states ($C_0$,...,$C_4$, closed states; O, open state; I, inactivated state) coupled with the indicated rate coefficients. (B) Averaged currents ($n=4$) from oocytes expressing WT$_4$ tetramers under control conditions in response to voltage steps to the indicated V$_{\text{i}}$. (C) Simulated currents for WT$_4$ tetramers under control conditions. (D and E) Averaged measured currents from the same oocytes as in B, after application of 30 µM PD (D), and corresponding simulated currents (E). (F and G) Averaged currents ($n=6$) measured after application of 30 µM ICA (F) and corresponding simulated currents (G). Currents shown in B, D, and F were not leak subtracted.
compound was an increase in the magnitude of $I_{\text{tail}}$ measured upon repolarization to $-70$ mV. The voltage dependence of homotypic or heterotypic channel activation, determined by fitting the $I_{\text{tail}}(V_t)$ relationship to a Boltzmann function, was not altered by 10 µM PD (Fig. 4 B and Table 1), but $I_{\text{tail,max}}$ was increased as the number of WT subunits was increased from one to four (Fig. 4 B). C-type inactivation is modestly inhibited by PD (Perry et al., 2009). However, based on an analysis of $I_{\text{tail}}$, most of the agonist effects of PD can be attributed

**Figure 3.** Biophysical properties of concatenated LE$_n$/WT$_{4-n}$ tetrameric hERG1 channels. (A) $I_{\text{test}}(V_t)$ relationships. (B) Voltage dependence of activation. (C) Fully activated $I_{\text{tail}}(V_t)$ relationships. (D) Voltage dependence of inactivation. Legend refers to all panels. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM ($n = 5–12$; values for $V_{0.5}$ and $z$ for activation and inactivation are presented in Table 1; $n = number$ of oocytes).

**Table 1** Summary of effects of PD on the voltage dependence of activation and inactivation for hERG1 monomers (WT) and tetramers containing zero to four L646E (LE) subunits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel type</th>
<th>Control $V_{0.5}$</th>
<th>Control $z$</th>
<th>10 µM PD $V_{0.5}$</th>
<th>10 µM PD $z$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{0.5,\text{act}}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT hERG1</td>
<td>$-29.8 \pm 0.8$</td>
<td>$3.41 \pm 0.09$</td>
<td>$-29.2 \pm 14$</td>
<td>$3.07 \pm 0.34$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT$_4$</td>
<td>$-29.1 \pm 0.9$</td>
<td>$3.45 \pm 0.33$</td>
<td>$-26.7 \pm 1.0$</td>
<td>$3.07 \pm 0.30$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE$_1$/WT$_3$</td>
<td>$-32.1 \pm 1.3$</td>
<td>$4.13 \pm 0.14$</td>
<td>$-30.1 \pm 1.6$</td>
<td>$4.20 \pm 0.07$</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT$_3$/LE$_1$</td>
<td>$-29.1 \pm 1.5$</td>
<td>$3.36 \pm 0.21$</td>
<td>$-27.1 \pm 1.29$</td>
<td>$3.44 \pm 0.16$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE$_1$/WT$_4$/LE$_1$/WT$_1$</td>
<td>$-36.7 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>$3.23 \pm 0.08$</td>
<td>$-32.7 \pm 0.8$</td>
<td>$3.45 \pm 0.19$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE$_2$/WT$_2$</td>
<td>$-35.4 \pm 0.8$</td>
<td>$3.88 \pm 0.12$</td>
<td>$-31.3 \pm 1.0$</td>
<td>$3.94 \pm 0.12$</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE$_1$/WT$_1$/LE$_1$/WT$_1$</td>
<td>$-33.5 \pm 1.6$</td>
<td>$3.41 \pm 0.18$</td>
<td>$-30.3 \pm 1.4$</td>
<td>$3.55 \pm 0.15$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE$_4$</td>
<td>$-36.5 \pm 1.0$</td>
<td>$3.55 \pm 0.20$</td>
<td>$-32.6 \pm 1.2$</td>
<td>$3.55 \pm 0.25$</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{0.5,\text{inact}}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT hERG1</td>
<td>$-55.8 \pm 3.7$</td>
<td>$1.19 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$-34.7 \pm 4.2$</td>
<td>$1.19 \pm 0.09$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT$_4$</td>
<td>$-55.7 \pm 0.7$</td>
<td>$1.10 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$-39.4 \pm 4.6$</td>
<td>$1.26 \pm 0.17$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE$_1$/WT$_3$</td>
<td>$-48.5 \pm 2.7$</td>
<td>$1.02 \pm 0.05$</td>
<td>$-44.6 \pm 2.7$</td>
<td>$1.24 \pm 0.10$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT$_3$/LE$_1$</td>
<td>$-37.4 \pm 3.0$</td>
<td>$1.23 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$-33.5 \pm 3.7$</td>
<td>$1.44 \pm 0.19$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE$_1$/WT$_4$/LE$_1$/WT$_1$</td>
<td>$-42.6 \pm 3.6$</td>
<td>$1.08 \pm 0.05$</td>
<td>$-43.3 \pm 3.4$</td>
<td>$1.22 \pm 0.07$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE$_2$/WT$_2$</td>
<td>$-45.7 \pm 1.9$</td>
<td>$1.07 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>$-45.5 \pm 2.8$</td>
<td>$1.19 \pm 0.08$</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE$_1$/WT$_1$/LE$_2$</td>
<td>$-44.3 \pm 5.5$</td>
<td>$1.27 \pm 0.08$</td>
<td>$-47.7 \pm 4.0$</td>
<td>$1.31 \pm 0.08$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE$_4$</td>
<td>$-41.4 \pm 1.6$</td>
<td>$1.49 \pm 0.04$</td>
<td>$-43.6 \pm 2.2$</td>
<td>$1.52 \pm 0.05$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM ($n = number$ of oocytes).
to its effect on $P_o$. Tail currents elicited at a variable return potential ($V_{\text{ret}}$) after a 1-s pulse to 40 mV (Fig. 4 C) were used to construct a fully activated $I_{\text{tail}}$-$V_{\text{ret}}$ relationship (Fig. 4 D). The increase in $I_{\text{tail}}$ at −140 mV (68%), where recovery from inactivation is complete, was nearly equivalent to the increase in $I_{\text{tail}}$ at −70 mV (81%), where recovery from inactivation is ~70% complete. Therefore, $I_{\text{tail-max}}$ at −70 mV can be used to approximate changes in $P_o$.

The fold increase in $I_{\text{tail-max}}$ by PD was concentration-dependent for WT4 and the heterotypic tetramers (Fig. 4 E); however, there was no significant correlation between the number of WT subunits present in a concatemer and the EC$_{50}$ or $n_H$ for PD (Fig. 5). This finding suggests that ligand binding is not cooperative. Extrapolation of the concentration-response relationship for WT4 channels (Fig. 4 E) indicates that PD is predicted to increase $I_{\text{tail-max}}$ by a maximum of 1.9-fold, perhaps limited by a saturating effect on the channel open probability $P_o$. The maximum $P_o$ of WT hERG1 has not been determined because at positive potentials where channels are maximally activated they are also highly inactivated.

The $P_o$ of inactivation-deficient S631A mutant channels is 0.5 at potentials between 40 and 80 mV (Zou et al., 1998). Assuming a maximum $P_o$ of 0.5 for WT4 channels in the absence of drug, we estimated $P_o$ for each tetrameric channel from the maximum fold increase in $I_{\text{tail-max}}$ determined from extrapolation of the [PD]–response relationships as shown in Fig. 4 E. Estimates of the equilibrium constant $K_{eq}$ ($P_o/(1−P_o)$) were then used to calculate the free energy change associated with channel activation: $\Delta G = -RT\ln K_{eq}$. If subunits acted completely independently of one another, where a conformational change in any single PD-bound WT subunit was sufficient to achieve maximal agonist activity of the compound, then $\Delta G$ for all of the heterotypic channels would be predicted to be the same as WT4.

Figure 4. PD-induced enhancement of hERG1 current indicates cooperative subunit interactions. (A) Representative current traces recorded at 0 mV for concatenated tetramers containing zero to three WT subunits together with one to four L646E subunits, before and after 10 µM PD. (B) Effect of 10 µM PD on voltage dependence of channel activation. $I_{\text{tail}}$ was measured at −70 mV after pulses to the indicated $V_t$ and normalized to $I_{\text{tail-max}}$ under control conditions for each channel type ($n = 7–15$). The symbol legend between B and D–F refers to data plotted in B and D–F. Control $I_{\text{tail}}$-$V_{\text{t}}$ relationship (black pentagons) overlaps data for LE 4 channels and represents the mean of all channel types. The $V_{0.5}$ and $z$ values obtained from fitting $I_{\text{tail}}$-$V_{\text{t}}$ relationships to a Boltzmann function (smooth curves) before and after 10 µM PD are presented in Table 1. (C) Pulse protocol (top) used to measure fully activated $I_{\text{tail}}$-$V_{\text{ret}}$ relationship and representative currents for WT4 channels under control conditions and after 10 µM PD as indicated. (D) Effect of 10 µM PD on $I_{\text{tail}}$-$V_{\text{ret}}$ relationships ($n = 4–9$) normalized to $I_{\text{tail}}$ at −120 mV under control conditions for LE$_n$/WT$_{4−n}$ tetramers ($n = 0–4$). (E) $\text{[PD]}$–response relationships for fold increase in $I_{\text{tail-max}}$ at −70 mV for different tetramers according to symbol legend shown between B and E. Data were fitted with a logistic equation to determine EC$_{50}$ values and Hill coefficient, $n_H$ (see Fig. 5). (F) Plot of $\Delta G$ versus number of WT subunits contained in a concatenated tetramer. $\Delta G$ was equal to $-RT\ln K_{eq}$, where $K_{eq}$ was defined as $P_o/(1−P_o)$ at maximal effect of PD and assuming maximum $P_o$ in the absence of drug to be 0.5. Linear regression analysis was used to fit calculated data (colored symbols; solid line: $y = -0.39x + 0.058$; $R^2 = 0.96$) and the relationships predicted for independent subunit transitions (▼) and cooperative subunit interactions, models 1 (▲) and 2 (●). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM ($n$ = number of oocytes).
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et al., 1994), as directly demonstrated using concate-
units leads to channel opening (Sigworth, 1994; Zagotta
a simultaneous conformational change in all four sub-
mers can affect the biophysical properties of K+ channels
(McCormack et al., 1992), and perhaps the pharmacologi-
responses to drugs. To examine this possibility in more
detail, we determined whether the biophysical properties
response to PD of WT3/LE1 channels differed from
mgical model of cooperativity (Fig. 4 F, •) describes the subunit
interactions that underlie the steady-state voltage depen-
dence of activation (Hurst et al., 1992; Smith-Maxwell
et al., 1998) and slow C-type inactivation (Ogilburska et al.,
1995; Panyi et al., 1995) in Kv channels.
The experimentally determined values of ΔG (−RTlnKeq)
were plotted as a function of the number of WT subunits
in a concatenated tetramer in Fig. 4 F. The data closely
match the ΔG values predicted for cooperativity model 2.
Thus, PD-bound subunits contribute equally to the
hancement of currents conducted by hERG1 tetrayers.
It is notable that LE1/WT1/LE1/WT1 channels had a
greater response to PD than did the LE2/WT2 channels.
The positioning of a mutant subunit within concatener-
mers can affect the biophysical properties of K+ channels
(Zandany et al., 2008), we considered two
mechanistic models of subunit cooperativity. The first
model is analogous to the Monod-Wyman-Changeux
(Monod et al., 1965) allosteric model of ligand binding
to multisubunit proteins. This model is exemplified by
the final concerted step of Kv channel activation where
a simultaneous conformational change in all four sub-
units harboring a mutation that
reduction of currents conducted by hERG1 tetrayers.

Figure 5. The concentration response relationship for PD-induced increase in Iact is not dependent on the number of WT subunits in a concatenated tetramer. (A) Plot of EC50 as a function of the number of WT subunits in a tetramer. Line represents linear fit to data: \( y = 0.64x + 1.22 \) (adjusted \( R^2 = 0.099 \)). (B) Plot of nH as a function of number of WT subunits in a tetramer. Line represents linear fit to data: \( y = -0.015x + 1.24 \) (adjusted \( R^2 = -0.473 \)). In both panels, the open circle represents LE1/WT1/LE1/WT1 channels; the open square represents WT3/LE1 channels.
of activation (Fig. 6 B), shape of the fully activated I-V relationship (Fig. 6 C), or response to PD (Fig. 6 D). Thus, unlike channels with two WT and two mutant subunits, placing a single L646E subunit in the first or fourth position of an hERG1 tetramer did not affect the biophysical properties or response to PD. A full test of the importance of mutant subunit positioning and confirmation of sequential cooperativity (Zandany et al., 2008) would require examination of all possible heteromeric tetramers.

Cooperative subunit interactions mediate attenuation of C-type inactivation by ICA

The same concatenation strategy used to characterize PD was used to study ICA, a compound which enhances hERG1 current by strongly inhibiting channel inactivation (Gerlach et al., 2010). WT subunits were linked to a variable number of subunits containing the point mutation F557L, which prevents ICA binding (Garg et al., 2011). Representative current traces recorded during 4-s test pulses and their corresponding $I_{\text{test}}$–$V_t$ relationships for the different concatemers are shown in Fig. 7 (A and B). Compared with WT$_i$ channels, the presence of F557L mutant subunits in a concatenated tetramer induced a faster rate of current deactivation (Fig. 7 A) and produced a 5- to 14-mV shift in the voltage dependence of activation (Fig. 7 C and Table 2). In addition, for tetramers with F557L subunits, inward rectification of the fully activated $I_{\text{tail}}$–$V_{\text{ret}}$ relationship was accentuated (Fig. 7 D), and the voltage dependence of inactivation was shifted to more negative potentials (Fig. 7 E and Table 2), similar to channels formed by natural coassembly of F557L monomers (Perry et al., 2007). Thus, unlike the L646E mutation used to disrupt binding of PD, the F557L mutation altered the biophysical properties of hERG1 tetramers.

We next determined the effects of ICA on FL$_n$/WT$_{4-n}$ tetrameric channels. The increase in current at a $V_t$ of 20 mV was ~13-fold greater for WT$_4$ channels compared with FL$_i$/WT$_1$/FL$_2$ channels; however, the EC$_{50}$ and $n_H$ values were similar (Fig. 8 A). Representative current traces recorded during 4-s pulses to 0 mV in the absence and presence of 10 µM ICA are illustrated for several tetramers in Fig. 9 A. The $I_{\text{test}}$–$V_t$ relationships illustrates that the effect of 30 µM ICA was enhanced at positive test potentials and by increasing the number of WT subunits (i.e., the number of functional ICA binding sites) in a tetramer (Fig. 9 B). Increasing the number of available binding sites per channel from zero (FL$_4$) to one (FL$_1$/WT$_1$/FL$_2$) to two (FL$_1$/WT$_1$/FL$_1$/WT$_1$) caused a simple additive increase in the response to 30 µM ICA, whereas addition of a third (FL$_1$/WT$_3$) and a fourth (WT$_4$) binding site resulted in a greater than additive effect for test potentials ranging from 0 to 40 mV (Fig. 8 B). hERG1 channels are fully activated at 20 mV. Therefore, $I_{\text{test}}$ measured at 20 mV was used to compare the efficacy (maximal effect) of ICA for the various tetramers. The increase in $I_{\text{test}}$ at 20 mV varied as a logarithmic function of the number of WT subunits contained in a tetramer (Fig. 9 C). Opposite to PD, channels with adjacent positioning of WT subunits (FL$_2$/WT$_2$) were increased by ICA more than channels with diagonally oriented WT subunits (FL$_1$/WT$_1$/FL$_1$/WT$_1$; Fig. 9, B and C).

The effect of ICA on the voltage dependence of inactivation as a function of the number of WT subunits in a tetramer was determined. The effect of 30 µM ICA on fully activated $I_{\text{tail}}$–$V_{\text{ret}}$ relationships for FL$_1$/WT$_3$ and FL$_1$/WT$_1$/FL$_2$ channels are compared in Fig. 9 D. ICA had only minor effects on the $I_{\text{tail}}$–$V_{\text{ret}}$ relationship for FL$_4$/WT$_1$/FL$_2$ channels but markedly reduced inward

![Figure 7](link-to-figure-image)
rectification of FL1/WT3 channel currents. The effects of 30 µM ICA on the voltage dependence of inactivation of these two channel types are compared in Fig. 9 E. ICA caused a large positive shift in \( V_{0.5\text{inact}} \) (70 ± 3 mV; \( n = 4 \)) of this relationship for FL1/WT3 channels but only a small shift in \( V_{0.5\text{inact}} \) (6.6 ± 1.6 mV; \( n = 4 \)) for FL1/WT1/FL2 channels. The effect of ICA on \( V_{0.5\text{inact}} \) and \( z \) for all the concatenated tetramers are presented in Table 2. We suspected that the increase in currents results from reduced inactivation and not an increase in maximum \( P_o \) of channels.

ICA-induced perturbations in \( \Delta G \) (\( \Delta \Delta G \)) were calculated for each concatenated tetramer as

\[
\Delta G = z \cdot F \cdot \Delta V_{0.5\text{inact}}.
\]

where \( \Delta G \) equals \( zFV_{0.5\text{inact}} \) before drug, and \( \Delta G_{\text{ICA}} \) equals \( zFV_{0.5\text{inact}} \) determined in the presence of 30 µM ICA. If each ICA-bound subunit contributes equally to disruption of inactivation (model 2), then the predicted change in free energy (\( \Delta \Delta G \)) of a heteromeric tetramer would be the sum of the individual \( \Delta \Delta G \) values contributed by each of the WT and F557L subunits in a tetramer as follows:

\[
\Delta \Delta G = \frac{n \Delta \Delta G_{\text{WT4}}}{4} + \frac{(4 - n) \Delta \Delta G_{\text{FL4}}}{4}.
\]

For this model, \( \Delta \Delta G \) would be predicted to be a linear function of the number of WT subunits in a concatenated tetramer. Fully concerted cooperativity (model 1) predicts that a single ICA-bound WT subunit would disrupt inactivation to the same extent as channels containing two, three, or four WT subunits per tetramer. In Fig. 9 G, the experimentally determined \( \Delta \Delta G \) values are plotted as a function of the number of WT subunits contained in a tetramer. The change in \( \Delta \Delta G \) between FL4 and FL1/WT1/FL2 and FL2/WT2 was small compared with the change between tetramers with three or four WT subunits. Overall, the relationship between calculated \( \Delta \Delta G \) and the number of WT subunits (i.e., available ICA-binding sites) was intermediate between the linear function predicted for model 2 cooperative subunit interactions and the step function predicted for a fully concerted process requiring the simultaneous cooperation of all four subunits (model 1).

---

**Table 2**

Summary of effects of ICA on the voltage dependence of activation and inactivation for hERG1 monomers (WT) and tetramers containing zero to four F557L (FL) subunits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel type</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>30 µM ICA</th>
<th>( n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( V_{0.5} )</td>
<td>( z )</td>
<td>( V_{0.5} )</td>
<td>( z )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mV</td>
<td>( mV )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT1</td>
<td>–30.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>3.76 ± 0.22</td>
<td>ND*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL1/WT3</td>
<td>–25.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.76 ± 0.17</td>
<td>–34.5 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT1/FL1</td>
<td>–26.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.32 ± 0.05</td>
<td>–36.7 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL1/WT1/FL1/WT1</td>
<td>–22.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.05</td>
<td>–29.6 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL2/WT2</td>
<td>–26.0 ± 1.7</td>
<td>3.04 ± 0.15</td>
<td>–37.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL1/WT1/FL2</td>
<td>–22.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>3.36 ± 0.18</td>
<td>–19.5 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL3</td>
<td>–16.4 ± 3.3</td>
<td>3.11 ± 0.15</td>
<td>–18.7 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT4</td>
<td>–54.4 ± 2.6</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.03</td>
<td>57.8 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL1/WT3</td>
<td>–45.8 ± 5.0</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.04</td>
<td>28.5 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT1/FL1</td>
<td>–57.8 ± 4.4</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.03</td>
<td>49.9 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL1/WT1/FL1/WT1</td>
<td>–51.1 ± 14.3</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.05</td>
<td>–33.7 ± 16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL2/WT2</td>
<td>–62.7 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.05</td>
<td>–22.4 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL1/WT1/FL2</td>
<td>–79.0 ± 7.8</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.03</td>
<td>–72.5 ± 7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL4</td>
<td>–103.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.05</td>
<td>–98.8 ± 7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (\( n \) = number of oocytes).

*Not able to measure accurately because tail currents were affected by extracellular K+ accumulation associated with very large outward currents.
DISCUSSION

Construction of plasmids for expression of concatenated proteins enables the characterization of heterooligomeric protein complexes with precisely defined subunit stoichiometry and geometry. Tandem hERG1 dimers were previously used to characterize binding of hERG1 inhibitors (Imai et al., 2009), but our study is the first to construct and characterize concatenated tetramers for this channel. We took advantage of our previous finding that L646E and F557L mutations nearly abolish the ability of PD and ICA, respectively, to enhance hERG1 currents. Molecular modeling suggests that these mutations interfere with ligand binding, although competitive radiolabeled ligand displacement studies are needed to confirm this prediction and rule out the possibility that the mutations instead disrupt the ability of bound ligand to allosterically alter channel gating.

Concatenated subunits have previously been used to study several voltage-gated K+ and ligand-gated channels (Isacoff et al., 1990; Yang et al., 1997; Morrill and MacKinnon, 1999; Minier and Sigel, 2004; Sack et al., 2008). Although unusual stoichiometry was observed in some cases (McCormack et al., 1992; Hurst et al., 1995; Sack et al., 2008), concatenated channels can be properly assembled by linking nearly identical subunits (e.g., WT subunits plus subunits containing a single point mutation; Hurst et al., 1992; Sack et al., 2008). However, formation of multimerized concatamers, where two or more tetramers combine to form a functional channel sometimes by exclusion of a mutant subunit, has been described for Kv1.1 (Hurst et al., 1995). In this study, the authors introduced a Pro residue into the S4 segment and a point mutation to alter sensitivity to TEA in one or more subunits of a concatenated tetramer. Analysis of the voltage dependence of activation and block by TEA revealed that Pro-containing subunits were apparently excluded from functional channels, implying that two or more tetramers combined to form a functional channel. Pro substitutions greatly suppressed functional channel expression as currents were only measurable if 100–1,000× more cRNA was injected than was required for expression of WT1 Kv1.1 channels. In contrast, several features of the tetramer channels we studied suggest that multimerized concatamers were not formed. First, we found that the expression level of concatenated hERG1 tetramers were the same regardless of the number of mutant subunits per tetramer. Second, there was a graded response to drug for tetramers containing variable numbers of F557L or L646E subunits, despite similar biophysical properties measured in the absence of the drug. Third, F557L mutations cause a negative shift in $V_{0.5\text{inact}}$ when F557L monomers are expressed in oocytes, and in tetramers containing two or more F557L subunits, there was a progressive negative shift in the $V_{0.5\text{inact}}$. Fourth, for both mutations studied (L646E and F557L), there were no significant differences in the biophysical properties of channels where the single mutant subunit was placed in the first or fourth position of the heterotypic tetramer. Moreover, the response to PD or ICA was not altered when the mutant subunit was placed in either the first or last position. We also examined how positioning two WT plus two mutant subunits with like subunits in a diagonal versus an adjacent orientation affected channel properties for all three mutations. The biophysical properties of these channels were similar, whereas the response to drug varied. Although this finding indicates differential effects of drug binding on channel gating, it does not imply that multimerized concatamers were formed.

Positive cooperativity of oligomeric protein function can result when ligand occupancy of one site enhances the ligand affinity of other unbound sites (e.g., O$_2$ binding to tetrameric hemoglobin [Hill, 1910; Perutz, 1970]) or by cooperative interactions between protomers that can result from ligand binding to multiple sites with the same or similar affinity. The EC$_{50}$ and $n_H$ for PD effects were independent of the number of WT subunits present in a concatenated tetramer, indicating occupancy of one binding site does not lead to enhancement of PD affinity of other sites. Hill coefficients ($n_H$) >1 derived from fitting the concentration-response relationships for ICA on WT channels indicate positive cooperativity, an effect which could arise from differential affinity of the four available binding sites. For a receptor with four ligand-binding sites, the binding affinity for each successive ligand-bound state would have to increase by an order of magnitude for $n_H$ to reach a value of 4 (Weiss, 1997). Although the efficacy (maximal effect) of ICA varied for concatenated tetramers containing a variable number of available ligand-binding sites, there was little difference between the EC$_{50}$ or $n_H$ values for WT4 and FL1/WT1/FL2 channels. Thus, we conclude that the agonist effects of PD and ICA on hERG1

**Figure 8.** Concentration- and voltage-dependent effects of ICA on $I_{inact}$. (A) Concentration-dependent increase in $I_{inact}$ measured at 20 mV by ICA for WT4 and FL1/WT1/FL2 hERG1 concatenated channels. Data were fitted with a logistic equation (smooth curves). For WT4 channels: EC$_{50}$ = 9.1 µM, $n_H$ = 1.7 ($n$ = 6–9); for FL1/WT1/FL2 channels: EC$_{50}$ = 11.8 µM, $n_H$ = 2.0 ($n$ = 6–10). (B) Fold increase in $I_{inact}$ by 30 µM ICA as a function of $V_t$ ($n$ = 3–8). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM ($n$ = number of oocytes).
used to interrogate channel function. We examined the effects of two hERG1 agonists with different mechanisms of action but with overlapping binding sites. Both PD and ICA bind to a hydrophobic pocket located between two adjacent hERG1 subunits, and thus, a homotrimeric channel has four identical binding sites. The ability of PD to increase current magnitude, quantified by $\Delta G (-RT\ln K_{eq})$, was enhanced in direct proportion to the WT/L646E subunit ratio, indicating full cooperativity among subunits. A similar mode of interaction between WT and mutant subunits in concatenated tetramers was previously reported for the activation and block by TEA of Kv1.1 channels (Hurst et al., 1992). The $V_{0.5}$ for activation of Kv1.1 was shifted to more positive potentials as a linear function of the number of subunits containing a L305I mutation, and the free energy of binding of TEA was linearly related to the number of subunits containing Tyr379 (a residue in the outer pore which mediates high-affinity TEA binding). These results derive from cooperative subunit interactions and not from positive cooperativity of drug binding to multiple sites on a single channel.

Similar to many oligomeric enzymes (Monod et al., 1965), multimeric ion channels have the capacity to mediate homotropic cooperative interactions. For example, potentiation of pentameric α7 nicotinic receptors by the type II–positive allosteric modulator PNU-120596, caused by slowing of channel desensitization, is mediated by highly cooperative subunit interactions. At least four and perhaps five of the available PNU-120596–binding sites must be occupied to achieve maximal channel activation (daCosta and Sine, 2013). In contrast, activation of the same receptors by the native ligand acetylcholine involves independent subunit interactions. Occupancy of only one of the five available binding sites by acetylcholine is sufficient to fully activate α7 nicotinic receptors (Andersen et al., 2013). Thus, subunit interaction can vary widely depending on the ligand used to interrogate channel function. We examined the effects of two hERG1 agonists with different mechanisms of action but with overlapping binding sites. Both PD and ICA bind to a hydrophobic pocket located between two adjacent hERG1 subunits, and thus, a homotrimeric channel has four identical binding sites. The ability of PD to increase current magnitude, quantified by $\Delta G (-RT\ln K_{eq})$, was enhanced in direct proportion to the WT/L646E subunit ratio, indicating full cooperativity among subunits. A similar mode of interaction between WT and mutant subunits in concatenated tetramers was previously reported for the activation and block by TEA of Kv1.1 channels (Hurst et al., 1992). The $V_{0.5}$ for activation of Kv1.1 was shifted to more positive potentials as a linear function of the number of subunits containing a L305I mutation, and the free energy of binding of TEA was linearly related to the number of subunits containing Tyr379 (a residue in the outer pore which mediates high-affinity TEA binding). These results derive from cooperative subunit interactions and not from positive cooperativity of drug binding to multiple sites on a single channel.

Similar to many oligomeric enzymes (Monod et al., 1965), multimeric ion channels have the capacity to mediate homotropic cooperative interactions. For example, potentiation of pentameric α7 nicotinic receptors by the type II–positive allosteric modulator PNU-120596, caused by slowing of channel desensitization, is mediated by highly cooperative subunit interactions. At least four and perhaps five of the available PNU-120596–binding sites must be occupied to achieve maximal channel activation (daCosta and Sine, 2013). In contrast, activation of the same receptors by the native ligand acetylcholine involves independent subunit interactions. Occupancy of only one of the five available binding sites by acetylcholine is sufficient to fully activate α7 nicotinic receptors (Andersen et al., 2013). Thus, subunit interaction can vary widely depending on the ligand used to interrogate channel function. We examined the effects of two hERG1 agonists with different mechanisms of action but with overlapping binding sites. Both PD and ICA bind to a hydrophobic pocket located between two adjacent hERG1 subunits, and thus, a homotrimeric channel has four identical binding sites. The ability of PD to increase current magnitude, quantified by $\Delta G (-RT\ln K_{eq})$, was enhanced in direct proportion to the WT/L646E subunit ratio, indicating full cooperativity among subunits. A similar mode of interaction between WT and mutant subunits in concatenated tetramers was previously reported for the activation and block by TEA of Kv1.1 channels (Hurst et al., 1992). The $V_{0.5}$ for activation of Kv1.1 was shifted to more positive potentials as a linear function of the number of subunits containing a L305I mutation, and the free energy of binding of TEA was linearly related to the number of subunits containing Tyr379 (a residue in the outer pore which mediates high-affinity TEA binding). These results derive from cooperative subunit interactions and not from positive cooperativity of drug binding to multiple sites on a single channel.

Similar to many oligomeric enzymes (Monod et al., 1965), multimeric ion channels have the capacity to mediate homotropic cooperative interactions. For example, potentiation of pentameric α7 nicotinic receptors by the type II–positive allosteric modulator PNU-120596, caused by slowing of channel desensitization, is mediated by highly cooperative subunit interactions. At least four and perhaps five of the available PNU-120596–binding sites must be occupied to achieve maximal channel activation (daCosta and Sine, 2013). In contrast, activation of the same receptors by the native ligand acetylcholine involves independent subunit interactions. Occupancy of only one of the five available binding sites by acetylcholine is sufficient to fully activate α7 nicotinic receptors (Andersen et al., 2013). Thus, subunit interaction can vary widely depending on the ligand used to interrogate channel function. We examined the effects of two hERG1 agonists with different mechanisms of action but with overlapping binding sites. Both PD and ICA bind to a hydrophobic pocket located between two adjacent hERG1 subunits, and thus, a homotrimeric channel has four identical binding sites. The ability of PD to increase current magnitude, quantified by $\Delta G (-RT\ln K_{eq})$, was enhanced in direct proportion to the WT/L646E subunit ratio, indicating full cooperativity among subunits. A similar mode of interaction between WT and mutant subunits in concatenated tetramers was previously reported for the activation and block by TEA of Kv1.1 channels (Hurst et al., 1992). The $V_{0.5}$ for activation of Kv1.1 was shifted to more positive potentials as a linear function of the number of subunits containing a L305I mutation, and the free energy of binding of TEA was linearly related to the number of subunits containing Tyr379 (a residue in the outer pore which mediates high-affinity TEA binding). These results derive from cooperative subunit interactions and not from positive cooperativity of drug binding to multiple sites on a single channel.

Similar to many oligomeric enzymes (Monod et al., 1965), multimeric ion channels have the capacity to mediate homotropic cooperative interactions. For example, potentiation of pentameric α7 nicotinic receptors by the type II–positive allosteric modulator PNU-120596, caused by slowing of channel desensitization, is mediated by highly cooperative subunit interactions. At least four and perhaps five of the available PNU-120596–binding sites must be occupied to achieve maximal channel activation (daCosta and Sine, 2013). In contrast, activation of the same receptors by the native ligand acetylcholine involves independent subunit interactions. Occupancy of only one of the five available binding sites by acetylcholine is sufficient to fully activate α7 nicotinic receptors (Andersen et al., 2013). Thus, subunit interaction can vary widely depending on the ligand used to interrogate channel function. We examined the effects of two hERG1 agonists with different mechanisms of action but with overlapping binding sites. Both PD and ICA bind to a hydrophobic pocket located between two adjacent hERG1 subunits, and thus, a homotrimeric channel has four identical binding sites. The ability of PD to increase current magnitude, quantified by $\Delta G (-RT\ln K_{eq})$, was enhanced in direct proportion to the WT/L646E subunit ratio, indicating full cooperativity among subunits. A similar mode of interaction between WT and mutant subunits in concatenated tetramers was previously reported for the activation and block by TEA of Kv1.1 channels (Hurst et al., 1992). The $V_{0.5}$ for activation of Kv1.1 was shifted to more positive potentials as a linear function of the number of subunits containing a L305I mutation, and the free energy of binding of TEA was linearly related to the number of subunits containing Tyr379 (a residue in the outer pore which mediates high-affinity TEA binding). These results
indicate that each of the four subunits in a WT channel contributes equally to the formation of the TEA-binding site and to the voltage dependence of channel activation (Hurst et al., 1992). Analysis of the rate and voltage dependence of activation of Shaw/Shaker heterodimer channels also indicates cooperative subunit interactions, and the total energy associated with channel opening is approximated by assuming that ΔG for each subunit type was additive (Smith-Maxwell et al., 1998). A full complement of concatenated tetrameric channels has revealed that accelerates inactivation (Panyi et al., 1995), a gating process which prevents K+ flux, either by constriction (Yellen et al., 1994) or dilation (Hoshi and Armstrong, 2013) of the narrowest region of the channel pore formed by the selectivity filter. Although C-type inactivation is very slow and voltage dependent in Shaker (Kv1) channels (Hoshi et al., 1991), it is extremely fast and voltage dependent in hERG1 (Kv11.1) channels (Smith et al., 1996; Spector et al., 1996). C-type inactivation of hERG1 can also be disrupted by mutation of specific residues in or near the selectivity filter (e.g., G628C/S631C; Smith et al., 1996) or pore helix (e.g., S620T; Ficker et al., 1998). ICA-mediated shifts in the voltage dependence of C-type inactivation of hERG1 also involve subunit cooperativity. ΔG calculated from the differences between steady-state inactivation curves before and after ICA was a nonlinear function of the number of WT subunits (i.e., available ICA binding sites), indicating a more complex mode of cooperativity than reported for C-type inactivation of Kv1.3 channels probed with a point mutation (A413V) that accelerates inactivation (Panyi et al., 1995). Each A413V-containing subunit was shown to contribute equally (~0.6 kcal/mol) to the activation free energy for transitions between O and I states.

In summary, construction and characterization of concatenated heterotetrameric channels have revealed the stoichiometry of allosteric alteration of channel gating by hERG1 agonists. Increased P, by PD and inhibition of C-type inactivation by ICA involve subunit cooperativity, and occupancy of all four available binding sites is required for maximal effect of both compounds. A detailed understanding of how these and other compounds allosterically modify selectivity filter gating should aid rational design of drugs that can be used to prevent arrhythmias associated with inherited and acquired long QT syndrome.
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